Molecular Methods for Diagnosis of Viral Encephalitis

Roberta L. DeBiasi1,2,3* and Kenneth L. Tyler2,3,4

Department of Pediatrics, Division of Infectious Diseases,1 Department of Neurology,2 and Department of Medicine, Microbiology and Immunology,4 University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, and Denver Veterans Administration Medical Center,3 Denver, Colorado

INTRODUCTION .......................................................................................................................................................904

DISTINGUISHING ENCEPHALITIS FROM ENCEPHALOPATHY AND POSTINFECTIOUS

ENCEPHALITIS .....................................................................................................................................................904

Encephalitis versus Encephalopathy .................................................................904

Encephalitis versus Postinfectious Encephalomyelitis ..................................904

GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTIC METHODS AS APPLIED TO

THE DIAGNOSIS OF CNS INFECTION ...............................................................................................................905

CSF PCR.........................................................................................................................................................905

General comments .................................................................................................................................905

CSF PCR for diagnosis of viral CNS infection .........................................................905

CSF specimen handling and preparation ...............................................................907

Detection of amplified products ..............................................................................907

(i) Qualitative PCR .............................................................................................................................907

(ii) Quantitative PCR techniques .................................................................................................908

Sensitivity ..............................................................................................................................................909

Specificity ...............................................................................................................................................910

Positive and negative predictive values .................................................................911

Multiplex and Consensus Primer PCRs ...........................................................................911

Pan-herpesvirus assays .................................................................................................911

Herpesviruses with enteroviruses ..............................................................................911

Others ......................................................................................................................................................911

CNS Tissue PCR .................................................................................................................................911

SPECIFIC ENTITIES FOR WHICH MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTIC METHODS MAY

BE CONSIDERED ...........................................................................................................................................911

Viruses for Which PCR Is of Proven Efficacy in Diagnosis and Is Widely Available .................................................................................................................................911

Herpes simplex virus (HSV) .........................................................................................911

(i) General comments .......................................................................................................................911

(ii) Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value ...............................................................................912

(iii) Kinetics of PCR positivity ........................................................................................................912

(iv) Effect of antiviral therapy on PCR positivity ..............................................................................913

(v) Quantitative HSV PCR .............................................................................................................913

(vi) Role of HSV PCR in special clinical situations ........................................................................913

Enteroviruses (EV) .........................................................................................................................914

(i) General comments .......................................................................................................................914

(ii) Sensitivity and specificity of EV RT-PCR compared to culture ...............................................914

(iii) Impact on hospitalization and patient management ..................................................................914

JC Virus ......................................................................................................................................................915

Epstein-Barr virus .........................................................................................................................915

(i) Immunocompetent hosts .............................................................................................................915

(ii) Immunocompromised hosts .........................................................................................................915

Cytomegalovirus .........................................................................................................................916

Viruses for Which PCR Has Potential Efficacy in Diagnosis but Is Less Widely Available .................................................................................................................................916

Other human herpesvirus infections .........................................................................................916

(i) Varicella-zoster virus ....................................................................................................................916

(ii) Human herpesvirus 6 ..................................................................................................................917

Other viruses .........................................................................................................................................917

(i) Human immunodeficiency virus .................................................................................................917

(ii) Rabies virus ....................................................................................................................................918

(iii) Human T-cell lymphotrophic virus ..............................................................................................918

* Corresponding author. Mailing address: Departments of Pediatrics (Division of Infectious Diseases) and Neurology, University of Colorado Health Sciences Center, Box A036/B055, Denver, CO 80262. Phone: (303) 393-4684. Fax: (303) 393-5271. E-mail: Roberta.debiasi @uchsc.edu.
Viral Entities for Which Molecular Diagnostic Methods Are Not Readily Available and/or Are of Unproven Sensitivity or Specificity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Viral Entity</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Arboviruses</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(i) West Nile virus</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles virus</td>
<td>918</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK virus</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Use of PCR in the Diagnosis of Infections Which Can Mimic Viral CNS Disease

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Infection</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>M. pneumoniae</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. burgdorferi (Lyme disease)</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toxoplasma</td>
<td>919</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycobacterium tuberculosis meningitis</td>
<td>920</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

REFERENCES

INTRODUCTION

Over 100 viruses are known to cause acute viral encephalitis in humans (251). Viruses which infect the central nervous system (CNS) can selectively involve the spinal cord (myelitis), the brain stem (e.g., rhombencephalitis), the cerebellum (cerebellitis), or the cerebrum (encephalitis). Almost all acute viral infections of the CNS produce some degree of meningeal as well as parenchymal inflammation. The cardinal clinical and laboratory findings are largely similar regardless of the inciting agent and consist of fever, headache, and altered mental status, which are often accompanied by seizures and focal neurologic abnormalities. The cerebrospinal fluid (CSF) is abnormal in >90% of cases, typically consisting of a lymphocytic pleocytosis, mildly elevated protein, and normal glucose. In rare instances, such as West Nile virus (WNV) meningoencephalitis or cytomegalovirus (CMV) radiculomyelitis, polymorphonuclear cells rather than lymphocytes may be the predominant cell type, and this may provide a diagnostic clue. However, despite these variations, routine CSF studies only rarely lead to identification of a specific etiologic agent.

From a practical point of view, a clinician confronted with a patient with fever, headache, and altered mental status must initially distinguish encephalitis from noninfectious causes of brain dysfunction (encephalopathy). Having made this distinction, it is next necessary to distinguish cases in which brain injury is a direct consequence of viral infection from cases in which it occurs as a consequence of a postinfectious immune-mediated process (e.g., acute disseminated encephalomyelitis). Finally, the goal in cases of encephalitis is to identify an etiologic agent, with particular emphasis on diseases that require acute treatment, such as herpes simplex encephalitis (HSE) (17, 64, 190, 250, 252).

Diagnosis of viral infections of the CNS has been revolutionized by the advent of new molecular diagnostic technologies, such as the PCR to amplify viral nucleic acid from CSF (65, 230, 244, 261). Despite the use of newer techniques, including CSF PCR, up to 70% of cases of encephalitis remain of unknown etiology in modern surveys (100).

DISTINGUISHING ENCEPHALITIS FROM ENCEPHALOPATHY AND POSTINFECTION ENCEPHALITIS

Encephalitis versus Encephalopathy

From a neuropathological perspective, the cardinal difference between encephalitis and encephalopathy is the presence of inflammation. Patients with encephalopathy have focal or generalized dysfunction of neurons and supporting cells without inflammation and as a result are far less likely to have either fever or headache. The inflammatory response engendered by infection also typically results in both peripheral leukocytosis and CSF pleocytosis, both of which are absent in encephalopathy. Direct viral infection often results in areas of focal CNS injury that are reflected in focal abnormalities on magnetic resonance imaging (MRI) or other neuroimaging studies and in focal discharges on electroencephalography (EEG). Conversely, the more diffuse nature of brain dysfunction in encephalopathy typically induces generalized slowing without focal features on EEG and nonfocal neuroimaging studies. Although encephalopathy usually results from noninfectious processes, it is important to recognize that some infectious agents induce CNS dysfunction in the absence of either direct invasion or induction of a postinfectious immune-mediated response. Viral culture and/or PCR is unlikely to be positive in cases of encephalopathy (64).

Encephalitis versus Postinfectious Encephalomyelitis

It has been recognized for over a century that certain types of vaccination or infection with certain organisms could be followed 1 to 3 weeks later by a multifocal inflammatory demyelinating process (acute disseminated encephalomyelitis [ADEM]) that could involve cranial nerves (e.g., optic nerve), the cerebrum, the brain stem, and the spinal cord (60). ADEM appears to result from an immune-mediated attack against an antigen or antigens present in brain myelin. Despite intensive investigations, the precipitating infection for ADEM remains unknown in at least one-third of cases. The most commonly identified antecedent causes of postinfectious encephalomyelitis in North America are respiratory tract infections, while measles is the most important antecedent agent in the developing world. Key features that help in differentiating ADEM from encephalitis include a history of vaccination or a prodromal illness in the weeks preceding neurologic signs and symptoms. In ADEM, demyelination involving the optic nerve or spinal cord can result in monocular visual loss or symptoms of spinal cord dysfunction, which are generally rare in acute encephalitis. Exceptions to this rule can occur with West Nile virus and enteroviral infections, in which a poliomielitis-like acute flaccid paralysis may at times be the dominant clinical feature. MRI in ADEM typically shows multifocal lesions with a predilection for the white matter (see above), whereas acute encephalitis usually produces lesions that involve both gray and white matter. In classic encephalitis the brunt of injury typically
involves the cerebrum. Although direct viral infection can be associated with both cerebellitis and brain stem encephalitis (BSE), the presence of cerebellar and brain stem lesions associated with cerebral involvement should suggest the possibility of ADEM. The CSF profiles are similar in ADEM and acute viral encephalitis, although oligoclonal bands are more common in ADEM than in acute encephalitis. A positive CSF PCR for viral nucleic acid or positive viral cultures from CSF are strongly suggestive of direct infection rather than postinfectious immune-mediated disease (64).

**GENERAL PRINCIPLES OF MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTIC METHODS AS APPLIED TO THE DIAGNOSIS OF CNS INFECTION**

**CSF PCR**

**General comments.** PCR can be applied to the diagnosis of any disease in which nucleic acids (e.g., DNA and RNA) or their expression as mRNA plays a role (65). PCR techniques allow for the in vitro synthesis of millions of copies of a specific gene segment of interest, allowing the rapid detection of as few as 1 to 10 copies of target DNA from the original sample (Fig. 1). PCR has been widely used for detection of both DNA and RNA viruses in CSF. The widespread availability of an in-laboratory-developed test in most hospitals or ready access to a reference laboratory has led to the incorporation of PCR testing of CSF and body fluids as an integral component of diagnostic medical practice in the United States and other developed countries. Although less commonly utilized in clinical practice, other nucleic acid amplification techniques have successfully been utilized for detection of viral nucleic acid in cerebrospinal fluid, including nucleic acid sequence-based amplification (NASBA) and branched-DNA assay (48). In addition to the aforementioned clinical utility of PCR in identifying etiologic agents of CNS disease in specific patients and in quantitation of viral load to monitor duration and adequacy of antiviral drug therapy in the setting of various viral infections (such as human immunodeficiency virus [HIV] and neonatal herpes simplex virus [HSV]), multiple other potential uses are being evaluated on a research basis. These include (i) identification of productive viral infection versus postinfectious immune-mediated disease, such as identifying the nature of relapses following HSV encephalitis; (ii) identification of determinants of drug resistance, such as sequencing of amplified genes including antiviral targets; and (iii) investigation of the etiologies of neurologic diseases of uncertain case, such as multiple sclerosis, Alzheimer’s disease, and other neurodegenerative disorders. The discussion in this review focuses on the appropriate use of the PCR method and its application to the diagnosis of viral encephalitis (Tables 1 and 2).

**CSF PCR for diagnosis of viral CNS infection.** One of the most successful applications of CSF PCR is the diagnosis of
viral nervous system infections. PCR is ideally suited for identifying fastidious organisms that may be difficult or impossible to culture. The technique can be performed rapidly and inexpensively, with a turnaround time of 24 h or less rather than the standard minimum of 1 to 28 days required for culture. Unlike traditional culture methods that may yield negative results after a patient receives even small doses of antiviral drugs, CSF PCR retains its sensitivity after short courses of antiviral therapy or passive immunization. This allows the prompt initiation of empirical therapy when a patient first presents with suspected meningitis or encephalitis, without potentially compromising definitive diagnostic tests. PCR is also preferable to serologic testing, which often requires 2 to 4 weeks after acute infection for development of a diagnostic rise in antibody titers and is of limited value for viruses with high basal seroprevalence rates. In contrast to serologic testing, CSF PCR yields positive results during acute infection, when the amount of replicating virus is maximal. Finally, CSF PCR

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Organism</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
<th>Comments</th>
<th>References</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Viruses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>120, 219</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arboviruses</td>
<td>Unknown (not standardized)</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>CSF serology is more sensitive</td>
<td>107, 138, 153, 209</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WNV</td>
<td>60%</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>30, 108, 140, 230, 249</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BK virus</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enterovirus</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
<td>6, 160, 178, 180, 187, 192, 193, 195, 200, 203–206, 239, 240, 246</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Herpesviruses</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>82–100% in immunocompromised patients, ≥60% in congenital CMV infection</td>
<td>86–100%</td>
<td>Quantitation available to monitor response to therapy and predict disease severity</td>
<td>13, 21, 31, 45, 47, 48, 51–57, 98, 211, 215, 220, 221, 237, 254, 260</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV</td>
<td>98.5% as tumor marker in HIV patients with CNS lymphoma</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Predictive value in normal hosts is unclear; quantitation available for monitoring response to therapy and possibly assessing risk of CNS disease in HIV patients</td>
<td>10–12, 26, 44, 50, 56, 63, 87, 102, 106, 110, 119, 141, 155, 170, 171, 183, 219–221, 223, 233, 248</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV-6</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
<td>Poor positive predictive value for disease (30% positivity in normal hosts)</td>
<td>22, 34, 42, 73, 91, 109, 111, 128, 130–132, 145, 152, 222, 227, 228, 234, 259</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV-1 and -2</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td>Quantitation available (see text for multiple uses)</td>
<td>9, 16, 43, 52, 68–71, 83, 84, 102, 118, 123–127, 137, 139, 143, 156, 159, 163, 174–76, 182, 191, 212, 214, 229, 231, 232, 235, 236, 238, 243, 247, 253</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZV</td>
<td>80–95% in immunocompromised patients</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td></td>
<td>8, 59, 66, 75, 93–97, 112, 129, 134, 135</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HIV</strong></td>
<td>HIV RNA is present at all stages</td>
<td>&gt;95%</td>
<td>Quantitation available, correlates with likelihood of neurologic disease, and is useful for monitoring response to antiretroviral therapy</td>
<td>29, 32, 45–51, 53, 57, 77, 99, 151, 172, 184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>HTLV-1 and -2</strong></td>
<td>90% for HAM/TSP</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td>Quantitation available and may predict risk of neurologic disease</td>
<td>149, 162, 173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JC virus</td>
<td>50–75% for PML</td>
<td>98–100%</td>
<td>Quantitation available for assessing response to therapy and correlates with prognosis</td>
<td>20, 63, 67, 72, 76, 81, 88, 89, 106, 133, 157, 202, 223, 225, 226, 245, 258</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles virus</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td>Quantitation available to monitor load in SSPE patients in response to therapy</td>
<td>105, 123, 136, 150, 242</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rabies virus</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>24, 61, 241</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nonviral entities in differential diagnosis</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mycoplasma</td>
<td>Unknown</td>
<td></td>
<td>Sensitivity is difficult to assess in setting of clinical disease, since both active infection and autoimmune mechanisms of disease exist</td>
<td>1, 23, 164, 210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>M. tuberculosis</em></td>
<td>Variable (33–90%)</td>
<td>88–100%</td>
<td></td>
<td>18, 25, 40, 101, 169, 213, 230</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>R. burgdorferi</em> (Lyme disease)</td>
<td>17% for neuroborreliosis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Serologic diagnosis more sensitive</td>
<td>33, 142, 143</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><em>Toxoplasma</em></td>
<td>Variable (50–75%) in HIV patients</td>
<td>Variable</td>
<td></td>
<td>19, 58, 62, 116, 117</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
is substantially less invasive than brain biopsy, which was previously the “gold standard” for diagnosis of many CNS herpesvirus infections.

**CSF specimen handling and preparation.** Minimal amounts of specimen are required for CSF PCR testing; however, the sensitivity of PCR assays is related to the volume of specimen tested. Most assays can be run on a minimum of 30 μl of sample, but 100 to 200 μl may yield better results. The PCR is optimally run on freshly obtained CSF specimens; however, positive results have been obtained from archival specimens frozen for years. In general, the stability of RNA viruses in CSF samples is less that of DNA viruses. The decline in sensitivity over long-term storage has not been rigorously studied, but there is no appreciable loss in yield with brief storage at 4 or −20°C. If long-term archival storage is anticipated, specimens should be stored at −70°C and multiple freeze-thaw cycles should be avoided.

Prior to entry into the PCR, nucleic acids present in CSF must be made accessible. Although simple methods such as exposure to high temperature or repetitive freeze-thawing have been utilized to release nucleic acids, nucleic extraction and purification techniques, such as phenol-chloroform or spin column-based (Qiagen) techniques, have the advantage of providing pure nucleic acid for entry into the amplification reaction, along with removal of potential inhibitors of the PCR amplification reaction as well as substances that may degrade nucleic acid and reduce yield.

**Detection of amplified products.** (i) Qualitative PCR. Traditionally, the amplified PCR product is electrophoresed on an agarose gel and is transferred to a nitrocellulose membrane. The membrane is hybridized with a specific probe complementary to the sequence of the desired PCR product and visualized with either radioactivity or chemiluminescence. More recently, colorimetric detection methods have been developed, entailing

---

**TABLE 2. Summary of available diagnostic methods for viral meningitis and encephalitis**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Virus</th>
<th>PCR</th>
<th>Serology</th>
<th>Culture of specimens</th>
<th>Other specimens</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>CSF</td>
<td>Serum</td>
<td>CSF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adenovirus</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Arboviruses</td>
<td>+/d</td>
<td>+/d</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WNV</td>
<td>+/d</td>
<td>+/d</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Enteroviruses</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nonpoliovirus</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poliovirus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Herpesviruses</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CMV</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EBV</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HHV-6</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HSV-1, and HSV-2</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VZV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HIV</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Influenza virus</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>JCV</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lymphocytic choromeningitis virus</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mumps virus</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+/e</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Measles virus</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+/d</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paramyxovirus</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>−</td>
<td>+/−</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Parvovirus</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>+</td>
<td>−</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a Reprinted with permission from the American Academy of Neurology (65a).
b +/−, extremely effective for diagnosis; +, effective for diagnosis; +/−, variable effectiveness; −, not useful.
c Serologic indexing which compares CSF- to serum-specific antibody levels in reference to total CSF and serum albumin or total immunoglobulin may be required for definitive diagnosis. A fourfold rise in IgG from acute- and convalescent-phase specimens or a single positive IgM may also be diagnostic.
d Test not widely available but can be sent to research labs.
e Serologic indexing which may be difficult to interpret for vaccinated patients or in a postviral setting. In these patients, the presence of IgM indicates active or reactivated viral disease rather than past immunity.
f Direct fluorescent-antibody test (DFA) on tissues or secretions is more rapid and may be more sensitive than culture methods. The direct-fluorescent-antibody test has replaced Tzanck prep, which is less sensitive and specific.
the use of biotinylated primers used within the amplification reaction and resulting in labeled amplicon PCR products. Unlabeled “capture” oligonucleotide probes (complementary to the internal sequence of the amplicon) are preapplied to 96-well plates, and the labeled PCR product is incubated with the plate. Avidin-horseradish peroxidase conjugate is added to detect bound amplicon. A colorimetric readout is utilized, which can be quantified by measuring absorbance at 450 nm in a microwell plate reader.

(ii) Quantitative PCR techniques. An additional concern which may have an impact on the positive predictive value of a CSF PCR is that viruses which associate with peripheral blood mononuclear cells (PBMCs) (such as Epstein-Barr virus [EBV]) might be carried into CSF in a setting of inflammation, be detected by PCR (“bystander”), and lead to a spurious viral diagnosis. In actuality, this may be only a theoretical concern. In one study, only 11 of 2,222 specimens were positive for EBV by PCR, and in all cases the patients had illness consistent with EBV disease (CNS lymphoma, primary EBV infection, or bone marrow transplantation with fever) (180a). Quantitative PCR and reverse transcription-PCR (RT-PCR) have increasingly been applied to clinical samples. Several studies have suggested that nucleic acid copy number (viral load) may be a marker for the severity of disease or may help predict outcome, particularly in immunocompromised patients, although it remains to be determined whether this is true for all infections (2).

Qualitative PCR assays can be modified for quantification if an internal control is added at a known concentration or a standard curve is run in parallel with clinical specimens. However, real-time quantitative PCR techniques are more frequently utilized in clinical practice. In these assays, reagents that are capable of generating a fluorescent signal within the PCR amplification reaction can be monitored and quantified to reflect the starting amounts of nucleic acid template at each cycle of the PCR. Probe-based quantitative PCR methods rely on the use of a fluorescent reporter molecule that increases as the PCR product accumulates, which is composed of a sequence-specific oligonucleotide with an integrated fluorophore and quencher, as in molecular beacon probes (Scorpion) and TaqMan probes. FRET-based PCR (not shown) makes use of dual sequence-specific oligonucleotide probes with either integrated 3′ donor or 5′ acceptor fluorophores; the PCR product is quantified as a function of measured energy transfer between adjacent donor and acceptor fluorophores.
time quantitative PCR (78, 243) For FRET-based PCR, an oligonucleotide probe with a donor fluorophore (i.e., fluorescein) linked to the 3’ end is excited by an external light source and emits light that is absorbed by a second oligonucleotide probe with an acceptor fluorophore (i.e., rhodamine) linked at the 5’ end. Since both fluorophore-labeled oligonucleotides are designed to hybridize to adjacent sections of the same DNA template, energy transfer between donor and acceptor, which is proportional to the amount of specific PCR product generated, can be monitored. Optimization of the separation between donor and acceptor is a critical aspect of FRET-based PCR: ground-state interference may occur between donor and acceptor if the separation is too little, whereas decreased energy transfer and sensitivity may occur if the separation is too great.

Regardless of the probe-based method used, real-time analysis at each step of amplicon production is monitored by assessing fluorescence intensity, which is directly proportional to the quantity of nucleic acid that was amplified from the original sample.

As an alternative to probe-based techniques, SYBR Green-based quantitative PCR utilizes a sensitive DNA binding dye that it binds to any double-stranded product generated by the PCR (Fig. 3). Thus, the same dye (and master mix) can be used in different quantitative PCR approaches, but the specificity must be verified by other methods (see the text).

FIG. 3. SYBR Green-based quantitative PCR. SYBR Green-based quantitative PCR utilizes a sensitive DNA binding dye that binds any double-stranded product generated by the PCR. Thus, the same dye can be used in different quantitative PCR approaches, but the specificity must be verified by other methods (see the text).

Regardless of whether probe-based or SYBR Green-based methods are utilized, a rapid thermal cycler platform (such as the Roche LightCycler and ABI Prism 7000) is frequently utilized to carry out and analyze the quantitative PCR (181). Amplification and quantification occur in tightly closed glass capillary tubes, making transfer of amplified products for detection unnecessary and thus decreasing risk of sample contamination substantially. A built-in microvolume fluorimeter rapidly quantifies amplified products every cycle, which shortens the time required for amplification to 30 to 45 min rather than 3 to 4 h. Data are immediately transferred to a computer, which allows monitoring of PCR kinetics in real-time (Fig. 4) (79). More sophisticated analyses such as melting curve analysis of all PCR products can allow distinction between specific viral strains within a single PCR with a single set of primers, as is seen in the case of HSV type 1 (HSV-1) and HSV-2 quantitative PCR.

Sensitivity. Although the PCR technique is inherently highly sensitive due to the millionfold amplification of the genome present in the tested sample, the exact sensitivity in particular clinical situations is not known for many organisms due to lack of a gold standard for comparison. For some organisms, sensitivity is low, leading to false-negative results. Factors which might contribute to low sensitivity (false negatives) include low viral load due to delay in obtaining the CSF specimen for testing or rapid clearance due to robust host neutralizing antibody responses. False-negative tests may also occur if endogenous polymerase inhibitors that interfere with the PCR are
present in the CSF sample. Heme products from breakdown of erythrocytes may inhibit the PCR, but modest CSF xanthochromia, high protein levels, or high white blood cell counts do not have a negative impact on CSF PCR testing. In general, inhibitors such as endonucleases and exonucleases are much less commonly present in CSF than in other body fluids or tissues.

A variant of classical PCR termed nested PCR is utilized for analysis of specimens in which very few viral particles are presumed to be present (such as CSF), with the goal of substantially increasing the sensitivity and specificity of the PCR (224). In nested PCR, the first PCR is followed by an additional amplification with a second set of primers which are complementary to sequences internal to the sequence targeted by the first set of primers.

Replicating virus and viral nucleic acid do not persist indefinitely in infected patients, particularly in immunocompetent patients who mount an effective neutralizing antibody response. However, most CSF testing is performed in the clinical setting of suspected meningitis or meningoencephalomyelitis within 1 to 2 days following onset of neurologic symptoms, a time at which the yield from PCR testing is likely to be at its peak. Positive CSF PCR test results have been noted up to 4 weeks after onset of clinical symptoms, depending on the pathogen (244).

**Specificity.** The specificity of the PCR must also be considered. The exquisite sensitivity of PCR is both its greatest virtue and greatest potential limitation. A positive CSF PCR result indicates the presence of viral nucleic acid. In general, a positive CSF PCR result indicates recent or ongoing active viral infection of the CNS by that particular pathogen, especially in immunocompetent individuals. Possible exceptions, in which a positive CSF PCR result does not indicate true CNS viral infection (false-positive result), include cases in which a breakdown of the blood-brain barrier (e.g., in severe bacterial meningitis) or contamination of the CSF with blood has occurred. In these cases, infectious nucleic acid may be carried into the CNS and detected as a contaminant, rather than reflecting

**FIG. 4.** Quantitative real-time PCR with melting curve analysis. (A) Detection of HSV DNA in a serially diluted suspension by LightCycler PCR with the FRET assay. The sequential numbers indicated at the base of each signal designation refer to the corresponding sample number and dilution (two left-hand columns). (B) Melting curve analysis of HSV-1 and HSV-2 genotypes determined by LightCycler PCR with the FRET assay. Reprinted from reference 79 with permission.
local infection of the CNS itself. Some experts have also suggested that this scenario might also theoretically occur in the case of viral pathogens which preferentially infect leukocytes: viral genome present in circulating leukocytes may be carried into the CSF as bystanders during the host inflammatory response and detected by PCR (128, 244). Several other factors may contribute to the low specificity seen in some PCRs, such as nonspecific binding of primers to nontarget DNA, cross-reaction of primers with related viruses, and contamination of specimens. Methods to increase specificity (decrease false positives) of the PCR include stringent laboratory standards to avoid and detect contamination, such as inclusion of duplicate samples and positive and negative controls in each PCR run. A dedicated space for the PCR should be used, and positive pressure tips should be used for pipetting samples and reagents to limit the chance of carryover contamination. In addition, the specificity of all amplified PCR products should be confirmed by hybridizing the gel on which the product was electrophoresed and visualized with pathogen-specific probe.

Positive and negative predictive values. The predictive value of any test depends not only on the specificity and sensitivity of the test but also on the prevalence of the pathogen or disease in the population in which it is being tested. Positive CSF PCR results may be more difficult to interpret for neurotropic pathogens which also cause non-CNS disease with a high baseline prevalence in the general population, since the frequency with which viral nucleic acid is detectable in CSF in the absence of clinical CNS disease is not well delineated. A good example of this is with regard to CSF PCR testing for human herpesvirus 6 (HHV-6) in immunocompetent hosts. CSF PCR testing for HHV-6 inherently has a low positive predictive value, since the viral genome has been detected in the CSF of healthy children in the absence of CNS disease (see “HHV-6” section below).

Multiplex and Consensus Primer PCRs

There has been great interest in the development of PCR assays that are capable of detecting multiple pathogens by PCR simultaneously in a single clinical CSF sample. Multiplex techniques utilize two or more primer pairs directed at pathogen-specific unique sequences within the same PCR. In order to preserve amplification efficiency, all primer pairs must be optimized for similar amplification conditions (48). Alternatively, consensus primer PCR makes use of a single primer set directed against sequences which are highly conserved among various viral species classified within a single viral family (for example, herpesviruses). In this case, a positive PCR result must be further characterized by hybridization with virus species-specific probes or analysis by restriction enzyme digestion.

Pan-herpesvirus assays. Several groups have developed assays that contain primers for detection of HSV-1, HSV-2, varicella-zoster virus (VZV), CMV and EBV, and HHV-6 in various combinations (28, 35, 39, 86, 147, 158, 218). These assays may prove to be clinically useful in the diagnosis of HIV patients presenting with a CNS disease, since multiple herpesviruses are capable of causing neurologic symptoms in this subset of patients (177).

Herpesviruses with enteroviruses. Since HSV and enterovirus (EV) are the two most commonly identifiable etiologies of sporadic encephalitis in immunocompetent individuals and since PCR testing for both diseases has become the gold standard for diagnosis (see “HSV” and “EV” sections below), there has been interest in the development of assays that can simultaneously detect these pathogens. Several groups have developed multiplex PCR assays that are capable of detecting HSV-1 and -2, EV, and VZV in a single CSF specimen (36, 37, 180).

Others. Multiplex and/or consensus PCR has also been developed for detecting multiple polyomaviruses (JC virus [JCV], BK virus, and simian virus 40) (82) in immunocompromised patients, for codetection of EBV and Toxoplasma in AIDS patients (183), and for detection of various agents of arboviral infection for purposes of both clinical diagnosis and mosquito surveillance (107, 207).

CNS Tissue PCR

Tissue PCR remains a research technique that requires further study prior to its potential use as a clinical diagnostic method. The significance of detection of several types of viral genomes, such as HSV, in CNS tissues is uncertain. Further studies are needed to determine whether the entire viral genome is present and, if so, whether this represents latent virus or virus potentially capable of reactivating. Positive PCR results may instead represent the presence of random viral sequences or fragments of virus in neurons or other CNS cells (128).

SPECIFIC ENTITIES FOR WHICH MOLECULAR DIAGNOSTIC METHODS MAY BE CONSIDERED

Viruses for Which PCR Is of Proven Efficacy in Diagnosis and Is Widely Available

Herpes simplex virus (HSV). (i) General comments. HSE is the most common cause of acute sporadic (nonepidemic) focal encephalitis in both the United States and the Western world. Ninety percent of adult cases of HSE result from infection with HSV-1, with the remainder due to HSV-2 (15). Fewer than 10% of cases of HSE in immunocompetent adults are due to HSV-2. However, a number of cases of adult HSV-2 meningoencephalitis have been reported in patients with AIDS (15, 90, 114, 115, 146, 190). The search for a rapid, sensitive, and specific test for the noninvasive diagnosis of HSE has been one of the most urgent problems in clinical neurovirology. PCR amplification of HSV DNA from CSF has finally filled this void.

The widespread use of PCR amplification of HSV DNA from CSF has led to modification of our understanding of the signs and symptoms of HSE and has dramatically broadened the recognized spectrum of HSV CNS infections (70, 71, 83). The clinical features of PCR-defined HSE are generally similar to those previously defined for biopsy-proven disease, although the overall severity of illness identified in PCR-proven cases appears to be considerably milder than that in biopsy-proven series. This difference is also reflected in the fact that in PCR-based series approximately 15 to 20% of patients are identified as having mild or atypical forms of HSE that would almost certainly have previously gone unrecognized.
(ii) Sensitivity, specificity, and predictive value. In cases of HSE, virus is cultured from CSF in fewer than 5% of cases. However, in the overwhelming majority of cases, HSV-specific DNA is present and can be detected by PCR (139, 174, 175). Although previously considered the optimal diagnostic approach for patients suspected of having HSE (214), brain biopsy is now only rarely employed for diagnosis of HSE, since amplification of HSV DNA from CSF by PCR has provided a noninvasive test with speed, specificity, and sensitivity equivalent to that of brain biopsy but without the associated risk of complications. In the most comprehensive study to date, CSF PCR was positive in 53 of 54 patients (98%) with biopsy-proven HSE and was negative in 94% of biopsy-negative cases. This results in a sensitivity of 98%, a specificity of 94%, a positive predictive value of 95%, and a negative predictive value of 98% (139). A recent review of the use of PCR for diagnosis of HSE that combined results achieved in several studies estimated that the sensitivity of PCR for the diagnosis of HSE was 96% and that the specificity was 99% (229). These values can be used in Bayesian decision analysis to determine the effects of both positive and negative CSF PCR results on the likelihood of HSE (Table 3) (229).

It is critical to recognize that in a patient with a high probability of HSE based on clinical and laboratory findings, a negative CSF PCR does not rule out HSE; it merely reduces its likelihood. Information concerning the sensitivity and specificity of HSV DNA amplification from CSF in pediatric and neonatal HSE is limited (9, 231, 236). In one study (232), CSF PCR for HSV DNA correctly diagnosed HSE in three of four neonates with HSV infection and CNS involvement and in all four “proven” cases of HSE in infants and children. No HSV DNA was detected in 105 control children or in 4 neonates with HSV infection that did not involve the CNS. Six infants and children with non-HSV encephalitis were also PCR negative for HSV DNA. Overall, HSV PCR in neonates had a sensitivity of 75%, a specificity of 100%, a positive predictive value of 100%, and a negative predictive value of 98%.

(a) False negatives. The potential of generating false-negative PCR results is obviously of concern, as this may result in failure to treat or to complete treatment in patients who would benefit from therapy. In a study by Lakeman and colleagues (139), 164 CSF specimens were tested for PCR-inhibitory activity by spiking them with 200 copies of HSV DNA and then testing the capacity of PCR to detect this added DNA. Two of the 164 specimens (1%) contained inhibitory activity. In another similar study, only 1 of 64 CSF specimens (1%) was found to have PCR-inhibitory activity (159). In the study by Lakeman and colleagues, one of the PCR-inhibitory CSF specimens contained hemolyzed erythrocytes, and the other was quite xanthochromic. It has been suggested that porphyrin compounds derived from the degradation of heme in erythrocytes may account for some false-negative PCR results (16). This suggests that caution should be used in interpreting negative PCR results in bloody CSF specimens. Rare CSF specimens contain inhibitory activity for the Taq polymerase, even in the absence of hemolyzed erythrocytes or their breakdown products (16, 68). Determination of intrathecal synthesis of HSV-specific antibody (118) may complement PCR, especially in patients who have already been ill for a week or more at the time that the first CSF specimen becomes available for diagnostic testing (52). Antibody tests are insensitive early after onset of illness but become positive in the majority of patients 2 weeks after onset of illness and later (14). For this reason, especially for patients with symptoms that have lasted a week or more, both CSF PCR and CSF or serum antibody studies should be performed.

(b) False positives. The incidence of false-positive CSF PCR results for HSV meningitis and encephalitis seems to be exceedingly low when the studies are performed in experienced laboratories using accepted standards and methods to avoid inadvertent contamination. Isolated examples of laboratories with high numbers of false-positive tests have been traced to improper procedures resulting in sample-to-sample contamination (84), but fortunately this problem can be eliminated by appropriate attention to approved laboratory practices. As noted, in the series by Lakeman and colleagues 3 of 47 brain biopsy-negative patients were found to be CSF PCR positive for HSV DNA. If all of these patients are considered false positives, this represents an incidence of about 6%. This is likely to be a substantial overestimate, as it is likely that most, if not all, of these cases were actually examples of false-negative brain biopsy results (139). In another study, none of 83 patients with “other neurologic disorders” and none of 30 patients with non-HSV-related meningitis or encephalitis had positive HSV CSF PCR results (159). It is important to recognize that these studies assume that PCR testing was done in an appropriate clinical setting (e.g., for a patient with suspected acute meningitis or encephalitis).

(ii) Kinetics of PCR positivity. It is vital in evaluating the diagnostic utility of PCR for HSE to understand when viral DNA initially appears in the CSF, how long it remains detectable, and what effects antiviral therapy have on CSF HSV DNA levels. Initial studies of CSF PCR in HSE suggested that results became positive early after infection. However, recent studies have found that CSF PCR may be negative when performed during the first 72 h. In one recent study, 3 of 11 patients were found to have an initially negative CSF PCR performed at 1 to 3 days after onset of symptoms, which subsequently became positive when repeated 4 to 7 days later (247). This result is significantly higher than the rate of ~5% seen in earlier studies (102). Until further data are available, it would seem prudent to interpret negative CSF PCRs with caution when they are obtained within 72 h of symptom onset. Patients for whom no alternate diagnosis is identified should have their HSV CSF PCR repeated between days 4 and 7. Acyclovir therapy should not be discontinued on the basis of a negative CSF PCR obtained early (<72 h) after onset of symptoms for patients in whom the clinical suspicion of HSE remains high. Despite these concerns, retrospective studies of patients with negative HSV PCR testing suggest that fewer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Pretest probability (%) of HSE</th>
<th>Posttest probability (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>CSF PCR positive</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(low) 5</td>
<td>83.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(medium) 35</td>
<td>98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(high) 60</td>
<td>99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Reprinted with permission from Excerpta Medica (229).
than 0.4% of these cases may have been false negatives (examples of HSE missed by PCR) (176).

(iv) Effect of antiviral therapy on PCR positivity. Lakeman and colleagues (139) found no effect of antiviral therapy lasting 1 week or less on detection of HSV DNA in CSF. However, only 47% of specimens from patients who received 8 to 14 days of antiviral therapy and only 21% of specimens from those receiving more than 2 weeks of therapy remained positive for HSV DNA. For the majority of patients included in this study, antiviral therapy consisted of vidarabine rather than acyclovir, so caution should be used in extrapolating these results to patients treated with acyclovir. However, in another study in which approximately two-thirds of the patients were treated with acyclovir (the remaining one-third having received vidarabine), HSV was shown to persist for at least 5 days after the start of antiviral therapy (212). Interestingly, it has been suggested that the amount of HSV DNA in the CSF actually increases during the first 5 to 6 days of acyclovir therapy and then subsequently declines (102, 174). Abortive replication of HSV in the presence of acyclovir may generate large quantities of small viral DNA fragments that facilitate PCR amplification (102, 174). In the majority of treated cases of HSE there is a sharp decline in the number of PCR-positive cases after 2 weeks, and HSV DNA is virtually never detected after 30 days (16, 212). As a result of these findings, it was recently suggested that CSF PCR should be performed upon completion of 10 to 14 days of acyclovir therapy and that patients who still have detectable HSV DNA in their CSF should receive an additional course of therapy (52).

(v) Quantitative HSV PCR. Few studies evaluating the potential utility of quantitative HSV PCR in determining prognosis of HSV encephalitis currently exist (69, 182, 253). In one study (69), patients with >100 copies of HSV DNA per μl of CSF (high copy number) had poorer outcomes than those with lower levels. In general, larger amounts of HSV DNA were found in CSF of patients with a longer duration of symptoms. For example, patients with high copy numbers had a mean of 6.6 ± 3 (standard deviation) days of symptoms, compared to 3.9 ± 3 days for those with low copy numbers. Patients with higher DNA copy numbers also tended to be older (mean age of 45 ± 19 years, compared to 25 ± 19 years). A higher copy number also reflected disease severity, with all patients having high copy numbers having a reduced level of consciousness, compared to only 43% of those with low copy numbers. All patients with high copy numbers also had CT scan abnormalities, compared to only 14% of patients with low copy numbers. As might be expected from these data, patients with high copy numbers also had a significantly worse prognosis than those with low copy numbers. Mortality was 11% in those with high copy numbers, and 63% of the survivors had moderate or severe impairment at a 3-month follow-up. By contrast, all patients with low copy numbers survived, and all had normal function at a 3-month follow-up. However, in a separate study (182), initial HSV DNA levels were not related to severity of clinical symptoms or predictive of outcome.

Quantitation of HSV viral load in the setting of neonatal HSV infection has been studied and may be useful for assessing prognosis, as well as provide additional information for clinical management (127, 182). Patients with disseminated infection had higher viral loads in their sera, whereas patients with CNS infection exhibited higher CSF viral loads. Serum viral loads were significantly higher in patients who subsequently died, and HSV-2-infected patients had higher CSF viral loads than HSV-1-infected patients, along with more CNS involvement and neurologic impairment.

(vi) Role of HSV PCR in special clinical situations. (a) Management of recurrent disease. A small number of adult patients with confirmed HSE will have a recurrence of symptoms following antiviral therapy (126, 238). The incidence of recurrent disease has been <10% in most reports of adult cases, but it may be significantly higher in children (126) and particularly in neonates (125) (see below for further discussion of neonatal HSV). It may be difficult to distinguish between postinfectious demyelination and ongoing infection as causes of recurrent symptoms. The absence of HSV DNA detectable by CSF PCR and the presence of white matter lesions on MRI suggest an immune-mediated postinfectious encephalopathy. A persisting positive CSF PCR or reisolation of virus on brain biopsy should prompt retreatment. Acyclovir-resistant isolates of HSV may arise as a result of mutations in the genes encoding either the viral thymidine kinase or DNA polymerase.

(b) HSV brain stem encephalitis. HSV is probably the most important cause of sporadic viral brain stem encephalitis (BSE) (186, 208). The clinical presentation of HSV BSE is indicative of multifocal involvement of the brain stem from midbrain to medulla. Several cases have been reported in patients with AIDS (104, 161). HSV CSF cultures are positive in a minority of cases (191), but evidence of increased intrathecal synthesis of HSV-specific antibody is supportive of the diagnosis. CSF PCR has been positive in isolated cases of both acute and recurrent brain stem encephalitis (43, 156, 163). CSF PCR has been used to amplify HSV-1-specific DNA from the CSF of a patient with recurrent episodes of BSE (225). These reports suggest that the diagnosis, as well as the clinical and epidemiological spectra of HSV BSE, may become more clearly delineated with the more widespread use of CSF PCR for antemortem diagnosis.

(c) Neonatal disease. The application of PCR to neonatal HSV disease has expanded our understanding of the extent to which CNS involvement occurs in each of the three presentations of neonatal HSV disease. In a retrospective study of 77 neonates with HSV (125), HSV DNA was detected in the CSF of 93% of infants with disseminated infection and 76% of infants with CNS disease, but also in 24% of infants with disease presumed to be limited to skin, eye, and mouth (SEM disease). This finding has led to the appreciation that infants diagnosed with SEM disease may also be at increased risk for long-term neurologic sequelae, particularly with three or more SEM recurrences in the first year following diagnosis. CSF PCR was also analyzed as a prognostic marker in that study: 95% of infants with positive PCR following completion of 10 days of antiviral therapy experienced significant morbidity and mortality. Quantitative PCR techniques are currently being studied to provide more detailed information about the kinetics of neonatal HSV disease and impact of viral load on outcome. In a subsequent prospective study of 26 neonates with SEM HSV disease, the efficacy of suppressive acyclovir against recurrences of SEM disease was evaluated for 6 months following resolution of the initial neonatal HSV diagnosis. Eighty-one percent of the infants remained recurrence free, in
comparison to 54% of historical controls who did not receive suppressive therapy, and one infant with SE recurrence had detectable HSV DNA in CSF by PCR (124).

Enteroviruses (EV). (i) General comments. Enteroviruses are RNA viruses (plus sense) of the picornavirus family. Sixty-four unique serotypes have been identified, which have been subgrouped as poliovirus, coxsackievirus, echovirus, or enteroviruses 68 to 71. Enteroviral infection occurs predominantly as a summer and fall illness (July to October), with a higher incidence in children than in adults (198, 203-205). Although EV infection may present as a nonspecific febrile illness with or without rash, the virus is neurotropic and CNS infection may occur. The spectrum of CNS disease is broad, including benign aseptic meningitis, which leads to 75,000 cases and 50,000 hospitalizations per year in the United States and accounts for 80 to 92% of cases where an etiologic agent is identified (189). Fifty percent of infants and children with febrile illness due to EV have concomitant meningeal involvement. Enteroviral encephalitis occurs as either a focal or a diffuse process and is the third most commonly identified cause of all encephalitis, after herpes simplex virus and arboviruses (194). EV may also cause a severe sepsis syndrome in newborns, consisting of meningocencephalitis, myocarditis, and hepatitis, leading to severe morbidity and mortality in that population (5). Chronic meningoencephalitis may occur in agammaglobulinemic patients (246).

(ii) Sensitivity and specificity of EV RT-PCR compared to culture. The 5′ nontranslated of the EV genome is useful for detection by RT-PCR, since it is a highly conserved sequence among all enterovirus serotypes. This region contains elements essential for replication of the viral genome as well as translation of its protein-coding region. Enteroviral RT-PCR allows rapid detection (<24 h) of EV in clinical samples, in contrast to the 4 to 8 days required for viral culture (192, 193). Rapid distinction of polioviruses from other enteroviruses is possible by utilizing restriction analysis of PCR products. Colorimetric, nonquantitative methods are highly accurate and provide results within 4 h (200). Single-tube, quantitative detection of the EV genome by using real-time EV RT-PCR has been demonstrated in a linear range spanning 5 log units, with a sensitivity of 96 to 100% and a specificity of 96% compared to viral culture and with results available in 4 h (160, 178, 239, 240).

Although EV RT-PCR is readily available at most academic institutions, NASBA is an additional molecular method that may be considered as a suitable alternative for sensitive amplification and detection of enterovirus sequences in a range of clinical specimens, including CSF (85). NASBA is an isothermal, in vitro transcription-based amplification method which does not require specialized equipment (such as a thermal cycler) and has been developed into convenient kits for use in clinical laboratories in which RT-PCR methodology is not available.

There are multiple reasons why nonmolecular methods of enteroviral detection are suboptimal (160, 178, 194, 239, 240). Viral culture is insensitive (65 to 75%), likely due to the presence of neutralizing antibody, the relatively low viral load at the time of diagnosis, and the fact that several EV serotypes are simply not cultivable. Prolonged time is required for isolation of EV from CSF by tissue culture, often up to 8 days. Culture is labor-intensive, requiring cultivation on multiple cell lines. Culture of throat swabs or stool specimens provides only circumstantial evidence of etiology, not causality, in the setting of CNS disease, since prolonged excretion from these sites (4 weeks from the oropharynx and 16 weeks from the gastrointestinal tract) may occur following EV infection. Serologic approaches are hampered by the diversity of EV serotypes. Because of these problems, there is often difficulty in distinguishing EV disease from bacterial meningitis or sepsis. This leads to excessive use of empirical intravenous antimicrobial interventional procedures and tests and prolonged hospitalization.

The sensitivity of EV RT-PCR greatly exceeds that of culture techniques, and it has replaced culture as the gold standard for diagnosis of EV infection of the CNS. Enterovirus PCR has high sensitivity and specificity, which are both estimated at >95%, and has been validated in multiple independent studies (160, 178, 187, 195, 200, 239, 240). The sensitivity of CSF PCR exceeds that of serum PCR (approximately 81 to 92% sensitivity) and urine PCR (62 to 77% sensitivity). Several strains of EV which are not cultivable are detectable by EV RT-PCR. This is one explanation for the observations in one study that several clinical samples with negative results by culture showed detectable EV genome by RT-PCR (206). Despite the excellent sensitivity of the CSF EV RT-PCR, results may be negative in the setting of EV71 CNS infection (unpublished observation).

EV PCR is a sensitive diagnostic modality for EV CNS disease in the pediatric population. Up to 50% of children less than 1 month of age with clinical illness compatible with EV infection but without CSF pleocytosis have been noted to have detectable EV genome in their CSF by RT-PCR. EV PCR of serum and urine is also highly useful in the diagnosis of neonatal enteroviral disease. In one study, a colorimetric (PCR) assay was demonstrated to be more sensitive than viral culture in identifying viral infection in initial serum and urine specimens from 16 enterovirus-infected newborn infants, and it remained more sensitive throughout their illnesses, with a combined sensitivity of serum and urine PCR of 88% and a specificity of 100% (6).

(iii) Impact on hospitalization and patient management. Enterovirus PCR has had a profound impact on the management of patients with aseptic meningitis (217). In a large study in 1998, a retrospective chart review was performed for 276 inpatients for whom CSF PCR for enterovirus had been performed (179). Diagnoses in these patients included aseptic meningitis, convulsions, fever, and unspecified illness. The impact of EV PCR on clinical parameters, including length of stay, time to discharge following the PCR result, use of medications, and ancillary tests, was evaluated. In this study, 187 of 276 patients (70%) had the EV PCR result available prior to hospital discharge; 95 of these patients were positive, and 92 were negative. When the 95 patients with positive test results were compared to the 92 patients with negative test results, significant reductions (P < 0.001 to 0.005) were found in length of hospital stay (42.4 versus 71.5 h), time from PCR test to hospital discharge (5.2 versus 27.4 h), number of ancillary tests performed (head CT or MRI, 10 versus 71.2%; EEG, 1 versus 18%), and days of intravenous antimicrobial exposure (2 versus 3.5 days). Several groups have attempted to estimate potential cost reductions related to management of patients with enteroviral meningitis with use of RT-PCR (148, 165, 168,
Marshall’s group (148) found a 59% reduction in overall hospital costs in one study of infants <6 months of age with CNS pleocytosis. Robinson’s group (185) found a mean cost savings of >$2,000 per patient in a study of pediatric aseptic meningitis. An important proviso is that these cost reductions are only possible with rapid turnaround of results to the clinician, which is feasible only when in-laboratory-developed testing is available.

While the availability of EV RT-PCR in cases of aseptic meningitis has had an impact on hospital costs and reduced unnecessary use of antimicrobials and imaging studies, EV PCR has also allowed the rapid identification of cases of more severe EV CNS infection and neonatal sepsis, allowing for initiation of specific antiviral therapy. Pleconaril, a drug which integrates into a hydrophobic pocket on the surface of the EV capsid and inhibits viral receptor binding and uncoating, has undergone extensive clinical testing and appears to be both safe and effective (4, 188, 196, 199). In a recent study of life-threatening enteroviral infections, 12 of 16 patients with chronic enteroviral meningoencephalitis, 2 of 3 patients with paralytic poliomyelitis (two vaccine associated and one wild type) and 2 of 3 patients with encephalitis and/or transverse myelitis showed clinical improvement following treatment. In most cases in which data were available, clinical improvement was associated with virological responses, including sterilization of positive cultures or conversion of positive PCRs to negative (197, 201). Although currently limited predominantly to research studies, Pleconaril is available on an open-label compassionate-use basis and should be considered for patients with potentially life threatening EV infections, including severe encephalitis, flaccid paralysis, neonatal sepsis, and chronic meningoencephalitis (197, 216).

JC virus (JCV). JC virus is the causative agent of progressive multifocal leukoencephalopathy (PML), a demyelinating CNS infection primarily affecting patients with AIDS. The utilization of CSF PCR to detect JCV DNA has become an important minimally invasive diagnostic test for this disease, which previously required brain biopsy for definitive diagnosis (63, 202). CSF PCR for JCV has a sensitivity of 50 to 75% and a specificity of 100% for the diagnosis of PML as demonstrated in prospective analyses of HIV-infected patients presenting with focal neurologic signs and symptoms (106, 245). Performing PCR on pellets of centrifuged CSF may be even more sensitive than analysis of CSF supernatants (133). JCV viral loads in urine and blood are not predictive of clinical CNS infection due to JCV, as viruria is found as frequently in HIV-positive individuals as in uninfected control subjects, and JCV viremia correlates only with the level of immunosuppression and not with the presence of PML (133).

A potential correlation between the JCV viral load in CSF and the PML prognosis has also been investigated by using quantitative PCR techniques (76, 81, 88, 89, 133, 225, 226, 258). In a recent study, CSF samples from 12 patients with PML were noted to have wide variations in JCV load (≥6 log units), with values of >4.7 log units associated with shorter patient survival time. No correlation was found between CSF viral load values and the global volume of damaged brain tissue, however (89). Another group studying patients with PML found differences between CSF and tissue JCV DNA concentrations of approximately 4 orders of magnitude and highly variable JCV DNA viral loads in CSF samples taken at comparable states of disease (72). CSF JCV loads were not related to absolute CD4 cell counts or plasma HIV viral loads in at least one study (226).

Other groups have used quantitative PCR to investigate the effect of highly active antiretroviral therapy (HAART) (72, 88), as well as cidofovir treatment (226), on JCV virus load in CSF and to determine the clinical outcomes of patients with AIDS-associated PML. A recent multicenter analysis of 57 such patients revealed that among HAART-treated patients with baseline JCV levels of <4.7 log units, reaching undetectable levels after therapy predicted longer survival (67). Similar findings have been reported by other groups (67, 157).

Epstein-Barr virus (EBV). (i) Immunocompetent hosts. EBV has been implicated as an etiologic agent of benign aseptic meningitis, encephalitis, cerebellar ataxia, myelitis, and ADEM in immunocompetent hosts. Meningoencephalitis can complicate infectious mononucleosis or can occur as the sole manifestation of EBV infection. There are no distinctive clinical features of EBV meningoencephalitis. Patients are often children or young adults. CSF PCR has been extremely useful in diagnosis of EBV CNS infections (87, 110, 119, 141, 219–221, 233). One study detected EBV DNA in the CSF of 7.4% of immunocompetent individuals with CNS disease of unknown etiology, using nested PCR (171). Surprisingly, given that seropositivity approaches 90% in older adults, CSF PCR is not positive in patients with latent EBV infection, and false positives rarely occur in EBV-seropositive individuals who develop non-EBV meningoencephalitis. Quantitative PCR studies are performed at some research laboratories, and determination of the EBV DNA copy number may help further distinguish true-positive active infections from rare cases with false-positive results from latent virus or rare patients with dual-positive CSF PCRs (220).

(ii) Immunocompromised hosts. EBV infection is associated with AIDS-related CNS lymphomas in HIV-infected patients, including primary CNS lymphoma (PCNSL) and AIDS-related non-Hodgkin lymphoma with CNS involvement (CNS-NHL). Patients with PCNSL and CNS-NHL often have positive EBV CSF PCR results, and the test appears to be a sensitive indicator of the presence of a tumor, with nearly 100% sensitivity and 98.5% specificity (44, 50, 56, 63, 170, 223). Using quantitative techniques, high CSF EBV DNA levels have been found in these settings, and viral load may be clinically useful, with high plasma EBV DNA levels also potentially predictive of CNS involvement (26, 106, 155). The availability of EBV PCR, in conjunction with JCV PCR (see “JCV” section above) in AIDS patients presenting with an intracranial mass has been a major advance in the management of these patients. PCR, in combination with clinical and neuroradiologic characteristics, provides another noninvasive tool to help differentiate CNS lymphomas from brain masses due to Toxoplasma infection or PML (JCV infection) without requiring brain biopsy in many cases (10).

In a recent study, real-time PCR was performed to quantify EBV DNA in CSF and plasma from 42 patients with AIDS-related NHL, with and without CNS involvement, as well as 20 patients with PCNSL and 16 HIV-infected controls with other CNS disorders (11, 26). EBV DNA was detected in the CSF from 8 of 12 (67%) patients with CNS-NHL and 16 of 20
(80%) patients with PCNSL, in contrast to only 7 of 22 (32%) patients with systemic NHL and 2 of 16 (13%) of the controls. EBV DNA levels were significantly higher in the CSF from patients with PCNSL or CNS-NHL compared to patients with systemic NHL or controls. No difference in plasma viral load was found between patient groups.

Quantitative EBV PCR has also recently been utilized to stratify the risk of EBV-associated CNS disease, including CNS lymphoma, encephalitis, and postinfectious neurologic complications. CNS lymphoma patients had high EBV loads (4.8 ± 0.2 log_{10} DNA copies/ml) and low CSF leukocyte counts, whereas encephalitis patients had high EBV loads (4.2 ± 0.3 log_{10} DNA copies/ml) and high leukocyte counts and postinfectious patients showed low EBV loads (3.0 ± 0.3 log_{10} DNA copies/ml) and high leukocyte counts. Additionally, lytic-cycle EBV mRNA, a marker of viral replication, was shown to present only in patients with CNS lymphoma and encephalitis (248).

PCR has also been utilized to monitor the response to therapy in HIV-infected patients with AIDS-related primary CNS lymphoma. Reductions in CSF EBV DNA burden following chemotheraphy, as monitored by semiquantitative nested PCR, has been significantly associated with prolonged survival in these patients, suggesting that EBV DNA monitoring might be helpful in predicting response to chemotherapy (11, 12).

In contrast to the association of EBV with CNS lymphomas in HIV patients, other authors have indicated uncertainty about the role of EBV in other neurologic illnesses in these patients. One study of HIV-infected patients with CNS disorders distinct from and unassociated with primary CNS lymphoma revealed detectable EBV DNA in the CSF of 10 of 79 patients. However, only 1 sample in 10 had EBV as the sole pathogen detected, whereas 6 samples in 10 were also positive for other microbial agents of recognized neurologic pathogenicity. None of six tested samples had a detectable intrathecal EBV antibody response, despite the presence of the EBV genome. The authors hypothesized that the presence of EBV DNA in the CSF of a large fraction of these patients could be an incidental event associated with EBV reactivation in host PBMCs, rather than indicating EBV-associated CNS disease (170).

Cytomegalovirus (CMV). Although 80% of immunocompetent individuals are seropositive for CMV by adulthood, CMV meningoencephalitis is largely a disease of congenitally infected newborns and immunocompromised individuals, including organ transplant recipients and those with advanced HIV infection. In immunocompromised individuals, infection results predominantly from reactivation of latent virus. Nervous system complications include diffuse ventriculomegaloencephalitis, focal periventricular disease, polyradiculomyelitis peripheral neuropathies, and retinitis (51). CSF PCR has proven to be an extremely useful technique for detecting CMV CNS infections in immunodeficient hosts, with a excellent sensitivity (82 to 100%) and specificity (86 to 100%) in HIV-infected patients (21, 30, 51, 55, 256, 257). CSF PCR appears to be a specific indicator of CNS infection, including retinitis (31, 237). The use of DNA PCR to detect CMV in CSF has greatly enhanced the ability to diagnose and differentiate CMV from other AIDS-related CNS diseases (98, 220, 221).

Quantitative CMV PCR is available in a number of laboratories, and CMV DNA copy number may provide a better index of disease severity (13, 211, 254). The CMV viral load in peripheral blood leukocytes has also been monitored as a method to predict which immunosuppressed patients might develop end-organ disease. The clinical utility of the test in the setting of congenital CMV infection is also being investigated, particularly with respect to correlating neurologic outcome with CSF viral load and efficacy of antiviral therapy (103, 121).

CMV PCR of CSF has also been utilized to identify the presence of antiviral-resistant strains from patient isolates (215, 256). Mutations within the UL97 region, which encodes a human CMV protein kinase, have been found to confer ganciclovir resistance and can be detected in plasma and CSF by direct sequencing of PCR products.

Other molecular techniques have been applied to the diagnosis of CNS CMV infection, including detection of CMV transcripts (human CMV mRNA). Transcription of late human CMV genes occurs only after viral replication, and therefore analysis for late gene transcripts should distinguish between active and latent infection. The pp67 late-gene transcript assay has been studied and compared to PCR and culture techniques for diagnosis of CNS CMV infection (21, 98, 260), and superior sensitivity has been suggested in some studies (98).

As with EBV PCR, CMV-seropositive individuals with latent infection do not have detectable DNA based on PCR testing. In one small study, 9% of immunocompetent CMV-seropositive individuals (1 out of 11) developed a positive CMV CSF PCR during bacterial meningitis, suggesting that other CNS infections can cause false-positive CMV PCRs, although the frequency with which this occurs in the population as a whole is unclear (221).

Viruses for Which PCR Has Potential Efficacy in Diagnosis but Is Less Widely Available

Other human herpesvirus infections. (i) Varicella-zoster virus. (a) Primary infection. Direct infection of the CNS by VZV during chicken pox is fortunately rare but can produce meningoencephalitis. Pathological studies indicate that many cases of encephalitis are in fact due to either large- or small-vessel vasculopathy resulting from viral infection of vascular endothelium (94, 129). More frequently CNS involvement occurs as a result of ADEM, a postinfectious, immune-mediated, predominantly demyelinating process. Both the direct and immune-mediated CNS effects of varicella need to be distinguished from Reye’s syndrome (74, 75).

VZV is rarely cultured from CSF, but a positive CSF PCR for VZV DNA has been found in ~60% of meningoencephalitis cases and, if present, distinguishes this from ADEM. Lower rates of CSF PCR positivity (~25%) have been reported in later analyses which included all CNS symptoms associated with VZV, not limited to meningoencephalitis, regardless of whether symptoms occurred in the setting of primary or reactivated infection (75, 134, 135). Intrathecal synthesis of VZV-specific antibody or the presence of anti-VZV immunoglobulin M (IgM) antibody in the CSF is also specific for encephalitis and may be positive when PCR studies are negative. With the availability of PCR, it is increasingly being recognized that both varicella meningoencephalitis can occur in the absence of a detectable rash: recent studies have reported that only about one-half of patients with acute CNS symptoms related to VZV had an associated typical rash (75,
HHV-6 has also been implicated as a cause of febrile convulsions. In one study, 80% of children with febrile convulsions had HHV-6 DNA detectable in CSF, suggesting the possibility that HHV-6 can cause a syndrome of recurrent febrile convulsions (132). However, the incidence of primary HHV-6 infection is similar in patients with febrile seizures and age-matched controls (109), and HHV-6 DNA was not detectable in CSF from any of 23 prospectively analyzed febrile seizure patients in a separate study (228).

These results are consistent with results of PCR on brain tissue in which HHV-6 DNA is detectable in >75% of normal brains (42, 145). In a PCR-based study to investigate the role of herpesviruses in neurologic syndromes, HHV-6 was detected in 1% of adult immunocompetent patients presenting with a variety of neurologic symptoms and, in some of the cases, concurrent with diagnosis of other infections of the central nervous system (220). Quantification of HHV-6 viral DNA may be important in determining the relevance of HHV-6 DNA in clinical specimens. An HHV-6 RT-PCR that can distinguish between latent and replicating virus in PBMCs has also been developed, and its application for the analysis of CSF specimens may also be helpful with regard to this issue.

Severe encephalitis is a rare complication of HHV-6 infection in immunocompetent children and appears to result from direct viral invasion of the CNS rather than from an immunemediated process (111, 259). HHV-6 also produces focal encephalitis in older children and adults. PCR testing for HHV-6 DNA of CSF from patients referred into Collaborative Anti-viral Study Group trials as having suspected HSV encephalitis suggested that ~7% of cases (9 of 138) might be due to HHV-6 (152). HHV-6 CSF PCR was also noted to be positive in 25% of children with influenza-associated encephalopathy, suggesting the possibility of reactivation in the presence of this disease (222).

Like CMV, HHV-6 becomes latent following primary infection and can reactivate during immunosuppression. Fatal HHV-6 encephalitis, presumably due to reactivation, has been reported in patients following bone marrow transplantation (22, 73). Several cases of fatal HHV-6 encephalitis have been reported in patients with AIDS (130, 131), and virus can be detected by PCR in the CSF of HIV-positive patients, although not always in association with clinical disease (27). Real-time quantitative PCR for HHV-6 has been developed and may be useful to monitor viral load in bone marrow transplantation patients treated with antitherapeutic therapy (91).

HHV-6 has been associated with MS and demyelinating CNS disease. The evidence for an association with MS is largely circumstantial, and the role of HHV-6, if any, in the etiology or pathogenesis of this disease remains unproven and controversial (234). Viral antigen and nucleic acid have been detected by some groups in oligodendrocytes in MS plaques. HHV-6 DNA has been detected by PCR in brain tissue from patients with MS (78%) but has also been detected at a similar frequency in brain tissue from non-MS patients (74%) (42). One study demonstrated no HHV-6 DNA in CSF from 32 MS patients and no difference in HHV-6 DNA positivity in PBMCs between MS patients and non-MS controls (227). Another group, using quantitative PCR, demonstrated an increased incidence of HHV-6 DNA positivity in PBMCs in MS patients compared to controls (53 versus 30%) but not differences in quantitative viral loads between groups or differences between MS patients during remission or relapse (7).

Other viruses. (i) Human immunodeficiency virus. In addition to opportunistic CNS disease associated with EBV, JCV,
and Toxoplasma in HIV-infected individuals (see individual sections above and below), CNS illness in AIDS patients may be caused by HIV disease itself, resulting in serious morbidity such as behavioral and motor disturbances, meningitis, or encephalitis. Analysis of CSF for the presence of the viral genome to allow early diagnosis of AIDS-related neurologic complications has been an impressive example of the clinical application of PCR (45–47, 49–51, 53–57). Although HIV type 1 RNA can be detected in CSF at any stage of HIV infection and irrespective of presence of neurologic symptoms, CSF viral load (as measured by quantitative CSF PCR) has been correlated with the likelihood of neurologic disease in several studies (48). The CSF (not plasma) HIV viral load has been correlated with the severity of cortical atrophy in children with symptomatic HIV disease (32), with the degree of neurologic dysfunction in adult patients with HIV-associated dementia (29, 77, 151, 184), and with the presence of HIV encephalitis (53). Quantitative PCR techniques have also been utilized to monitor responses to antiretroviral therapy, which is known to induce substantial decreases in CSF HIV RNA levels when effective (48, 99, 172).

(ii) Rabies virus. Current serologic tests do not reliably diagnose rabies. One group has described a rapid PCR technique based on amplification of nucleic acid sequences to detect rabies-specific RNA in the saliva and CSF as early as day 2 after onset of symptoms (241). An optimized RT-PCR protocol for the detection of rabies virus genomic RNA performed on saliva combined with immunofluorescence assay of skin biopsy samples detected 9 of 9 patients with confirmed rabies by postmortem examination and 0 of 19 patients with suspected rabies who were later determined not to have rabies (61). Rapid RT-PCR with TaqMan genotype-specific probes can distinguish among the six established rabies virus and rabies virus-related genotypes (24).

(iii) Human T-cell lymphotropic virus. Human T-cell lymphotropic virus (HTLV) is the etiologic agent implicated in HTLV type 1 (HTLV-1)-associated myelopathy (HAM)/tropical spastic paraparesis (TSP). The combination of CSF PCR for proviral DNA and serologic analysis for intrathecal synthesis of HTLV-1-specific antibodies provides consistent criteria for the diagnosis of HAM/TSP. One study noted that 25 of 27 patients with disease consistent with HAM/TSP had detectable HTLV-1 proviral sequences in CSF, in contrast to only 3 of 27 control patients with other neurologic diseases (173). Amyotrophic lateral sclerosis-like manifestations of HAM/TSP have also been reported, and these patients were noted to have CSF proviral loads as high as those of patients with classical HAM/TSP (149). Another group noted that the prevalence of HAM/TSP rises exponentially with increasing HTLV proviral load in PBMCs, once the proviral load exceeds 1% of PBMCs (162).

Viral Entities for Which Molecular Diagnostic Methods Are Not Readily Available and/or Are of Unproven Sensitivity or Specificity

Arboviruses. Although specific PCR techniques have been developed for many arboviral infections (138, 153), molecular techniques are not widely utilized for diagnosis of CNS disease in humans, due to the fact that sensitivity is often poor in the setting of clinical symptoms. Measurement of intrathecal synthesis of virus-specific IgM is the most widely accepted method of diagnosis in these cases (230). Specific PCR tests are available at various institutions and the Centers for Disease Control and Prevention on a research basis but are more reliably applied for surveillance of arboviral prevalence in mosquito pool populations (107, 108, 209, 249) (See additional discussion and references in “Multiplex and Consensus Primer PCRs” above).

(i) West Nile virus. The development of molecular techniques for the diagnosis of West Nile virus in humans has been of particular interest and relevance since its emergence as an epidemic pathogen in the Western Hemisphere in 1999. Although traditional and quantitative (TaqMan) RT-PCRs of CSF and serum for WNV are available on a research basis at several institutions (30, 108, 140), PCR is not an adequately sensitive method in the setting of clinical disease to reliably rule out infection. Sensitivity is less than 60% in immunocompetent patients with CNS disease at the time of clinical presentation (57% of initial CSF specimens tested during the New York City epidemic of 1999) (167). This apparently low sensitivity may reflect timing of specimen collection, since peak viremia occurs 3 to 5 days prior to the onset of clinical symptoms and the early presence of IgM and IgG antibodies correlates with clearance of virus. Diagnosis has been based predominantly on serology, including the presence of IgM antibodies detected by capture enzyme-linked immunosorbent assay in CSF, a fourfold or greater increase in neutralizing antibody in acute- and convalescent-phase CSF specimens, or the presence of both IgM and IgG antibodies in serum. Identification of virus-specific antigen by immunocytochemistry or of WNV nucleic acid by PCR in brain tissue should also be considered diagnostic.

The sensitivity of WNV-PCR may be higher in immunocompromised hosts who do not mount a significant serologic response to infection and have persistent viremia, and PCR may be more useful in this population. PCR and nucleic acid test methods have been utilized for screening the blood supply and organs for transplantation (41, 113, 166). In these settings, PCR has higher sensitivity, since WNV-infected asymptomatic blood and organ donors are more likely to be viremic than symptomatic individuals. WNV RT-PCR was successfully used to identify the 1999 New York WNV epidemic strain as 99.9% genetically identical to an Israeli strain circulating in 1998 (92).

Measles virus. Measles virus (MV) infection has been associated with acute encephalitis, encephalomyelitis, and subacute sclerosing panencephalitis (SSPE), a degenerative disease of the central nervous system that leads to death within a few years. RT-PCR has been utilized in the setting of both acute and subacute disease: in one study, in three of the four patients with central nervous system involvement, MV sequence was detected in CSF obtained within 1 day following the onset of the manifestations, suggesting transient and direct invasion of the CNS by MV at the initial stage of CNS involvement and that PCR can be used with sufficient sensitivity and specificity to detect the measles virus sequence in clinical samples (150). Quantitative real-time CSF RT-PCR has for measles been utilized to monitor viral load in SSPE patients over the course of the therapy with ribavirin and alpha interferon. Measles virus RNA decreases rapidly after treatment with alpha interferon, paralleling the decrease in the measles virus antibody
titer in the cerebrospinal fluid and the improvement in the neurologic disability (105). RT-PCR has also been used in conjunction with serology and culture to confirm the etiology of an outbreak of acute encephalopathy without rash as measles in children in northern India (242). RT-PCR amplification of the 3’ terminus of the nucleocapsid gene, the region exhibiting the highest variability within the MV genome, from CSF samples has been utilized for retrospective molecular epidemiological studies to investigate strain variation in measles viruses circulating up to 20 years ago (136). MV CSF RT-PCR has also been used to identify the viral genome in a rare case of intractable frontal lobe epilepsy with mental deterioration (123).

**Adenovirus.** Adenoviruses are common etiologic agents of upper respiratory infection and diarrhea but can occasionally produce CNS infections in immunocompetent and immunocompromised hosts (particularly adenovirus type 7). CNS cases are usually associated with pneumonia and epidemics of adenovirus in family outbreaks. Reye-like syndrome has also rarely been reported (120). CSF PCR is available for diagnosis, but standardization has not been achieved. CSF PCR for adenovirus was utilized in a prospective study of 27 adults with acute viral encephalitis (219).

**BK virus.** Recently, PCR of CSF was utilized to investigate the possible etiologic role of BK and JC viruses in immunocompromised and immunocompetent children with suspected encephalitis and meningoencephalitis. JC virus DNA was not found in any of 266 CSF samples analyzed; however, BK virus was detected in 2 of 266 samples (1.6%) taken from older children age 10 to 16 years (20), suggesting the possibility of a pathogenic role in some cases of childhood encephalitis.

**Use of PCR in the Diagnosis of Infections Which Can Mimic Viral CNS Disease**

*M. pneumoniae.* Mycoplasma pneumoniae commonly causes respiratory tract infections in humans, but it may also be associated with central nervous system manifestations in immunocompetent (particularly children) and immunocompromised patients, including aseptic meningitis as well as encephalitis and ADEM. Controversy exists as to whether encephalitis is the result of secondary inflammatory or autoimmune-mediated mechanisms. PCR is available for detection of the *Mycoplasma* genome in CSF and has successfully been used to detect the *Mycoplasma* genome in CSF samples from patients with clinically and serologically confirmed *Mycoplasma* central nervous system infection (164). Although several groups have suggested that detection of the microbial genome in CSF supports the role of direct invasion of this organism into the central nervous system (23, 164, 210), others disagree (1). It is likely that both active infection and postinfectious forms occur, with the latter being more amenable to steroid therapy than the former, suggesting the possible therapeutic utility of antimicrobial agents.

*B. burgdorferi* (Lyme disease). *Borrelia burgdorferi* infection is associated with subacute and late CNS and peripheral nervous system complications, including cranial neuropathies, aseptic meningitis, and encephalitis. Serologic detection of intrathecal Lyme-specific IgM is routinely used as the diagnostic method of choice, but IgM may not be present at the time of clinical symptoms. Recently, the yield of PCR testing of CSF and urine specimens from 30 patients with neuroborreliosis was analyzed and compared to they yield obtained by serologic methods (142, 143). The diagnostic sensitivities of PCR in CSF and urine samples were only 17 and 7%, respectively. Specific intrathecal antibody production was found in 90% of the patients, and 87% showed elevated *B. burgdorferi* antibodies in serum, suggesting that measurement of specific intrathecal antibody synthesis was superior to CSF PCR for diagnosis of neuroborreliosis. However, in patients with a short duration of disease (<14 days), CSF PCR may be a useful diagnostic supplement. PCR of skin biopsy specimens is currently the most sensitive and specific test for the diagnosis of patients with electron microscopy, being superior to culture and serologic testing. Detection of spirochetal DNA in clinical samples by PCR may have a role in diagnosis but has not yet been standardized for routine diagnostic use (33).

**Toxoplasma.** *Toxoplasma gondii* is endemic worldwide, and infection causes significant morbidity and mortality in the developing fetus and as an opportunistic infection in immunocompromised individuals. Serologic detection methods are the standard for clinical diagnosis, but in the past decade, PCR has been applied for prenatal diagnosis of congenital toxoplasmosis and the detection of acute disease in the immunocompromised patient. Overall, PCR for *Toxoplasma* suffers from a lack of sensitivity, a lack of standardization, and variable performance depending on the laboratory in which it is performed. A wide variety of primers have been used in different assays, but few comparative tests have been performed (19). Despite the variable performance described above, at least one group has demonstrated high sensitivity, specificity, and clinical utility of PCR in the diagnosis of *Toxoplasma* encephalitis (TE) in HIV-infected individuals. The diagnostic value of this PCR assay was assessed in human patients by analyzing CSF and serum samples from 12 AIDS patients with confirmed *Toxoplasma* encephalitis compared to controls, including HIV-infected patients with other opportunistic infections and no signs of cerebral toxoplasmosis and immunocompetent patients with neurocysticercosis. Eleven of the 12 patients with confirmed toxoplasmosis had positive PCR results in either blood or cerebrospinal fluid samples (6 of 9 blood samples and 8 of 12 cerebrospinal fluid samples). All samples from control patients were negative (116). An additional retrospective study using PCR to analyze CSF for the presence of *T. gondii* DNA in HIV-infected patients confirmed the high specificity of the test but showed lower sensitivity. CSF PCR testing was performed on HIV-infected patients with a clinical suspicion of TE, and the results were compared to those for control patients with other HIV-associated symptoms or for non-HIV-infected patients with neurologic disorders. PCR was positive in two of four of patients with a final diagnosis of TE and negative in all remaining patients. The two patients with positive PCR had a fulminant course and experienced treatment failure (117).

The switch from bradyzoites (latent infection) to tachyzoites (active infection) is the fundamental pathogenic event that leads to TE in patients with AIDS. Distinction between these stages is difficult, particularly when specific treatment has been started. One group has developed CSF PCR assays (nested...
PCR and a more sensitive RT-PCR) to evaluate CSF specimens from AIDS patients with TE before and after administration of antiparasitic therapy for target sequences expressed on bradyzoites (SAG4 and MAG1), tachyzoites (SAG1), or both stages (B1) of T. gondii. Specific primers for the genes SAG4, MAG1, and SAG1 may be useful in AIDS patients with relapse of TE, in whom the use of PCR targeting of the B1 gene may fail to detect DNA, especially when prophylaxis or treatment has been started (58, 62). These assays may aid in distinguishing asymptomatic latent infection from reactivated disease in these patients.

Mycobacterium tuberculosis meningitis. Early diagnosis of Mycobacterium tuberculosis meningitis (TBM) by PCR and other molecular methods has been attempted with mixed success (101, 213, 230). A summary of six studies, each including more than 20 patients with suspected TBM, showed sensitivity ranging from 33 to 91% and specificity ranging from 88 to 100%. Commercially available PCRs such as the Amplicor MTB test (Roche Molecular) address false-positive results but require additional trials to document sensitivity and clinical usefulness (25). Under optimal conditions, these tests may have a high specificity (95 to 100%) but are limited by their sensitivity (50 to 75%). There have been several reports of higher sensitivity (75 to 94%) with IS6110 (insertion-like M. tuberculosis sequence element)-targeted PCR (40, 169). Rapid testing is promising but should be used with caution and performed with internal controls to monitor for potential amplification reaction inhibitors in specimens (18).
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