INTRODUCTION

During our relatively short history on Earth, humans have acquired an amazing number of parasites, about 300 species of helminth worms and over 70 species of protozoa (9). Many of these are rare and accidental parasites, but we still harbor about 90 relatively common species, of which a small proportion cause some of the most important diseases in the world. Inevitably, these are the ones that have received the most attention. Since most of these parasitic diseases occur mainly in the tropics, the field of parasitology has tended to overlap with that of tropical medicine, and thus the histories of these two fields are intertwined. There is, however, much more to the history of human parasitology than this, and our understanding of parasites and parasitic infections cannot be separated from our knowledge of the history of the human race. In particular, the spread and present distribution of many parasites throughout the world has largely been the result of human activities, and the advent of AIDS has added a new chapter to the history of parasitology.

HUMAN EVOLUTION, MIGRATIONS, CIVILIZATION, AND PARASITIC INFECTIONS

Human evolution and parasitic infections have run hand in hand, and thanks to the spinoffs from the Human Genome Project, we now know much more about the origins of the human race than ever before (197). Sometime, about 150,000 years ago, Homo sapiens emerged in eastern Africa (254) and spread throughout the world, possibly in several waves (252), until 15,000 years ago at the end of the Ice Age humans had migrated to and inhabited virtually the whole of the face of the Earth, bringing some parasites with them and collecting others on the way. For the purpose of this review, the parasites that infect humans can be classified as heirlooms or souvenirs. Heirlooms are the parasites inherited from our primate ancestors in Africa, and souvenirs are those that we have acquired from the animals with which we have come in contact during our evolution, migrations, and agricultural practices. The development of settlements and cities facilitated the transmission of infections between humans, and the opening up of trade routes resulted in the wider dissemination of parasitic infections. The slave trade, which flourished for three and a half centuries from about 1500, brought new parasites to the New World from the Old World (58); in more recent times, the spread of human immunodeficiency virus HIV and AIDS and the immunodeficiency associated with these conditions has
resulted in the establishment of a number of new opportunistic parasitic infections throughout the world (5).

We are beginning to learn a lot about the past history of parasitic infections from studies of archaeological artifacts, such as the presence of helminth eggs or protozoan cysts in coprolites (fossilized or desiccated feces) and naturally or artificially preserved bodies; from such studies has emerged a new science, palaeoparasitology. Examples of some of these discoveries will be discussed later.

So vast is the field of human parasitology, and so many and far-reaching the discoveries made, that it is not possible to do justice to the whole subject. Therefore; only the most significant aspects and the most important parasites are considered under two major headings, the helminth worms and the protozoa.

EARLY WRITTEN RECORDS

The first written records of what are almost certainly parasitic infections come from a period of Egyptian medicine from 3000 to 400 BC, particularly the Ebers papyrus of 1500 BC discovered at Thebes (29). Later, there were many detailed descriptions of various diseases that might or might not be caused by parasites, specifically fevers, in the writings of Greek physicians between 800 to 300 BC, such as the collected works of Hippocrates, known as the Corpus Hippocraticum, and from physicians from other civilizations including China from 3000 to 300 BC, India from 2500 to 200 BC, Rome from 700 BC to 400 AD, and the Arab Empire in the latter part of the first millennium. As time passed, the descriptions of infections became more accurate and Arabic physicians, particularly Rhazes (AD 850 to 923) (226) and Avicenna (AD 980 to 1037) (11), wrote important medical works that contain a great deal of information about diseases clearly caused by parasites.

In Europe, the Dark and Middle Ages, characterized by religious and superstitious beliefs, held back medical progress until the Renaissance, which released aurry of activity that switched to Arabic physicians, including Avicenna, who recognized not only Ascaris, Enterobius, and tapeworms but also the guinea worm, Dracunculus medinensis, which had been recorded in parts of the Arab world, particularly around the Red Sea, for over 1,000 years.

The medical literature of the Middle Ages is very limited, but there are many references to parasitic worms. In some cases, they were recognized as the possible causes of disease but in general, the writings of the period reflect the culture, beliefs, and ignorance of the time. The science of helminthology really took off in the 17th and 18th centuries following the reemergence of science and scholarship during the Renaissance period. Linnaeus described and named six helminth worms, Ascaris lumbricoides, Ascaris vermicularis (= Enterobius vermicularis), Gordius medinensis (= Dracunculus medinensis), Fasciola hepatica, Taenia solium, and Taenia lata (= Diphyllobothrium latum) (160). Thereafter, more species were described until at the beginning of the 20th century, 28 species had been recorded in humans, a number that has now grown to about 300 species, including accidental and very rare records (46). Even if some of these are doubtful, at least 280 species are recognized by Ashford and Crewe in their annotated checklist (9).

Ascaris and Ascariasis

Ascaris lumbricoides, the large roundworm, is one of six worms listed and named by Linnaeus; its name has remained unchanged ever since. One billion people are now estimated to be infected with this worm. The adult worm lives in the intestine, and the female produces eggs that pass out with the feces, and the larvae within the eggs develop to the infective stage in soil. Humans become infected when food contaminated with infective eggs is eaten and the larvae emerge in the intestine. The worms do not mature immediately but migrate around the body, reaching the lungs, from which they are coughed up and swallowed and then develop into adults in the intestine. Ascariasis is an ancient infection, and A. lumbricoides eggs have been found in human coprolites from Peru dating from 2277 BC (123, 213) and Brazil from about 1660 to 1420 BC (82, 83). In the Old World, there are records of A. lumbricoides in a Middle Kingdom Egyptian mummy dating from 1938 to 1600 BC (45) and from China in the Ming Dynasty between AD
Hookworms and Hookworm Disease

Human hookworm infections are caused by two species, *Ancylostoma duodenale* and *Necator americanus*, the former originating in Asia and the latter originating in Africa. The life cycles of the two worms are similar. Adult male and female worms live in the small intestine, where they can cause massive blood loss. Eggs pass out with the feces to contaminate the soil, where larvae emerge and molt to become infectious larvae that bore through the skin of a new host. In humans the larvae migrate to the lungs and trachea, from which they are swallowed before maturing into adults in the small intestine. Human hookworm infections have been associated with humans in the Old World for over 5,000 years (121). The presence of hookworm infections in pre-Columbian America is a fiercely disputed topic. Robert Desowitz has little doubt that hookworms were present before the arrival of Europeans (57), but Kathleen Fuller suggests that hookworms were introduced into the Americas after 1492 (93). Palaeoparasitological evidence appears to back Desowitz’s ideas since ova identified as *Ancylostoma* sp. have been found in a human coprolite dated from somewhere between 3350 BC and AD 480 (84). Larval nematodes, possibly hookworms, have been found in fecal samples dated to about 200 BC from the Colorado Plateau (79). The introduction of hookworms into the Americas is discussed in more detail elsewhere (81, 114, 115, 123).

The classical signs of hookworm disease are anemia, greenish yellow pallor, and lassitude. None of these symptoms is obvious or unambiguous, and the one distinctive feature exhibited by some individuals, geophagy, is not necessarily associated with disease. Although worms must have been present in many civilizations, most infections have gone unnoticed such that early accounts of the disease interpreted in retrospect must be treated with caution. The greenish pallor called Egyptian chlorosis, first associated with hookworm infections by 19th century scientists, is not recorded in the early Egyptian papyri. It has been suggested that the enigmatic condition *aaa* that occurs in many papyri including the Ebers papyrus might refer to hookworms (69), but there is no real evidence for this (205). This subject is discussed when considering schistosomiasis below. There are references to yellowish pallor and geophagy in the works of Hippocrates and Lucretius, who noted the pallor seen in miners in about 50 BC. There are also references from the third century BC in China to laziness and a yellow disease (121). During the 18th and 19th centuries, there were increasing numbers of records from the West Indies and South and Central America (105). Worms were found in a human in 1838 by the Italian physician Angelo Dubini (67, 136), and the connection between the worms and disease was finally established by Wilhelm Griesinger in 1854 (104, 136). Although the association between pallor and working in mines had been made by Lucretius, it was not until 1879 that the Italian veterinarian Edoardo Perroncito established the real connection while investigating the diseases of miners in the St. Gothard tunnel (215). Conditions in mines favor the development of larval hookworms that require warmth and damp. The fact that hookworm larvae enter the body by boring through the skin was not discovered until the end of the 19th century, when Arthur Looss accidentally infected himself (136, 161). In the early part of the 20th century, hookworm disease was such a serious problem in the United States that the Rockefeller Foundation took on the task of controlling the disease, an activity that subsequently led to the establishment of a number of Schools of Public Health and the creation of the World Health Organization (73). There are good accounts of the history of hookworm disease by Bull (13), Foster (89), and Grove (105).

Trichinella and Trichinosis

Trichinosis, also known as trichinellosis and trichina infection, is caused by the intestinal nematode worm *Trichinella spiralis*, which requires two hosts in its life cycle. The female worms produce larvae that encyst in muscle, and a new host becomes infected when muscle is eaten. Because human infections are usually acquired by eating pork infected with the encysted larvae, this might have given rise to the Mosaic and Islamic traditions of avoiding pork, a practice that has also been attributed to tapeworm infection (see below). The association between trichina infections and pigs has been long recognized, but the encysted larvae in the muscle were not seen until 1821 and even then were not associated with disease in humans (253). The discovery of the worm in humans in 1835 was made by James Paget, then a medical student at St. Bar-
tholomew’s Hospital in London and later knighted as a distinguished physician, but the definitive report was written by Richard Owen, who played down Paget’s role (211) and did not realize that the worm in human muscle was a larval stage of a nematode. The adult worms were discovered by Rudolf Virchow in 1859 (266) and Friedrich Zenker in 1860, and it was Zenker who finally recognised the clinical significance of the infection and concluded that humans become infected by eating raw pork (136, 281). The importance of these studies lies not only in the field of human parasitology but also in the more general field of parasitology concerned with the transmission of parasites between different animal species and the importance of predator-prey relationships in such transmission.

There are good accounts of the history of trichinosis by Bundy and Michael (31), Foster (89), and Grove (105).

**Strongyloides and Strongyloidiasis**

Humans are hosts to two species of Strongyloides, *S. stercoralis* and *S. fuelleborni*, of which there are two subspecies, *S. f. fuelleborni* in Africa and *S. f. kellyi* in Papua New Guinea. As far as human disease is concerned, *S. stercoralis* is the more common and important species. Its life cycle is more complex than that of any of the other nematodes discussed so far and involves both parasitic and free-living generations. Adult parthenogenetic female worms in the small intestine lay eggs that hatch within the host to produce first-stage larvae, which are passed out in the feces and adopt a free living existence in the soil. Here they molt to produce infective larvae that penetrate the skin and are carried around the body to the lungs and are swallowed and reach the gut in the same way as hookworms. Sometimes the larvae mature to the infective stage in feces on the skin and reinfect the host through the skin (autoinfection), or the larvae may mature to the infective stage without leaving the gut and penetrate the gut wall. Thereafter, in both cases, the infection proceeds as described above. In immunosuppressed individuals, larval stages can be found throughout the viscera. *S. stercoralis* also has an alternative free-living life cycle in the soil. Given the absence of eggs and the small size of the larvae, combined with confusion with other free living species of nematodes, it is not surprising that *S. stercoralis* was not recognized until 1876, when the larvae and the disease strongyloidiasis were both discovered by Louis Alexis Normand, a Belgian physician, whose studies were based on the work of Looss, mentioned above, and included instructions for treating *ata* swelling in the limbs; they appear to refer to both the nature of the infection and techniques for removing the worm. This interpretation is widely accepted by most parasitologists (89, 105, 121, 251), but there are difficulties in interpreting this particular text since the word *ata* may simply mean a swelling (205). Nevertheless, confirmation of the presence of this worm in ancient Egypt comes from the finding of a well-preserved female worm and a calcified worm in Egyptian mummies (205).

**Dracunculus and Dracunculiasis (Guinea Worm Disease)**

The best-documented parasitic disease known from the earliest times is undoubtedly that caused by the nematode worm *Dracunculus medinensis*. Adult worms live in subcutaneous connective tissue, from which the female worm emerges to release thousands of larvae into water, where they are eaten by intermediate hosts, cyclopod crustaceans, in which they mature into infective larvae that infect humans when the crustaceans are accidentally swallowed with drinking water. The large female worm, up to 80 cm in length, protrudes from the skin, usually of the leg, and causes intense inflammation and irritation, signs that are so unusual and unambiguous that ancient texts can be interpreted with some certainty. The earliest descriptions are from the Ebers papyrus from 1500 BC and include instructions for treating *ata* swelling in the limbs; they appear to refer to both the nature of the infection and techniques for removing the worm. This interpretation is widely accepted by most parasitologists (89, 105, 121, 251), but there are difficulties in interpreting this particular text since the word *ata* may simply mean a swelling (205). Nevertheless, confirmation of the presence of this worm in ancient Egypt comes from the finding of a well-preserved female worm and a calcified worm in Egyptian mummies (205).

Dracunculiasis is one of the few diseases unambiguously described in the Bible, and most parasitologists accept that the “fiery serpents” that struck down the Israelites in the region of the Red Sea after the Exodus from Egypt somewhere about 1250 to 1200 BC were actually Guinea worms (16). The most authoritative interpretation of this biblical text, thought to have been written in the eighth century BC, is that by Gottlob Friedrich Heinrich Küchenmeister, a parasitologist, theologian, and Hebrew scholar, in his 1855 textbook translated into English as *Animal and Vegetable Parasites* (144). Assyrian texts in the library of King Ashurbanipal from the 7th century BC also refer to conditions that are obviously dracunculiasis, and later descriptions of dracunculiasis occur in all the major Greek and Roman texts and works by the Arab physicians the 10th and 11th centuries (105, 121). Because there is reference to “Medina vein” in the Arab literature, some historians have suggested that the Arab physicians may have thought that the worm was actually a rotten vein, but most informed observers now agree that the Arab physicians were fully aware of the worm-like nature of dracunculiasis but not necessarily the actual cause of the disease (105, 251).
Interest in dracunculiasis reemerged when the condition began to be recognized by European travelers visiting Africa (hence the common name, Guinea worm) and Asia. In 1674, Georgius Hieronymus Velschius initiated the scientific study of the worm and the disease it caused (263), and in 1819, Carl Asmund Rudolphi discovered adult female worms containing larvae (234), a discovery that was followed up in 1834 by a Dane known only as Jacobson (128). In 1836, D. Forbes, a British army officer serving in India, found and described the larvae of *D. medinensis* in water (87), and over the next few years several parasitologists, including George Busk (33), pursued the idea that humans became infected through the skin. It was not until 1870 that the whole life cycle, including the stages in the crustacean intermediate host, was elaborated by the Russian Alekjev Pavlovitch Fedchenko (80, 136). Fedchenko’s observations gained wide acceptance after they were confirmed by Manson in 1894 (179), and the whole life cycle was finally elaborated in 1913 by the Indian bacteriologist Dyneshvar Atmaran Turkhud, who succeeded in infecting human volunteers with infected Cyclops (136, 256). There are more detailed accounts of the history of *Dracunculus* by Foster (89), Grove (105), and Tayeh (251).

**Filarial Worms and Lymphatic Filariasis (Elephantiasis)**

Lymphatic filariasis is caused by infection with the nematode worms *Wuchereria bancrofti*, *Brugia malayi*, and *B. timori*, which are transmitted by mosquitoes. The discovery of the life cycle by Patrick Manson in 1877 is regarded as one of the most significant discoveries in tropical medicine, with implications that went far beyond helminthology into such diverse areas as malaria and the arboviruses. The story of Manson’s discoveries has been told many times (43, 44, 70, 89, 105, 182, 199, 240), but what is often omitted from the history of Manson’s discoveries is the fact that he was aware of Fedchenko’s earlier studies on the life cycle of *D. medinensis* and its transmission using an intermediate cyclopid host (see above). Fedchenko’s observations stimulated Manson to seek an intermediate host but also led him astray when he tried to demonstrate that infection was caused by drinking contaminated water. Manson, then working in Amoy in China, found microfilariae in the blood of dogs and humans and hypothesized that these parasites in the blood might be transmitted by blood-sucking insects. Accordingly, he fed mosquitoes on the blood of his gardener, who was harboring the parasites, and found larval stages in the mosquitoes (174). However, Manson thought that the parasite escaped from the mosquito into water and that humans acquired infection from this contaminated water by drinking the parasi water or via penetration of the skin. The actual mode of transmission was not established until suggestions made by the Australian parasitologist Thomas Bancroft were followed up by Manson’s assistant George Carmichael Low, who demonstrated the presence of microfilariae in the mouthparts of mosquitoes in 1900 (136, 164). The history of lymphatic filariasis is well described in the works already cited in this section (43, 44, 70, 89, 105, 182, 199, 240).

*Loa and Loiasis (Eye Worm)* and *Onchocerca and Onchocerciasis (River Blindness)*

Both loiasis, caused by infection with *Loa loa*, and onchocerciasis, caused by infection with *Onchocerca volvulus*, are filarial worms with life cycles similar to those described above. It is logical to consider these two conditions together because both affect the eyes and must have attracted the attention of early observers interested in sight and blindness. Surprisingly, there
are no reliable early records. In loiasis the adult worm moves across the eye under the conjunctiva, an alarming experience that must have attracted attention of both sufferers and observers. An engraving by J. and T. de Bry made in 1598 was at one time thought to depict the extraction of a worm from the eye, but this has been hotly disputed, and it is now thought that this particular engraving represents a punishment for some offense rather than a treatment (106). The first definitive record is that of a French surgeon, Mongin, who, in 1770, described the worm passing across the eye of a woman in Santa Domingo, in the Caribbean, and recounts how he tried unsuccessfully to remove it (136, 190). There are, however, less detailed earlier records of similar cases in 1768 and 1777 in an account of the history of French Guyane and Cayenne by Bertrand Bajon (12). In 1778, a French ship’s surgeon, Francois Guyot, noticed that slaves in transit from West Africa to America suffered from recurrent ophthalmitis and successfully removed a worm from one of them (124). The first English account of the removal of worms from the eye is that by William Loney in 1848; thereafter, there are increasing numbers of similar records (105). The microfilarias were discovered in 1890 by the ophthalmologist Stephen McKenzie and were sent for identification to Patrick Manson, who speculated that these might be the larvae of Loa loa (176). Loa infections are not confined to the eye, and there are also sometimes swellings on the arms and legs caused by the worm in its wanderings. These swellings, now known as Calabar swellings, were first recorded by a Scottish ophthalmic surgeon, Douglas Argyll-Robertson, in Old Calabar in Nigeria in 1895 (7), but it was not until 1910 that Manson suggested that they might be associated with infections by Loa loa (181), an opinion shared by his colleague George Low (165). The transmission by biting flies, Chrysops spp., was unraveled by the British helminthologist Robert Thompson Leiper in 1912 (153). There is an excellent account of Loa and loiasis by Grove (105).

Onchocerciasis, caused by the filarial worm Onchocerca volvulus, is found mainly in Africa and in parts of South America and the Arabian peninsula, where it was introduced from Africa, and it was only when these regions were opened up by explorers that the disease was recognized. The most important signs are blindness, an exceptional condition that might have been due to a number of causes, and scaly, itchy, nodular skin, which was unusual and was known locally in West Africa as kru kru or craw craw. The microfilarias live in the skin and were discovered by the Irish naval surgeon John O’Neill when examining skin snips from patients suffering from craw craw in Ghana in 1874 (136, 208). Some years later, in 1890, the adult worms were also discovered and identified by Patrick Manson (177). The role of the microfilarias in causing the skin lesions was established by Jean Montpellier and A. Lacroix in 1920 (191), and the part played by microfilaria in blindness was finally elaborated by Jean Hissette in the Belgian Congo (now the Democratic Republic of the Congo) in 1932 (117). O. volvulus is transmitted by sandflies, and their role in the transmission of onchocerciasis was discovered by the Scottish parasitologist Breadablane Blacklock in Sierra Leone in the mid-1920s (19). There are accounts of the history of onchocerciasis by Grove (105) and Muller (192).

**Schistosomes and Schistosomiasis**

Schistosomiasis, also known as bilharzia, is caused by infection with trematode worms belonging to the genus *Schistosoma*, of which the most important are*S. haematobium*, *S. mansoni*, and *S. japonicum*. The adult worms live in blood vessels associated with the intestine or bladder, and the females produce eggs that are passed out with the feces or urine. Larval stages, miracidia, emerge from the eggs when they reach water and bore into the intermediate host, a snail. After a period of multiplication in the snail, the next larval stages, the cercariae, emerge, and these are the stages that infect humans. The cercariae bore through the skin and transform into schistosomula that migrate through the body until they reach their final position in blood, vessels where they mature. The pathological effects of the disease are due mainly to immunological reactions to eggs that, instead of passing to the outside world, become deposited in different tissues; the effects depend on the tissues involved (111). In this context, it is interesting that schistosomiasis has been associated with carcinomas of the colon and bladder (111), one of the few examples of parasitic infections causing cancer (the others being the fluke infections opisthorchiasis and clonorchiasis [see below]). There is nothing special about the symptoms of schistosomiasis that might have attracted the attention of early observers except the bloody urine, hematuria, associated with *S. haematobium* infections, which is discussed below. There is no doubt that schistosomiasis is an ancient disease. In 1910, Marc Armand Ruffer found *S. haematobium* eggs in two Egyptian mummies dating from the 20th dynasty, 1250 to 1000 BC (235), a finding that is generally regarded as the beginning of the subdiscipline of palaeo-parasitology. Thus, there is direct evidence that schistosomes were present in ancient Egypt, and there have been numerous attempts to find descriptions of this condition in the medical papyri (3, 121, 122). The most contentious word is *aaa*, which occurs in over 50 early papyri including the Ebers papyrus. In some medical papyri *aaa* occurs together with the initial hieroglyph suggesting a penis discharging what has been interpreted as blood (69). The juxtaposition is the papyrus of *aaa*, antimony-based remedies, and possibly worms in the body suggests schistosomiasis haematobia, and this interpretation is widely quoted in historical and parasitological textbooks. However, things are probably not as simple as this because no passages from the papyri link *aaa* with the bladder or urine and the discharge from the penis might represent semen and not blood. This subject is discussed in more detail by Nunn and Tapp (205), who abandon *aaa* as a possible ancient Egyptian word for schistosomiasis. However, since schistosomiasis was almost certainly common and widespread in ancient Egypt, it is curious that the Egyptians did not have a word for it unless it was so common that it was ignored. In this context, it should be mentioned that there have been a number of other suggestions about what *aaa* might be, including hookworm disease, which is discussed above.

If we accept that there is no authoritative description of schistosomiasis in the earliest medical literature, the first definitive record must be that of an epidemic among soldiers in Napoleon’s army in Egypt in 1798 by a French army surgeon, A. J. Renoult, who writes that “A most stubborn haematuria manifested itself amongst the soldiers of the French army... con-
tinual and very abundant sweats diminished quantity of urine—"becoming thick and bloody" (225). Thereafter there are numerous reports of illnesses characterized by hematuria, particularly among armies including those involved in the Boer War (1899 to 1902). The worm *S. haematobium* was described by the German parasitologists Theodor Bilharz and Carl Theodore Ernst von Siebold in 1851 (18, 136). Bilharz, with Wilhelm Griesinger, made the connection with the urinary disease a year later (17, 136). Although it was known that other flukes employed a snail vector, the search for the intermediate stages in the life cycle of *S. haematobium* took a long time and a number of experienced parasitologists including Arthur Looss, Prospero Sansino, and Thomas Cobbold, working at the end of the 19th century, all failed to infect snails (105); it was not until 1915 that Robert Leiper demonstrated the complete life cycle in the snail host (154).

Our knowledge of the history of intestinal schistosomiasis caused by *S. mansoni* dates back to conclusions reached by Manson in 1902 that there were two species of *Schistosoma* in humans (136, 180). Even though there had been similar suggestions by other workers, Manson's ideas were not universally accepted, and it was Leiper who firmly established the existence of *S. mansoni* as a separate species in 1915 (153). The third important species is the Asian form, *S. japonicum*. One aspect of schistosomiasis japonica is Katayama disease, an ancient disease that was properly recorded in Japan in the Kwanami district only in 1847 by Dairo Fujii in a report that did not become available until 1909 (91). Fujii found people, cattle, and horses affected by wasting, abdominal swelling, and severe rashes on the legs, but he did not know the cause. By the time Fujii's paper had become available, another Japanese worker, Tokuo Majima, had found schistosome eggs in patients with Katayama disease (136, 172), and he associated the pathological changes with the presence of the schistosome eggs. The worm itself, *S. japonicum*, was discovered and described by Fujio Katsurada in 1904 (134, 136), and its development in the snail host was described by Keinosuke Miyairi and M. Suzuki in 1913 (136, 189), 2 years before Leiper independently described the life cycle of *S. haematobium*. Fuller accounts of the history of Katayama disease are given by Goodwin (101) and Grove (105).

The 20th century has been marked by the discovery of further species of schistosomes, *S. intercalatum* and *S. mekongi*. The history of such an important disease as schistosomiasis involves a great number of observations, events, and individuals; a detailed account of the history is given by Grove (105), and there are shorter accounts by Foster (89), Goodwin (101), and Hoeppli (122). A full bibliography is given by Warren (271), and an account of schistosomiasis in the context of British and American imperialism is given by Farley (77).

**Liver and Lung Fluke Diseases**

Over 100 other species of flukes infect humans either as adults or as larvae, and only the most important ones are considered here. These are *Paragonimus westermani*, the lung fluke that causes paragonimiasis; *Clonorchis sinensis*, the liver fluke that causes clonorchiasis; and *Opisthorchis* spp., which cause opisthorchiasis. Virtually all the important discoveries about the parasites themselves were made during the period 1874 to 1918 as a result of observations on other parasitic flukes such as *Fasciola hepatica* in sheep and others of zoological rather than medical interest. The life cycles of these flukes are essentially similar to that described for *Schistosoma* spp. above, with the added complication that in some species, there is an additional intermediate host between the snail and the human in or on which the cercariae encyst. Humans become infected when they eat the infected second intermediate host. The various discoveries were made by a large number of people, often in obscure publications, and no attempt is made here to list the individual achievements; for this, the reader is referred to Grove (105) and Muller (193). Our knowledge of the pathologic effects of clonorchiasis and opisthorchiasis has emerged gradually (111), with few historically interesting discoveries except the relatively recent finding of an association with the bile duct cancer cholangiocarcinoma (86).

The history of these infections as diseases begins with the discovery of the worms and continues with the elaboration of the life cycles. *P. westermani* was discovered in the lungs of a human by Ringer in 1879 (193), and eggs in the sputum were recognized independently by Manson and Erwin von Baelz in 1880 (175, 193). Manson also suggested that a snail might act as an intermediate host, and a number of Japanese workers, including Koan Nakagawa, Sadamu Yokogawa, Harujiro Kobayashi, and Keinosuke Miyairi, reported on the whole life cycle in the snail *Semisulcospira* between 1916 and 1922 (105). The human liver fluke, *C. sinensis*, was first recognized by James McConnell in 1875 (167, 136), and the snail host was recognized by Masatome Muto in 1918 (194, 136), but it was the discovery in 1915 by Kobayashi of a second intermediate host, an important food fish from which human infections are acquired, that had the greatest impact on our knowledge and control of this infection (139, 136).

The first records of *Opisthorchis* infections in humans were made by Konstantin Wingradoff in 1892 (275), and the snail and fish hosts and their roles in the life cycle were discovered by Hans Vogel in 1934 (267).

**Cestodiasis (Tapeworm Infections)**

Humans can be infected by about 40 species of adult tapeworms and about 15 larval forms, mainly as accidental hosts (9, 46). The most important cestodes belong to two groups, the taeniid and diphyllobothriid tapeworms. The characteristic taeniid adults, which can reach a length of several meters, live in the intestine attached by a scolex and shed mature proglottids (“segments”) containing numerous eggs, which pass out into soil or water, where the eggs are released. When an intermediate host consumes the eggs, they hatch in the intestine, releasing larval stages, oncospheres, that burrow through the gut wall to reach various tissues of the host, where they develop into encysted cysticerci or bladderworms. The life cycle is completed when undercooked or raw meat is eaten and the cysticerci are released and attach to the gut wall of the final host and develop into adult tapeworms. The two species in humans, *Taenia saginata*, the beef tapeworm and the larger of the two, and *T. solium*, the pork tapeworm, use cattle and pigs as their respective intermediate hosts. The scientific study of the taeniid tapeworms of humans can be traced to the late 17th century and the observations of Edward Tyson on the tape-
worms of humans, dogs, and other animals (257). Tyson was the first person to recognize the “head” (scolex) of a tapeworm, and his subsequent descriptions of the anatomy and physiology of the adult worms laid the foundations for our knowledge of the biology of the taeniid tapeworms of humans. Although by this time it had become clear that there were differences between the broad tapeworm (see below) and the other tapeworms that we now know to be taeniids, the distinctions between \textit{T. solium} and \textit{T. saginata} were not obvious. These worms continued to be confused long after the work of Tyson, and although Goeze (see below) in 1782 had suspected that there were two species (98), it was not until the middle of the 19th century that Küchenmeister is credited with recognizing the differences between \textit{T. solium} and \textit{T. saginata} based on the morphology of the scolex (144). In 1784, the first indications that intermediate hosts were involved in the life cycles of taeniid tapeworms emerged from the detailed studies of the pork tapeworm by a German pastor, Johann August Ephraim Goeze, who observed that the scolices of the tapeworm in humans resembled cysts in the muscle of pigs (99, 136). Some 70 years later, Küchenmeister, in much-criticized experiments, fed pig meat containing the cystercerci of \textit{T. solium} to criminals condemned to death and recovered adult tapeworms from the intestine after they had been executed (143, 145, 146). Shortly afterward, in 1868 to 1869, J. H. Oliver observed that \textit{T. saginata} tapeworm infections occurred in individuals who had eaten “measly” beef (207), and this was confirmed by the Italian veterinarian Edoardo Perroncito in 1877 (214).

The adult stages of \textit{T. solium} and \textit{T. saginata} rarely cause any overt signs or symptoms, and there are no early descriptions of diseases that might be caused by these tapeworms. On the other hand, humans are host to two important kinds of larval tapeworm, cystercerci of the pork tapeworm \textit{T. solium} and hydatid cysts of the dog tapeworm \textit{Echinococcus granulosus}. The encysted larvae, cystercerci, of \textit{T. solium} in the flesh of pigs, known as “measly pork,” were well known to the ancient Greeks and are referred to by Aristotle (384 to 322 BC), who, in the section on diseases of pigs in his \textit{History of Animals}, gives a detailed and accurate account of “bladders that are like hailstones” (202). Although the cysts in the muscle cause no obvious illness in humans, cysts in the brain can cause symptoms resembling epilepsy, and these must have been apparent in early civilizations. However, there is nothing in the encyclopedic works of Hippocrates to suggest that the Greek physicians knew that humans harbored such cysts or suffered from any conditions associated with them. There is, however, indirect evidence from different cultures that people were aware of the possible dangers inherent in eating the flesh of pigs. Küchenmeister comments that infections with cystercerci are not found in those, such as Jews and Muslims, whose religious beliefs forbid the consumption of pork (144), but as we have already seen, similar arguments have been put forward with respect to \textit{Trichinella spiralis} infections. There are accounts of what are possibly cystercerci in humans by Johannes Udalric Ruml in 1558, Domenico Panaroli in 1652, and Thomas Wharton in 1656, but none of these observers realized that the structures they described were parasites (105). The first reliable accounts of cystercerci as parasites of some kind are by Philip Hartmann in 1688 (113, 136) and Marcello (Marcus) Malpighi in 1697 (173), but the realization that these cysts were the larval stages of tapeworms had to await studies by Johann Goeze in 1784 (99). The demonstration of the life cycle of \textit{T. solium} shed new light on the nature of the human condition, cystercerosis, and it became apparent that humans could probably become infected with the larval stages of \textit{T. solium} when they ingested the tapeworm eggs. Although the conclusive experiments could not be carried out for ethical reasons, many experiments with animals and observations of humans established without doubt by the middle of the 19th century that cysterciosis was caused by the ingestion of the eggs of \textit{T. solium} (145, 146). These observations had a massive impact on the control of tapeworm infections in humans by restricting the amount of meat of infected animals available for human consumption.

There are brief accounts of the history of cysterciosis by Nieto (202) and more detailed accounts by Foster (89) and Grove (105). There are also less easily accessible accounts by Vosgien (269), Henneberg (116), and Guccione (107).

The most serious human disease caused by a larval cestode is echinococcosis, or hydatid disease, resulting from accidental infection with larval stages of the canid tapeworm, \textit{Echinococcus granulosus}, which frequently occurs as an adult in dogs and as a larval cyst in wild and domesticated animals including sheep. The massive bladder-like hydatid cysts, particularly in the liver, were well known in ancient times, and there are references to such cysts in ritually slaughtered animals in the Babylonian Talmud and, in animals slaughtered for food, by Hippocrates in the fourth century BC, Arataeus in the first century AD, and Galen in the second century AD (89, 105). There are also descriptions of hydatid cysts in humans in the Corpus Hippocratorum and in the works of Galen and in later European medical texts, in which they have variously been considered to be sacs of mucus, enlarged glands, distorted blood vessels, lymphatic vascules, or accumulations of lymph (89, 144). Francisco Redi in the 17th century was the first to appreciate the parasitic nature of these cysts (136, 223), but credit for the hypothesis that these cysts were the larval stages of tapeworms goes to the German clinician and natural historian Pierre Simon Pallas, who showed this in 1766 (136, 212). It was not until 1853 that Carl von Siebold demonstrated that \textit{Echinococcus} cysts from sheep gave rise to adult tapeworms when fed to dogs (268), and in 1863 Bernhard Naunyn found adult tapeworms in dogs fed with hydatid cysts from a human (198, 136). There are good accounts of the history of hydatid disease by Foster (89) and Grove (105).

Humans also harbor the adults of \textit{Diphyllobothrium latum}, the broad or fish tapeworm that lives in the intestine. Eggs are passed out in the feces, and the first larval stage, the coracidium, develops within the egg and is eaten by a copepod, in which it develops to the second larval stage, the procerocercid. When an infected copepod is eaten by a fish, the procerocercid develops into the third larval stage, the plerocercid, and when a human eats an infected fish, the plerocercid develops into an adult tapeworm in the gut. The broad tapeworm was well known in antiquity but is mentioned, sometimes indirectly, in the major classical medical writings including the Ebers papyrus, the Corpus Hippocratorum, and the works of Celsus and Avicenna. However, there are no accurate early clinical records because there are few overt signs of the infection apart from abnormal hunger, malaise, and abdominal pain. Early descriptions of the worm tend to be unreliable because, as has
already been mentioned, there was considerable confusion with the two common species of *Taenia*. Nevertheless, by the beginning of the 17th century, it became apparent that there were two very different kinds of tapeworm (broad and taeniid) in humans (105). It is generally agreed that *Diphyllobothrium* was first recognized as being distinct from *Taenia* by the Swiss physician Felix Plater, who also provided the first descriptions of the disease at the beginning of the 17th century (217, 316). The first accurate description of the proglottids was by another Swiss biologist, Charles Bonnet, in 1750 (20, 136), but, unfortunately, the worm he illustrated had a *Taenia* scolex, a mistake he remedied in 1777 (21, 136). By the middle of the 18th century, it was apparent that infections with *D. latum* occurred in humans whose diet was mainly fish. However, it was not until the life cycles of other tapeworms of zoological interest had been elaborated that further progress became possible, since the existence of three hosts in the life cycle, human, fish, and copepod, confused the issue. An understanding of the life cycle of this parasite began in 1790, when the Dane Peter Christian Abildgaard observed that the intestine of sticklebacks contained worms that resembled the tapeworms found in fish-eating birds (1, 136); however, it was some time before there was any significant advance in our understanding of the life cycle of *D. latum*. In the meantime, there were a number of misleading observations until 1881, when the German zoologist Maximilian Gustav Christian Carl Braun realized that the unsegmented tapeworms common in pike and other fish were the larval stages of *D. latum* and succeeded in infecting dogs with these plerocercoids; in 1882 he achieved similar results in humans (23, 136). Braun suspected that this was not the whole story, but it was many years later that two Polish scientists, Constantine Janicki and Felix Rosen, working in Switzerland, incriminated copepods in the life cycle and showed that they fed on the eggs of the tapeworm and were then eaten by fish, which, in their turn, were eaten by humans (129, 136). There are good accounts of *Dipyllobothrium* and diphyllobothriasis by Foster (89) and Grove (105).

**DISCOVERY OF THE PARASITIC PROTOZOA**

Because of their small size, it was not possible to recognize any protozoa until the invention of the microscope and its use by Antonie van Leeuwenhoek toward the end of the 17th century. The study of parasitic protozoa only really began two centuries later, following the discovery of bacteria and the promulgation of the germ theory by Pasteur and his colleagues at the end of the 19th century.

**Amoebae and Amoebiasis**

Humans harbor nine species of intestinal amoebae, of which only one, *Entamoeba histolytica*, is a pathogen. The life cycle is simple. The amoeba live and multiply in the gut and form cysts that are passed out in the feces and infect new individuals when they are consumed in contaminated water or food. Most infections are asymptomatic, but some strains of *E. histolytica* can invade the gut wall, causing severe ulceration and amoebic dysentery characterized by bloody stools. If the parasites gain access to damaged blood vessels, they may be carried to extraintestinal sites anywhere in the body, the most important of which is the liver, where the amoebae cause hepatic amoebiasis. Supposed evidence that both the intestinal and liver forms of the disease were recognized from the earliest times is circumstantial because there are so many causes of both the bloody dysentery characteristic of amoebiasis and the symptoms of hepatic amoebiasis that many of these records are open to other interpretations (24). With these reservations in mind, the earliest record is possibly that from the Sanskrit document *Brigu-samhita*, written about 1000 BC, which refers to bloody, mucous diarrhea (260). Assyrian and Babylonian texts from the Library of King Ashurbanipal refer to blood in the feces, suggesting the presence of amoebiosis in the Tigris-Euphrates basin before the sixth century BC (24, 148), and it is possible that the hepatic and perianal abscesses described in both *Epidemics* and *Aphorisms* in the Corpus Hippocratorum refer to amoebiasis (131). Since epidemics of dysentery by itself are likely to result from bacterial infections and dysentery associated with disease of the liver is likely to be amoebic, later records are easier to interpret. In the second century AD, Galen and Celsus both described liver abscesses that were probably amoebic, and the works of Aretaeus, Archigenes, Aurelanus, and Avicenna toward the end of the first millennium give good accounts of both dysentery and hepatic involvement (238). As amoebiasis became widespread in the developed world, there were numerous records of “bloody flux” in Europe, Asia, Persia, and Greece in the Middle Ages (137). The disease appears to have been introduced into the New World by Europeans sometime in the 16th century (51), and with the later development of European colonies and increased world trade, there are numerous clear descriptions of both the intestinal and hepatic forms of amoebiasis. In the 19th century, several books mainly concerned with diseases in India, including *Researches into the Causes, Nature and Treatment of the More Prevalent Diseases of India and of Warm Climates Generally* by James Annersley, clearly refer to both intestinal and hepatic amoebiasis (6), and it is now generally agreed that this book contains the first accurate descriptions of both forms of the disease. The connection between amoebic dysentery and liver abscesses was described by William Budd, the English physician who discovered the method of transmission of typhoid (30). The amoeba itself, *E. histolytica*, was discovered by Friedrich Lösch (also known as Fedor Lesh) in 1873 in Russia (163), and Lösch also established the relationship between the parasite and the disease in dogs experimentally infected with amoebae from humans. Stephanos Kartulis, a Greek physician, also found amoebae in intestinal ulcers in patients suffering from dysentery in Egypt in 1885 and 1896 and noted that he never found amoebae from nondysenteric cases (132). Kartulis also showed that cats could be infected with amoebae per rectum and developed dysentery (133) a finding discussed below. The authoritative report by William Thomas Councilman and Henri Lafeur, working at the Johns Hopkins Hospital in 1891, represents a definitive statement of what was known about the pathology of amoebiasis at the end of the 19th century, and much of it is still valid today (47).

It was pointed out above that humans harbor several species of amoebae. The most common are *E. histolytica*, which has just been considered, and a larger and superficially similar harmless species, *E. coli*; the presence of these two parasites confused early workers in this field. The first clues that there
was more than one species in humans came from the work of Heinrich Iranaus Quincke and Ernst Roos working in Kiel in 1894, who observed that cats could only be infected per rectum or orally with cysts of amoebae that contained ingested red blood cells and not with those that did not, i.e., E. coli (220, 227). Thereafter, the most contentious arguments relate to the various morphologically identical species and strains of E. histolytica, and their relationship to disease has only recently been resolved by using biochemical techniques that clearly show that the presence of two common species, E. histolytica, which can cause disease, and E. dispar, which cannot (237).

The history of amoebiasis is well documented. The most comprehensive account of the early history is that by Dobell (60), and there are also good accounts of the early history by Bray (24), Foster (89), Kean (135), Scott, (238), and Wenyon (272) and reviews containing more recent information by Craig (50), Guirola (110), Imperato (127), Martinez-Báez, (184), Stilwell (248), and Svaničtse (249).

Giardia and Giardiasis

Giardia holds a special place in the science of parasitic protozoology because the parasite Giardia duodenalis, also known as G. lamblia or G. intestinalis, was the first parasitic protozoan of humans seen by Antonie van Leeuwenhoek in 1681 (62, 136). The life cycle of Giardia is very simple: the parasites multiply in the duodenum and form cysts that are passed out in the feces and infect new individuals when they are swallowed in food or water. Most infected individuals show few or no signs of infection, but in some, particularly children, there may be malabsorption, diarrhea, and abdominal pain. G. duodenalis was first seen by Leeuwenhoek and, interestingly, associated by him with his own loose stools. Leeuwenhoek’s illustrations are not very informative, and the first good illustrations of Giardia are those of Vílém Lambl in 1859 (136, 150). The parasite received little attention until 1902, when the American parasitologist Charles Wardell Stiles began to suspect that there was a causal relationship with diarrhea (247). This was not followed up until 1914 to 1918 World War, when soldiers with diarrhea were found to pass Giardia cysts that caused similar symptoms when administered to laboratory animals (75). In 1921, Clifford Dobell suggested that Giardia was a pathogen (61), and in 1926, Reginald Miller, a physician working in London, conclusively showed that some children infected with Giardia did suffer from malabsorption whereas others acted as unaffected carriers (188). It was not until 1954, however, that the detailed studies by the American physician Robert Rendtorff produced unambiguous evidence linking the parasite with the disease (224). In the 300 years since Giardia was first discovered, it has become recognized as a common parasite and potential pathogen worldwide; however, it is still not known how many species infect humans and what role, if any, reservoir hosts play in the epidemiology of the infection. Fuller accounts of the history of giardiasis are given by Wenyon (272) and Farthing (78).

African Trypanosomes and Sleeping Sickness

African trypanosomiasis is caused by infection with two subspecies of trypanosomes, Trypanosoma brucei gambiense, which causes Gambian or chronic sleeping sickness, and T. b. rhodesiense, which causes Rhodesian or acute sleeping sickness. The trypanosomes multiply in the blood and are taken up by tsetse flies when they feed. Within the tsetse fly, there is a phase of multiplication and development resulting in the formation of infective trypanosomes in the salivary glands of the fly, which are injected into a new host when the fly feeds. The infection itself causes a number of symptoms including anemia, wasting and lethargy, and, in some cases, if the parasites pass into the brain and cerebrospinal fluid, coma and death. There are similar parasites in wild and domesticated animals. The first definitive accounts of sleeping sickness are by an English naval surgeon, John Atkins, in 1721 (10) and Thomas Winterbottom, who coined the term “negro lethargy” in 1803 (276). An appreciation of the real cause of the disease was not possible until Pasteur had established the germ theory toward the end of the 19th century. Trypanosomes had been seen in the blood of fishes, frogs, and mammals from 1843 onward, but it was not until 1881 that Griffith Evans found trypanosomes in the blood of horses and camels with a wasting disease called surra and suggested that the parasites might be the cause of this disease (74). These observations led to the most important discoveries about human and animal trypanosomiasis shortly afterwards. In 1894, David Bruce, a British army surgeon investigating an outbreak of nagana, a disease similar to surra, in cattle in Zululand, was looking for a bacterial cause and found trypanosomes in the blood of diseased cattle; he demonstrated experimentally that these caused nagana in cattle and horses and also infected dogs. He also observed that infected cattle had spent some time in the fly-infested “tsetse belt” and that the disease was similar to that in humans with negro lethargy and fly disease of hunters (26). Trypanosomes were seen in human blood by Gustave Nepveu in 1891 (200). In 1902, Everett Dutton identified the trypanosome that causes Gambian or chronic sleeping sickness (T. b. gambiense) in humans (68), and in 1910 J. W. W. Stephens and Harold Fantham described T. b. rhodesiense, the cause of Rhodesian or acute sleeping sickness (136, 246). Although Bruce had shown that trypanosome infections in cattle were acquired from tsetse flies, he thought that transmission was purely mechanical, and the role of the tsetse fly in the transmission of sleeping sickness remained controversial until Friedrich Kleine, a colleague of Robert Koch, demonstrated in 1909 the essential role of the tsetse fly in the life cycle of trypanosomes (138).

The persistence of trypanosomes in the blood and the existence of successive waves of parasitemia were described in detail by Ronald Ross and David Thompson in 1911 (232), but the actual mechanism of what happens and how the parasite evades the immune response, now called antigenic variation, was not elaborated until the work of Keith Vickerman in 1969 (265). The story of African sleeping sickness is told briefly by Hoare (119) and in more detail by Foster (89), Nash (196), Lyons (166), Wenyon (272), and Williams (274).

South American Trypanosomiasis: Chagas’ Disease

Chagas’ disease is caused by infection with another trypanosome, Trypanosoma cruzi, transmitted by insects belonging to the order Hemiptera or true bugs, commonly known as kissing
bugs because of their tendency to bite the lips and face. The transient trypanosome forms circulate in the blood and are taken up by a blood-sucking bug when it feeds. The parasites multiply in the gut of the bug, and infective forms are passed out in the feces while the bug is feeding on a new host and are rubbed into the bite. In the human host, parasites enter and multiply in a variety of different cells and eventually induce what are thought to be autoimmune responses that result in the destruction of both infected and uninfected tissues. The nature of the disease depends on the tissues and organs involved, and the most conspicuous forms are massive distension of the intestinal tract, especially the esophagus and colon, and destruction of cardiac muscle, which can result in death many years after the initial infection. *T. cruzi* infections are common in many mammals on the American continent, but the human disease now occurs only in South and Central America. The earlier indication that Chagas' disease is an ancient infection in South America comes from the examination of spontaneously mummified human remains from Chile between 470 BC and AD 600 that show clear signs of the characteristic destructive nature of the disease (233). The use of immunological and molecular techniques has made it possible to detect the presence of *T. cruzi* without necessarily visualizing the parasites themselves. *T. cruzi* DNA has been detected in the heart and esophagus of mummified bodies from Peru and northern Chile dating from 2000 BC to AD 1400 (109) and in samples from bodies in museums from northern Chile from about AD 1000 to 1400 (85). The parasites themselves have also been identified by light and electron microscopy in a Peruvian mummy from the 15 to 16th century AD (88).

The history of *T. cruzi* and Chagas' disease really begins with a series of discoveries by the Brazilian scientist Carlos Chagas, between 1907 and 1912. Chagas not only discovered the trypanosome *T. cruzi* and demonstrated its transmission by bugs but also described the disease that affects some 18 million people and now commemorates his name. Chagas' first observation was that the blood-sucking bugs that infested the poorly constructed houses harbored flagellated protozoa and that when these flagellates were injected into monkeys and guinea pigs, trypanosomes appeared in the blood (39, 136). Chagas later found the same trypanosomes in the blood of children with an acute febrile condition and suspected that blood-sucking bugs might also transmit the parasite to humans, but he thought that the trypanosomes were transmitted via the bite of the insect (40, 41). It was the French parasitologist Emile Brumpt who demonstrated transmission via the fecal route (28, 136). The links between infection with *T. cruzi* and the various signs of Chagas' disease, such as distended colon and esophagus and cardiac failure, were not determined until the work of Fritz Koberle in the 1960s (140). Exactly how the damage to heart and nerves is caused and what role the autoimmune component plays are still controversial. The history of Chagas' disease has been well documented by Scott (238), Lewinsohn (158), Leonard (156), Miles (187), and Wenyon (272).

**Leishmaniasis and Leishmaniasis**

Leishmaniasis, caused by several species of *Leishmania*, is transmitted by sandflies and occurs in various forms in the Old and New World. The parasites infect and multiply in macrophages and are taken up by sandflies when they feed. In the gut of the sandfly, the parasites multiply and reach the mouthparts, from where they are injected into a new host when the sandfly feeds again. The disease, leishmaniasis, takes a number of forms ranging from simple cutaneous ulcers to massive destruction of cutaneous and subcutaneous tissues in the mucocutaneous forms and the involvement of the liver and other organs in the visceral form.

From a historical viewpoint, it is easiest to consider the Old World forms first. Old World cutaneous leishmaniasis, known as oriental sore, is an ancient disease, and there are descriptions of the conspicuous lesions on tablets in the library of King Ashurbanipal from the 7th century BC, some of which are thought to have been derived from earlier texts from 1500 to 2500 BC (183). There are detailed descriptions of oriental sore by Arab physicians including Avicenna in the 10th century, who described what was (and is) called Balkh sore from northern Afghanistan, and there are later records from various places in the Middle East including Baghdad and Jericho; many of the conditions were given local names by which they are still known (183). Old World visceral leishmaniasis, or kala azar, characterized by discolored skin, fever, and enlarged spleen, is easily confused with other diseases, especially malaria. Kala azar was first noticed in Jessore in India in 1824, when patients suffering from fevers that were thought to be due to malaria failed to respond to quinine; by 1862 the disease had spread to Burdwan, where it reached epidemic proportions (71). The cause remained unknown, and several eminent clinicians, including Ronald Ross, were convinced that kala azar was a virulent form of malaria (230). It was not until the parasite, *L. donovani*, was discovered in 1900 by Leishman and Donovan (see below) that the true nature of the disease became apparent (118).

The discovery of the parasites responsible for the Old World cutaneous disease is controversial, and a number of observers described structures that might or might not have been leishmanial parasites from oriental sores (272). Credit for their discovery is usually given to an American, James Homer Wright (136, 279), although there is no doubt that they were actually seen in 1885 by David Cunningham (52, 136), who did not realize what they were, and in 1898 by a Russian military surgeon, P. F. Borovsky (118, 136). The discovery of the parasite that causes visceral leishmaniasis, *L. donovani*, is less controversial, and it is universally accepted that a Scottish army doctor, William Leishman (136, 155), and the Professor of Physiology at Madras University, Charles Donovan (64, 136), independently discovered the parasite in the spleens of patients with kala azar. It is fair to point out that Borovsky's discoveries were unknown to Wright and to Leishman and Donovan.

The search for a vector was a long one, and it was not until 1921 that the experimental proof of transmission to humans by sandflies belonging to the genus *Phlebotomus* was demonstrated by the Sergent brothers, Edouard and Etienne (239). The actual mode of infection, through the bite of the sandfly, was not finally demonstrated until 1941 (4, 136). The history of Old World leishmaniasis is described by Garnham (96), Manson-Bahr (183), and Wenyon (272).

In the New World, cutaneous and mucocutaneous leishmaniasis cause disfiguring conditions that have been recognized in
sculptures since the 5th century and in the writings of the Spanish missionaries in the 16th century (149). It was originally thought that New World Leishmaniasis and Old World leishmaniasis were the same, but in 1911 Gaspar Vianna found that the parasites in South America differed from those in Africa and India and created a new species, *Leishmania braziliensis* (264). Since then, a number of other species unique to the New World have been described. Following the discovery of the sandfly transmission of Old World leishmaniasis, the vectors in the New World were also assumed to belong to the genus *Phlebotomus*, but in 1922 it was discovered that the genus involved was actually *Lutzomyia*. Over the last two decades, the complex pattern of species of parasite, vector, reservoir host, and disease has been painstakingly elaborated by Ralph Lainson and his colleagues (149).

**Malaria**

Malaria is one of the most important infectious diseases in the world, and its history extends into antiquity. The disease is caused by four species of the genus *Plasmodium*, *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, *P. ovale*, and *P. malariae*. Similar parasites are common in monkeys and apes. It is now generally held that malaria arose in our primate ancestors in Africa and evolved with humans, spreading with human migrations first throughout the tropics, subtropics, and temperate regions of the Old World and then to the New World with explorers, missionaries, and slaves. The characteristic periodic fevers of malaria are recorded from every civilized society from China in 2700 BC through the writings of Greek, Roman, Assyrain, Indian, Arabic, and European physicians up to the 19th century. The earliest detailed accounts are those of Hippocrates in the 5th century BC, and thereafter there are increasing numbers of references to the disease in Greece and Italy and throughout the Roman Empire as its occurrence became commonplace in Europe and elsewhere. Over this period, it became clear that malaria was associated with marshes, and there were many ingenious explanations to explain the disease in terms of the miasmas rising from the swamps (112).

Our scientific understanding of malaria did not begin until the end of the 19th century following the establishment of the germ theory and the birth of microbiology, when it became necessary to discover the cause of the disease that was then threatening many parts of the European empires. The discovery of the malaria parasite and its mode of transmission are among the most exciting events in the history of infectious diseases, and this topic has been reviewed many times, particularly by Bruce-Chwatt (27), Garnham (94), Harrison (112), McGregor (170), Poser and Bruyn (218), and Wenyon (273).

The life cycle is a very complex one that begins when an infected anopheline mosquito injects sporozoites, the infectious stages, into the blood of its host. Sporozoites enter and multiply in liver cells, and thousands of daughter forms, merozoites, are released into the blood. These merozoites invade red blood cells, in which another phase of multiplication occurs; this process is repeated indefinitely, causing the symptoms of the disease we call malaria. Some merozoites do not divide but develop into sexual stages, the male and female gametocytes, that are taken up by another mosquito when it feeds; fertilization and zygote formation occur in the gut of the mosquito. The zygote develops into an oocyst on the outside of the mosquito gut, and within the oocyst there is another phase of multiplication that results in the production of sporozoites that reach the salivary glands to be injected into a new host. The parasites in the blood were first seen in 1880 by a French army surgeon, Alphonse Laveran, who was looking for a bacterial cause of malaria and who immediately realized that the parasites were responsible for the disease (152).

The discovery that the mosquito acted as a vector was due to the intuition of Patrick Manson. Manson had already demonstrated that filarial worms, also blood parasites, were transmitted by mosquitoes and postulated that the vector of the malaria parasite might also be a mosquito, partly because of his knowledge of the life cycle of filarial worms and partly because of the known association between the disease and marshy places in which mosquitoes breed (178). Manson was unable to undertake this investigation himself and persuaded Ronald Ross, an army surgeon, to carry out the work in India. The story of Ross’ discoveries has been told many times and is not repeated in detail here, since there are excellent accounts by Ross himself (231) and in the Ross-Manson collected letters (34) and also by Bruce-Chwatt (27), Garnham (94), Harrison (112), Manson-Bahr (182), Nye and Gibson (206), Poser and Bruyn (218), and Russell (236). In 1897, Ross saw what we now know to be the oocysts of *P. falciparum* in an anopheline mosquito that had fed on a patient with crescentic malaria parasites (gametocytes) in his blood, but he was unable to follow this up at the time (228). Turning his attention to a bird malaria, *P. relicta*, he found all the stages of the parasite in culicine mosquitoes that had fed on infected sparrows (136, 229). In making this discovery, Ross acknowledged the work of a young Canadian, William George MacCullum, whose studies on the development of the sexual stages of a related avian parasite *Haemoproteus columbae* had led him to the conclusion that these parasites were similar to those in the blood of humans with malaria (168, 136). In the same year that Ross made his discovery, the Italian malariologists Giovanni Battista Grassi, Amico Bignami, and Giuseppe Bastianelli described the developmental stages of malaria parasites in anopheline mosquitoes; the life cycles of *P. falciparum*, *P. vivax*, and *P. malariae* were described a year later (103). For nearly 50 years, the life cycle in humans remained incompletely understood and nobody knew where the parasites, which could not be seen in the blood, developed during the first 10 days after infection. In 1947, Henry Shortt and Cyril Garnham, working in London, showed that a phase of division in the liver preceded the development of parasites in the blood (242). The final brick was put in place when an American clinician, Wojciech Krotoski, in collaboration with Garnham’s team, showed that in some strains of *P. vivax* the stages in the liver could remain dormant for several months (142). Sadly, the discovery of the life cycle of the malaria parasite eventually led to acrimony between Ross and Manson and between the British and the Italians, something that still rumbles on a century later (56, 63, 76).

**Toxoplasma, Toxoplasmosis, and Infections Caused by Related Organisms**

Toxoplasmosis is one of the most common and widespread parasitic infections but is relatively little known because in the
majority of cases, infections are asymptomatic; however, it can be a serious cause of mortality and morbidity in fetuses and immunodeficient individuals. The parasite that causes the infection, *Toxoplasma gondii*, was discovered independently by the French parasitologists Charles Nicolle and Louis Herbert Manceaux while looking for a reservoir host of *Leishmania* in a North African rodent, the gundi *Ctenodactylus gondi* (136, 201) and by Alfonso Splendore in São Paulo in rabbits (136, 245). At about the same time, Samuel Taylor Darling saw what were probably similar organisms in a human (53), and the first definitive observation of *T. gondii* from a child in connection with an infection was made by a Czech physician, Josef Janku, in 1923 (130). Even then, *T. gondii* was largely regarded as an interesting curiosity until an association with human congenital disease was recognized in 1937 by Arne Wolf and David Cowen (277). This association was followed by the realization that *T. gondii* rarely causes disease even though it is a very common parasite of adults but that in pregnant women the parasite can cross the placenta and can damage the fetus. The early history of the discovery of *T. gondii* and toxoplasmosis is discussed by Wenyon (273) and Dubey and Beattie (65).

While these developments were taking place, there were increasing numbers of records from virtually all species of mammals and many birds, but the nature of the parasite remained obscure until the life cycle had been worked out. The life cycle of *T. gondii* is a very complicated one and remained elusive until 1970, when scientists in Britain, Germany, The Netherlands, and the United States independently demonstrated that this parasite was a stage in the life cycle of a common intestinal coccidian of cats. In the most simple form of the life cycle, cats become infected when they swallow oocysts, the resistant infective stages containing sporozoites, which invade and multiply in intestinal cells, where sexual stages are produced, fertilization occurs, and oocysts are produced. However, there is an alternative life cycle. If the oocysts are swallowed by a mouse (or any other nonfeline host), multiplication occurs in the intestinal cells, but instead of sexual stages being produced there follows a disseminated infection during which resistant stages form in the brain and muscle. There is no further development in the mouse, but when the mouse is eaten by a cat, the life cycle reverts to its basic sexual pattern. Humans are infected in the same way as mice if they consume oocysts, but they can also become infected by eating any kind of meat containing the resistant forms. It is therefore not surprising that the life cycle remained elusive until William McPhee Hutchison, working in Glasgow in 1965, showed that the infectious agent was passed in the feces of cats. At the time he thought that it was transmitted with a nematode worm, as happens with the flagellate *Histomonas meleagridis* and the nematode *Heterakis gallinarum* in fowl. Hutchison subsequently identified protozoan cysts in the feces as those of a coccidian related to *Isospora*, a common parasite of cats (125, 126). In the meantime, other groups were following up Hutchison’s 1965 observation of the presence of infectious agents in the feces of cats, and Hutchison’s incrimination of the *isosporan* parasite of cats as *T. gondii* was independently confirmed by Jack Frenkel (90) and Harley Sheffield (241) in the United States, Gerhard Piekarski in Germany (216), and J. P. Overduive in The Netherlands (210). The discovery of the *T. gondii* life cycle initiated a massive search for similar phases in the life cycles of other coccidian parasites, with the result that a number of protozoa that had not been properly identified were classified as stages in the life cycle of other poorly understood coccidians and that in many cases transmission depended on a predator-prey relationship (250). Humans are infected with two related parasites, *Sarcocystis hominis* and *S. suihominis*, acquired from beef and pork, respectively, and *S. lindemanni*, whose source is unknown. The early history of our knowledge of *Sarcocystis* is covered by Wenyon (273), and subsequent discoveries are described by Tadros and Laarmann (250).

Humans are also hosts to three other species of coccidia, *Isospora belli*, *Cryptosporidium parvum*, and *Cyclospora cayetanensis*, that have in the past been regarded as rare and accidental curiosities but have recently been identified as pathogens in AIDS patients and other immunocompromised individuals. All have simple life cycles initiated by the ingestion of oocysts followed by multiplication and spread within the intestinal cells of the host and the eventual production of sexual stages, as for *T. gondii* infection in cats. *C. parvum* was discovered in 1912 by the American parasitologist Edward Ernest Tyzzer in the gastric glands of laboratory mice in which he had previously found another species, *C. muris* (259). *C. parvum* is not very host specific, and the first cases in humans were recorded in 1976 independently by Nime (203) and Meisel (186). From 1981 onward, numerous new cases began to be recognized in AIDS patients. The oocysts *Cryptosporidium* are very resistant to chlorination, and the source of these infections is probably drinking water contaminated with cattle feces. *Cryptosporidium* infections are now known to be very common and have caused a number of epidemics in which the victims have experienced abdominal pain and diarrhea. In immunocompetent individuals, especially those infected with HIV, the infection can become disseminated to the liver, pancreas, and respiratory tract and can be fatal. There is an excellent history of human cryptosporidiosis by McDonald (169) and a short but useful review by Dubey et al. (66).

*C. cayetanensis* is another coccidian that is associated mainly with AIDS. In 1979, the English parasitologist Richard Ashford found an unidentified coccidian in patients in Papua New Guinea (8), but it received little attention until it was found again in the stools of patients with HIV by Soave et al. in 1986 (243). In 1992, this parasite was named *Cyclospora cayetanensis* (209), and since then it has been identified as the cause of a number of outbreaks of diarrhea and fatigue in both immunocompetent and immunosuppressed individuals (108). *Cyclospora* infections are known to be transmitted in water and on fruit, but the original source is not known.

The last of this group of parasites, *Isospora belli*, discovered by Woodcock in 1915 (278), is another coccidian frequently found in asymptomatic immunocompetent individuals but associated with diarrhea in AIDS patients. The whole subject of parasitic infections in immunocompromised hosts is discussed by Ambroise-Thomas (5).

**Microsporidians**

Microsporidians are extremely common spore-forming parasites of vertebrates and invertebrates that were until relatively recently grouped with myxosporidians as eudinosporidians and classified with or close to the Sporozoa. We now know that the
myxosporidians are more closely related to the Metazoa than the Protozoa and that the microsporidians are more closely related to the Fungi (38). Nevertheless, microsporidians are still regarded as the province of parasitologists and have become important as concomitant infections in AIDS patients. The life cycle of microsporidians is quite complex. The most conspicuous stage is the resistant gram-positive spore containing a coiled filament and an infective body, the sporoplasm. The host becomes infected when the spore is ingested or inhaled. The sporoplasm is extruded through the filament and penetrates a host cell, within which the organism multiplies and spreads to other cells; eventually, another generation of spores is produced. There are, however, many variations on this basic pattern. What are now thought to have been the spores of Nosma bombycis were described by Nāgālī investigating an outbreak of a disease called pēbrine in the silkworm Bombyx mori in 1857 (195) and studied in much more detail by Louis Pasteur in 1865 to 1870 (261). During the 19th century, microsporidians attracted considerable attention mainly as parasites of invertebrates. Our knowledge of human microsporidiosis in the past is limited because of difficulties in interpreting various structures that might or might not have been spores, but from the second decade of the 20th century onward, there have been a number of sporadic reports of what might have been human microsporidial infections. The first case was probably that of Encephalitozoon chagasi in a newborn baby recorded in 1927 (255), but the first authenticated record was not until 1959, when Hisakichi Matsubayashi and his colleagues in Japan found an Encephalitozoon sp. in boy with convulsions (185). Thereafter, there were reports of a number of sporadic cases of microsporidian infections in humans (38), but interest in this group really took off in 1988, when E. bieneschi was found in an AIDS patient (58). Since then, about 7 genera and 14 species associated with fulminating infections in immunocompromised patients and less serious infections in immunocompetent individuals have been described (36, 37) and the number of cases, particularly in AIDS patients, continues to rise (5). Despite their importance, very little is known about the transmission and epidemiology of the microsporidians.

SUMMARY AND CONCLUSIONS

The history of parasitology is a fascinating one, and parasites have been the subjects of some of the most exciting discoveries in the field of infectious diseases. We now know that many of the important parasites encountered today not only existed but were widespread in their distribution before written records began, and our early ancestors must have been aware of the presence of the largest and most common worms and of some of the diseases caused by parasites. The subsequent history of human parasitology revolves around early descriptions of a particular disease and the identification of the parasite causing the disease, not necessarily in this order; the elaboration of the life cycle; and, finally, the establishment of the causal relationship between the parasite and the disease. In this review, it has been possible only to touch on the major events and some of the personalities involved in these discoveries, but the history of parasitology has been well served in the scientific literature and the interested reader is referred to the appropriate sections in books that are concerned mainly with aspects of medicine, particularly tropical medicine, such as those by Ackermann (2), Brothwell and Sandison (25), Bynum and Porter (35), Chernin (42), Cox (48), Kiple (137), Mack (171), Norman (204), Ranger and Slack (221), Ransford (222), and Scott (238). There are also a number of publications dedicated to the history of parasitology, including those by Cox (49), Foster (89), Garnham (95), Hoeppli (120, 121), and Warboys (270). The most comprehensive work on the history of any aspect of parasitology is A History of Human Helminthology (105), which contains over 800 pages of detailed accounts of all the discoveries in the field of human helminthology. The two-volume Tropical Medicine and Parasitology: Classical Investigations edited by Kean et al. (136) requires a special mention, since it is an invaluable source of information consisting of whole articles, excerpts, and translations of most of the important papers in the history of parasitology.
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