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INTRODUCTION

Consumption, King’s Evil, lupus vulgaris, and phthisis are some of the more colorful names for tuberculosis (TB) that have been used in the last several centuries. Archeological findings from a number of Neolithic sites in Europe and sites from ancient Egypt to the Greek and Roman empires show evidence of a disease consistent with modern TB. TB was described by Hippocrates (400 B.C.) in *Of the Epidemics* and was clearly documented by Claudius Galen during the Roman Empire. Likewise, TB has been more recently immortalized by artists such as John Keats, D. H. Lawrence, Anton Chekhov, Emily Bronte, Charlotte Bronte, Franz Kafka, Amedeo Modigliani, and Frederick Chopin, all of whom were afflicted by the disease.

In 1882, Robert Koch made the landmark discovery that TB is caused by an infectious agent, *Mycobacterium tuberculosis*. Although demystifying, Koch’s findings introduced the possibility that antimicrobial agents could be developed to combat this age-old scourge (144). Today, despite the availability of effective antituberculosis chemotherapy for over 50 years, TB remains a major global health problem. As the rates of TB infection have fallen dramatically in industrialized countries in the past century, resource-poor countries now bear over 90% of all cases globally. In fact, there are more cases of TB today than ever recorded. As such, there is a need for new therapeutics, diagnostics, and vaccines in conjunction with improved operational guidelines to enhance current TB control strategies. While much is known about the epidemiology of TB, key questions have eluded classical epidemiologists for decades. These include the current rates of active transmission by differentiating disease due to recent or previous infection; the determination of whether recurrent tuberculosis is attributable to exogenous reinfection; whether all *M. tuberculosis* strains exert similar epidemiologic characteristics in populations; and an understanding of transmission dynamics on a population- or group-specific level, as well as in identifying extensive transmission or outbreaks from what appear to be sporadic, epidemiologically unrelated cases. Molecular epidemiologic methods have facilitated studies that address some of these very questions. In this review, we present the current approaches and issues surrounding the molecular epidemiology of *M. tuberculosis* and the insights that this relatively new field has contributed to our general understanding of TB epidemiology, pathogenesis, and evolution.
Epidemiology of Tuberculosis

Global incidence and prevalence. The World Health Organization (WHO) estimates that approximately one-third of the global community is infected with *M. tuberculosis* (86). In 2000, an estimated 8 to 9 million incident cases and approximately 3 million deaths due to TB occurred worldwide (63). After human immunodeficiency virus (HIV)/AIDS, TB is the second most common cause of death due to an infectious disease, and current trends suggest that TB will still be among the 10 leading causes of global disease burden in the year 2020 (184).

The global distribution of TB cases is skewed heavily toward low-income and emerging economies. The highest prevalence of cases is in Asia, where China, India, Bangladesh, Indonesia, and Pakistan collectively make up over 50% of the global burden. Africa, and more specifically sub-Saharan Africa, have the highest incidence rate of TB, with approximately 83 and 290 per 100,000, respectively. TB cases occur predominantly (approximately 6 million of the 8 million) in the economically most productive 15- to 49-year-old age group (86). Our understanding of TB epidemiology and the efficacy of control activities have been complicated by the emergence of drug-resistant bacilli and by the synergism of TB with HIV co-infection.

Drug resistance. No sooner were the first antituberculosis agents introduced in humans than the emergence of drug-resistant isolates of *M. tuberculosis* was observed (172, 190, 293). In vitro studies showed that spontaneous mutations in *M. tuberculosis* can be associated with drug resistance, while selective (antibiotic) pressure can lead to enhanced accumulation of these drug-resistant mutants (72, 73). The efficient selection of drug resistance in the presence of a single antibiotic led investigators to recommend combination therapy using more than one antibiotic to reduce the emergence of drug resistance during treatment (40, 47, 88). Indeed, when adequate drug supplies are available and combination treatment is properly managed, TB control has been effective (145, 178).

Selection for drug-resistant mutants in patients mainly occurs when patients are treated inappropriately or are exposed to, even transiently, subtherapeutic drug levels, conditions that may provide adequate positive selection pressure for the emergence and maintenance of drug-resistant organisms de novo. One of the contributing factors is the exceptional length of chemotherapy required to treat and cure infection with *M. tuberculosis* (142). The need to maintain high drug levels over many months of treatment, combined with the inherent toxicity of the agents, results in reduced patient compliance and subsequently higher likelihood of acquisition of drug resistance (74). Therefore, in addition to identifying new antituberculosis agents, the need for shortening the length of chemotherapy is paramount, as it would greatly impact clinical management and the emergence of drug resistance. Since the early 1990s, an alarming trend and a growing source of public health concern has been the emergence of resistance to multiple drugs (MDR-TB), defined as an isolate that is resistant to at least isoniazid (INH) and rifampin (RIF), the two most potent antituberculosis drugs (133, 269). Recent estimates suggest that in 2003 there were 458,000 incident cases (including new and retreatment cases) of MDR-TB globally (95% confidence interval, 321,000 to 689,000) (85, 297). These figures suggest that prevalent cases may be two or three times more numerous than incident cases and that a far greater number of individuals are latently infected (33, 284). While treatment for MDR-TB has greatly improved (mainly in resource-rich settings), it is generally more difficult to treat and has been associated with very high morbidity and mortality, prolonged treatment to cure, and an increased risk of spreading drug-resistant isolates in the community (26, 67, 132, 178).

HIV/AIDS. HIV infection exerts immense influence on the natural course of TB disease. Individuals with latent *M. tuberculosis* infection who contract HIV are at risk of developing active TB at a rate of 7 to 10% per year, compared to approximately 8% per lifetime for HIV-negative individuals (219, 220). HIV-infected persons recently infected with *M. tuberculosis* may progress to active disease at a rate over 35% within the first 6 months, compared to 2 to 5% in the first 2 years among HIV-negative individuals (70). With the introduction of highly active antiretroviral therapy for HIV, the risk of progression to TB among those coinfected with *M. tuberculosis*, while higher than among HIV-negative cases, is considerably lower (8, 111). The role for CD4+ T cells in protecting against disease progression is underscored by the marked susceptibility to TB in patients with advanced HIV-induced CD4+ T-cell depletion (70, 77, 219). The natural course of HIV disease may also be influenced by *M. tuberculosis* infection. *M. tuberculosis* infection results in macrophage activation, which can house resident HIV virions, resulting in active expression of HIV antigens rather than the prolonged latency without antigenic expression of HIV proteins (252). In support of this, Pape et al. observed more rapid progression to AIDS among tuberculin skin test (TST)-positive individuals not given treatment for latent TB infection (INH) than among those who were treated with INH (195). Thus, HIV infection tends to accelerate the progression of TB, while in turn, the host immune response to *M. tuberculosis* can enhance HIV replication and may accelerate the natural course of HIV/AIDS (252).

Natural Course of Tuberculosis

Historically, much of our understanding of TB has stemmed from descriptive epidemiological studies, limited animal studies, and clinical observations that were made in the early half of the 20th century. These studies have been central to formulating a generalized hypothesis regarding all phases of TB pathogenesis, from exposure to successful infection and subsequent disease (59, 61, 91, 170, 171, 237, 240). Infection is established in approximately one-third of individuals exposed to the tubercle bacillus, and among those infected only 10% ever become symptomatic (61, 134, 203). In most populations, TB involves a long latency period, with symptomatic presentation occurring from 3 months (mainly in the immunocompromised) to decades after the establishment of infection (61, 142). Latency is one of the main hallmarks of *M. tuberculosis* infection and pathogenesis and has been reviewed specifically elsewhere (4, 118).

TB is spread by aerosolization of droplet nuclei bearing *M. tuberculosis* particles released from the lungs of patients with cavitory pulmonary or laryngeal disease. Once the particles, of 1 to 5 μm in diameter, are inhaled and phagocytosed by resident alveolar macrophages, a vigorous host cellular immune response involving cytokines and a large number of chemo-
kines ensues (126, 164, 212). This response presumably arrests and limits infection to the primary site of invasion, the lung parenchyma and the local draining lymph nodes (“Ghon complex”), in the majority (90%) of immunocompetent individuals (31, 110). Protective immunity is characterized by granuloma formation that consists primarily of activated M. tuberculosis-infected macrophages and T cells. In 10% of presumed immunocompetent individuals, the infection is not contained and continual bacillary replication (doubling time, 25 to 32 h) results in disease symptoms and associated pathology, including tissue necrosis and cavitation (175). In most instances, patients respond to antibiotic treatment by clearance of the bacilli from granulomatous process, and clinical cure (227). When disease ensues, the presentation is variable in regard to severity, duration, therapeutic response, and tissue tropism. Although commonly pulmonary, M. tuberculosis can infect a variety of tissues, such as the meninges, lymph nodes, and tissues of the spine (134, 221). A number of external factors may influence the progression and nature of disease. These include comorbid conditions that dampen the host immune system, such as poorly controlled diabetes mellitus, renal failure, chemotherapy, malnutrition, or intrinsic host susceptibility (19, 281).

Due to the variability in time from infection to disease between individuals, incident cases are comprised of reactivation of a historic infection or the result of a recent transmission event (274, 275). While treatment of reactive and recent transmission cases is similar, the latter may be part of an ongoing outbreak or series of transmission events that warrants control measures. Therefore, a central limitation in understanding the transmission dynamics of M. tuberculosis is that patient links often become obscured as the concentric circles of traditional epidemiological relatedness (contact tracing) are more removed from the index case. In general, cases in low-incidence areas tend to comprise mostly reactive disease, while those in high-incidence regions include both reactive disease and recent transmission.

A crucial aspect in understanding the dynamics of a TB epidemic is the ability to track the spread of specific strains in the population. As discussed below (see “Molecular Epidemiology and Public Health”), over the past two decades, previously unresolved issues, such as population estimates of recent transmission and the ability to distinguish endogenous reactivation from exogenous reinfection, have been made possible by the use of a variety of molecular techniques (15, 35, 46, 109, 224, 263, 275).

**MOLECULAR EPIDEMIOLOGY**

Molecular epidemiology is a field that has emerged largely from the integration of molecular biology, clinical medicine, statistics, and epidemiology. In essence, molecular epidemiology focuses on the role of genetic and environmental risk factors, at the molecular/cellular or biochemical level, in disease etiology and distribution among populations. More specifically to infectious diseases, molecular epidemiology attempts to utilize a multidisciplinary approach to identify factors that determine disease causation, propagation/dissemination, and distribution (in time and space). This is primarily achieved by associating epidemiologic characteristics with the biologic properties of clinical isolates recovered from symptomatic individuals.

The mid-1980s saw the first integration of molecular methods to discriminate between clinical isolates of M. tuberculosis. While previous methods, such as colony morphology, comparative growth rates, susceptibility to select antibiotics, and phage typing, were useful, they did not provide sufficient discrimination, thus limiting their utility in TB epidemiology. That is, prior to molecular methods, understanding the spread of TB was imprecise and relied on observational data or anecdotal correlations. However, given the plethora of molecular tools available, it is critical to choose an appropriate method(s) to address a particular study question, e.g., transmission dynamics, outbreaks, or phylogenetics. In general, the key aspects in choosing an adequate molecular approach for studying TB epidemiology are the observed rate of polymorphism (stability of biomarker) and the genetic diversity of strains in the population. That is, the rate of change of a biomarker must be adequate to distinguish nonepidemiologically related strains and yet sufficiently “slow” to reliably link related cases. This issue, coupled with general background TB prevalence, should be taken into consideration when choosing molecular epidemiologic methods or in evaluating data.

**Genotyping of M. tuberculosis: Current Methods**

The TB research community entered the genomic era in 1998 with the publication of the complete annotated genome of M. tuberculosis laboratory strain H37Rv (68). Since then, M. tuberculosis clinical strain CDC1551 and six related mycobacteria, M. leprae, M. ulcerans, M. avium, M. avium paratuberculosis, M. smegmatis, and M. bovis, have been fully sequenced; others, including M. microti, M. marinum, M. tuberculosis strain 210, and M. bovis BCG (bacillus Calmette-Guérin), are nearing completion.

Studies show that the M. tuberculosis complex (i.e., M. tuberculosis, M. bovis, M. microrti, M. africanaum, M. canetti, and, more recently, M. pinnipedit and M. caprae [7, 64, 103]) genomes are highly conserved: comparative sequence analysis of the 275-bp internal transcribed spacer (ITS) region, an otherwise highly polymorphic region which separates the 16S rRNA and the 23S rRNA, revealed complete conservation between members of the M. tuberculosis complex. Furthermore, sequence analysis of 56 structural genes in several hundred phylogenetically and geographically diverse M. tuberculosis complex isolates suggested that allelic polymorphisms are extremely rare (139, 188, 235). While the members of the M. tuberculosis complex display diverse phenotypic characteristics and host ranges, they represent an extreme example of interspecies genetic homogeneity, with an estimated rate of synonymous nucleotide polymorphisms of 0.01% to 0.03% (60, 98, 123, 235) and no significant evidence for horizontal genetic transfer between genomes, unlike most bacterial pathogens (3, 41, 123, 248).

While the M. tuberculosis complex genome is highly restricted (conserved) in relation to other bacterial pathogens, this monomorphic species does have polymorphic genomic regions. Much like eukaryotic genomes, those of prokaryotes (such as M. tuberculosis) are characteristically punctuated by monomeric sequences repeated periodically (repeated units).
There are two types of repetitive units, interspersed repeats (IR) (direct repeats and insertion sequence-like repeats) and tandem repeats (TR) (head-to-tail direct uninterrupted repeats). Prokaryotic microsatellites (1- to 10-bp repeats) and minisatellites (10- to 100-bp repeats, commonly referred to as variable-number tandem repeats [VNTR]) are located in intergenic regions, in regulatory regions, or within open reading frames and are abundant throughout most bacterial genomes. Below, we describe some of the most common genotyping methods currently used. Table 1 summarizes the advantages, limitations, and applications of the various molecular techniques.

**IS6110.** Insertion sequences (IS) are small mobile genetic elements, usually less than 2.5 kb in size, that are widely distributed in most bacterial genomes (52). IS elements are commonly defined as carrying only the genetic information related to their transposition and regulation, unlike transposons, which can also carry genes that encode phenotypic markers (e.g., antibiotic resistance). Transposition of IS elements often causes gene disruptions that can have strong polar effects and in other cases can lead to the activation or alteration of expression of adjacent genes due to the regulatory sequences, including promoters and protein-binding sequences (52, 216a). From an evolutionary perspective, there are at least two distinct hypotheses explaining the role of IS elements in genomes. One regards the elements as genomic parasites that, on balance, harm their hosts (i.e., bacteria) (53). In contrast, others postulate that IS elements are important to their hosts for adaptive evolution, which is maintained by selection of occasionally advantageous IS-derived mutations (32).

IS elements in bacterial species are present in varying numbers of copies: IS1 in *Escherichia coli* strains is present in 2 to 17 copies, whereas the *Shigella* species contain from 2 to 40 copies (52). Thieery et al. first described IS6110, a 1,355-bp member of the IS3 family that, when intact, is unique to the *M. tuberculosis* complex (250). IS6110 has an imperfect 28-bp inverted repeat at its ends and generates a 3- to 4-bp target duplication on insertion. Although “hot spots” have been noted (regions in the *M. tuberculosis* chromosome where IS6110 seems to preferentially insert), IS6110 elements are more or less randomly distributed throughout the genome, with copy numbers ranging from rare clones lacking any IS6110 elements to those with 26 copies (Fig. 1) (152, 174). In 1993, van Embden and colleagues proposed a standardized method for performing IS6110-based Southern blot hybridization analysis (259). The recommendation was based on the use of a common restriction endonuclease (PvuII, which cleaves IS6110 at a single asymmetric site and yields reasonable-size *M. tuberculosis* chromosomal fragments), a hybridization probe (specific to the right side of IS6110, whereby each hybridizing band corresponds to a PvuII-PvuII chromosomal fragment with a single IS6110 insertion), and standardized molecular weight markers (127). The concurrent development of software applications that assist in the analysis of the resulting IS6110-based restriction fragment length polymorphism (RFLP) patterns has allowed for intra- and interlaboratory comparisons of clinical isolates and the establishment of large national and international strain (and genotype) archives (e.g., Centers for Disease Control and Prevention, Atlanta, GA; Public Health Research Institute, Newark, NJ; National Institute of Public Health and Environment, Bilthoven, The Netherlands) (125, 150, 244, 261).

Initially, the dynamics of IS6110 transposition juxtaposed with the stability required for use in epidemiologic investigations was a cause for concern. However, when strains were cultured in vitro (liquid media) for 6 months, in macrophages over a 4-week period, and in a guinea pig model for more than 2 months, their IS6110-based RFLP patterns remained stable (50, 267). These studies attest to the stability of IS6110 over short time periods while transposing over longer time intervals. The IS6110 transposition half-life (t_{1/2}) (the period over which the IS-specific hybridization pattern does not change), taken from sequentially positive culture with sampling intervals ranging from days to months, was estimated to be between 3 and 4 years (75, 291). Warren et al. investigated the stability of IS6110 banding patterns in serial *M. tuberculosis* isolates collected from patients living in areas of high TB incidence and noted a half-life of 8.74 years when a constant rate of change was assumed (278). The authors note that the rate may be composed of the high rate of change seen during the early disease phase (t_{1/2} = 0.57 years), when the mycobacterial replication rate is presumably high, and the lower rate in the late disease phase (t_{1/2} = 10.69 years), when bacterial doubling times are longer during or after treatment. Therefore, they conclude that the observed IS6110 stability is strongly influenced by the time between onset of disease and sample collection. Another investigation of serial patient isolates used deterministic and stochastic simulation models to estimate an IS half-life of 2.4 years for a strain that has 10 IS6110 copies (215). Indeed, IS6110 transposition, which is a replicative process, and half-life may be heavily dependent on strain-specific in vivo replication rates, host-pathogen interactions, or anatomical properties. Nonetheless, IS6110-based RFLP patterns seem to be sufficiently stable (and polymorphic) for studying TB transmission dynamics at the local or population level and over time. For instance, Lillevbaek et al. used IS6110 genotyping to demonstrate endogenous reactivation of TB after over 30 years of latency (156).

The utility of any molecular epidemiologic method in population analysis, in addition to adequate stability/polymorphism, is reliant on sufficient biomarker-specific diversity of isolates. Assignment of a genotype is strengthened when there is adequate background strain diversity. In a population-based study in New Jersey, Bifani et al. noted that approximately one-third of the 1,207 clinical isolates subjected to IS6110-based RFLP analysis were unique (or “orphans”) to the sample, while a third of the isolates were categorized into 11 major strain groups that consisted of isolates from 10 or more patients (25). Presumably there is a discrete number of distinct strain types circulating within any given population; classifying a genotype as rare or unique is heavily dependent on the isolate sampling schemes and the size and diversity of the reference database.

As with any genotyping system, there are limitations inherent to IS6110-based RFLP analysis. One such limitation, not partial to IS6110 genotyping, is the interpretation of molecular data in drawing epidemiologic conclusions. That is, genotypic clustering (identical/similar fingerprints of strains isolated from at least two patients) is not synonymous with epidemi-
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typing technique</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>IS6110 RFLP</strong></td>
<td>Gold standard for the molecular epidemiology of MTC² strains</td>
<td>Requires subculturing and DNA isolation</td>
<td>First standardized method on October 18, 2017 by guest analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Patterns can be computerized with specialized software</td>
<td>Slow turnaround time (30–40 days)</td>
<td>Southern blot hybridization-based technique</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Widely utilized; hence, much data available for comparison</td>
<td>Process is laborious</td>
<td>IS6110 fingerprinting remains the single most discriminatory technique for</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Biological clock (biomarker stability) has proven to be very adequate</td>
<td>Cannot be used to reliably type isolates with &gt;6 IS6110 insertions</td>
<td>the analysis of isolates having &gt;6 IS6110 bands</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>for the study of transmission</td>
<td>Poor portability; interlaboratory comparative analysis of RFLP patterns</td>
<td>Provides best resolution for the analysis of W-Beijing isolates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Extensive diversity in patterns for isolates with &gt;6 IS6110 insertions</td>
<td>can be tedious</td>
<td>IS6110 transposition and/or deletion events are always unidirectional and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Membranes can be rehybridized with other probes, e.g., for IS mapping or</td>
<td>Strains with no IS6110 insertion (rare)</td>
<td>can be considered a form of divergent evolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>deletion analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>Some “hot spots,” or preferred insertion sites, exist</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Mixed infection readily detected by varying intensity of the hybridization</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can be combined with other molecular techniques for phylogenetic studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>bands</td>
<td></td>
<td>Can be combined with spoligotyping for isolates with &gt;6 bands for increased</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applications include molecular epidemiology, evolutionary and</td>
<td></td>
<td>resolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>phylogeny studies, and detection of laboratory error/cross-contamination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Spoligotyping</strong></td>
<td>Simplest technique for MTC strain genotyping</td>
<td>Less discriminatory than IS6110 RFLP analysis and MIRU-VNTR (12 and</td>
<td>Amplification-hybridization-based analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Data are presented in binary format, allowing inter- and intralaboratory</td>
<td>15 loci)</td>
<td>Technique used extensively for phylogeographic studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>comparisons</td>
<td></td>
<td>False-positive strain relatedness may be identified based on convergent</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Commercial hybridization membranes available for the simultaneous analysis</td>
<td></td>
<td>evolution of strains; the same patterns may be found on distinct</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>of 45 samples</td>
<td></td>
<td>evolutionary branches (due to the fact that the same spacer may be lost</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Standardized analysis for 43 spacers</td>
<td></td>
<td>independently in different lineages, e.g., strains from clusters III and</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be performed directly on cell lysate; no DNA purification required</td>
<td></td>
<td>VII [Fig. 2B])</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be performed on nonviable bacteria</td>
<td></td>
<td>SPOTCLUST website for analysis of spoligofamilies (<a href="http://cgi2.cs.rpi.edu/">http://cgi2.cs.rpi.edu/</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Two large databases available for comparative analysis (see comments)</td>
<td></td>
<td>-vitoli/SPOTCLUST.html)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Applications: ideal for a first-step analysis of M. tuberculosi,</td>
<td></td>
<td>SpolDB4 database (43) and SITVIT database (<a href="http://www.pasteur-">http://www.pasteur-</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>particularly in regions with diverse populations; molecular epidemiology,</td>
<td></td>
<td>guadeloupe.fr:8081/SITVITDemo/)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>and detection of laboratory error/cross-contamination</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIRU-VNTR</strong></td>
<td>Rapid, high-throughput technique for MTC strain genotyping</td>
<td>Less discriminatory than IS6110 RFLP genotyping</td>
<td>Amplification-electrophoresis-based analysis</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(12 loci)</td>
<td>Better resolution than spoligotyping</td>
<td>Combined biological clock of 12-locus MIRU-VNTR too slow for the study of</td>
<td>Each locus has different molecular clock</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Digitized results (number of copies of each repeat) are very portable</td>
<td>endemic strains</td>
<td>Stutter bands can occur (DNA replication slippage during PCR amplification</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Well suited for large-scale genotyping</td>
<td>Similar patterns may be found in distinct lineages</td>
<td>of microsatellites)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Can be performed directly on cell lysate; no DNA purification required</td>
<td></td>
<td>Has also been used for population genetic/evolutionary investigations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Manual analysis possible by 12 individual PCR amplifications followed by</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>gel electrophoresis</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Automated analysis possible with fluorescence-tagged PCR primers and</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>capillary separation (sequencer) or nondenaturing high-performance liquid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>chromatography</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Continued on facing page
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Typing technique</th>
<th>Advantages</th>
<th>Limitations</th>
<th>Comments</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Labeled primers allow for multiplex PCRs: 4 reactions of 3 multiplex each</td>
<td>Can be used to identify mixed infections</td>
<td>Applications include molecular epidemiology, the potential for real-time genotyping, and high-throughput typing</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MIRU-VNTR (15 loci)</td>
<td>As for 12-locus MIRU-VNTR typing but with increased resolution (comparable to IS6110 RFLP)</td>
<td>Applications include molecular epidemiology, the potential for real-time genotyping, and high-throughput typing</td>
<td>See 12-locus MIRU-VNTR No data available yet See 12-locus MIRU-VNTR The 15 loci have been selected out of 29 loci tested Selection of loci has yet to be evaluated in different settings Possible future method of choice for large-scale typing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Deletion mapping and deligotyping</td>
<td>Irreversible genetic marker used</td>
<td>High throughput with microarray analysis</td>
<td>Reverse line probe with hybridization membrane possible Results can be digitalized Multiplex PCR for 43 loci available Single-deletion analysis can identify M. bovis BCG Applications include phylogenetic/evolutionary studies, facilitation of genome structure-function studies and host-pathogen interactions based on specific genomic deletions, and molecular epidemiology Not yet standardized Representative target deletions need to be determined Technique has yet to be evaluated in different settings Microarray- or amplification-electrophoresis-based analysis Amplification of selected deletions possible by using flanking regions Discriminatory power can be greatly increased if direct flanking region is sequenced Need to discriminate unique deletion events from recurrent deletion events; unique events may be used to determine phylogenetic lineages, while recurrent deletion events are a form of convergent evolution</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Insertion site mapping and insertion site typing (Insite)</td>
<td>Very precise determination of strain relatedness</td>
<td>Strain-specific markers can be used for rapid identification of a particular strain or strain family Amplification-based investigation for rapid detection Highly informative when studying strain relatedness and clonality Applications: best suited for confirmation of clustering of strains; also phylogenetic studies and molecular epidemiology</td>
<td>Need to predetermine IS6110 flanking regions For Insite, need to amplify and immobilize target DNA on membrane first Laborious Amplification-hybridization-based technique for large-scale analysis Very useful for the confirmation of particular strains or strain families Insite also known as Reverse Dot Blot</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SNP analysis</td>
<td>Most-precise information on strains based on sequencing of polymorphic loci</td>
<td>High resolution Some selected SNP can be highly informative Technique can be automated for large-scale genotyping Applications include phylogenetic and population genetic investigations, molecular epidemiology, studies of drug resistance, and research on host-pathogen interactions</td>
<td>Requires extensive genomic sequencing of multiple chromosome targets sSNP do not result in amino acid change and not associated with selective pressure; hence, ideal for population genetic studies mSNP create an amino acid change and may be subject to selection pressure; can be used to study drug resistance-determining genetic loci</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* MTC, M. tuberculosis complex.
FIG. 1. Chromosomal maps of three *M. tuberculosis* strains CDC1551 (http://tigrblast.tigr.org/cmrr-BLAST), H37Rv (http://genolist.pasteur.fr/TubercuList/index.html), and 210 (http://tigrblast.tigr.org/ufmg/index.cgi?database=m_tuberculosis-strain210%7Cseq). Arrows show the positions and orientations of IS6110 insertions. Left- and right-oriented arrows on the maps indicate IS6110 insertions, confirmed by insertion site mapping at the Public Health Research Institute Tuberculosis Center (unpublished data) and the positions of IS6110 according to Beggs et al. (18). The coordinates of the IS6110 insertions in all three strains correspond to the H37Rv annotated sequence. IS6110 mapping indicates that despite the insertion-preferential loci ("hot spots"), the precise positions (flanking sequences of the IS element) and orientations of the inserted sequences are not repeated in the three strains analyzed; i.e., none of the flanking regions of the four IS6110 copies in CDC1551 correspond to the 17 insertion sites in H37Rv or to the 23 positions (resulting in 21 hybridization bands) of the IS element in strain 210. The structures of the DR loci within these three strains are shown; black dots indicate spacers in the DR locus of corresponding strains, and triangles indicate deleted spacers. The chromosomal loci *oriC* and DR were described previously (137, 152, 167).
logically defined clustering (patient-patient link). This is especially important to keep in mind in areas with low *M. tuberculosis* genetic diversity or in areas of high endemicity (13, 27, 29, 38). In such situations, strain clustering may involve a number of distinct transmission pathways that finally may not be epidemiologically informative (false-positive links). This is similar to that of conventional field epidemiologic investigations where distinct transmission patterns are often elusive, particularly in areas of high TB incidence (29, 112, 224). Therefore, suggested molecular epidemiologic links are greatly strengthened when they are in concordance with conventional methods of TB control (25, 27, 29). A second limitation often cited is the limited resolution in analyzing clinical strains with six or fewer copies of IS6110 (“low-copy-number” strains, clusters I, IIA, IV, and V [Fig. 2A]) (14, 150, 288, 290). The resolution afforded by the IS6110 RFLP genotyping method is inversely proportional to the number of IS elements, such that identical hybridization patterns may not indicate clonality when six or fewer bands comigrate. Although an IS6110-probed band on a hybridization blot indicates the presence and size of the PvuII-PvuII IS6110-associated DNA fragment, it does not provide the chromosomal location of the IS element. Therefore, identical bands may be from distinct genomic locales. Low-copy-number isolates have been shown to be genetically distinct when secondary independent biomarkers were used (14, 54, 210, 288). In contrast, high-copy-number strains (i.e., bearing more than six IS6110 copies, clusters I to III and VI to VIII [Fig. 2A]) with identical patterns are more likely to be clonal, as the probability of hybridization events, especially in regions where these genotypes are noted (22, 167, 260). For instance, the W-Beijing family encountered (22, 167, 260). For instance, the W-Beijing family of strains, a large phylogenetically related group of *M. tuberculosis* isolates that comprise hundreds of similar yet distinct IS6110 variations (Fig. 2A, cluster II), all have an almost identical spoligopattern lacking spacers 1 through 34 (Fig. 2B). In this case, spoligotyping may be useful in identifying W-Beijing strains in a population; however, this approach will not be able to discern transmission events, especially in regions where these genotypes are noted to be endemic, such as Russia, China, and South Africa (24, 115, 181). In contrast, spoligotyping has been shown to further discriminate IS6110 low-copy-number strains (14, 230). Kremmer et al. have shown that spoligotyping together with IS6110 genotyping can provide an accurate and discriminatory genotyping system (150); this approach has been adopted for the universal genotyping program in New York, N.Y. (56). When used alone, the limited discriminatory power of spoligotyping...
FIG. 2. Representative genotypes superimposed on the SNP-derived phylogenetic framework of M. tuberculosis. Based on SNP analysis of M. tuberculosis clinical isolates (including 1,743 strains from Public Health Research Institute Tuberculosis Center strain collection), a phylogenetic tree with the nine clusters of M. tuberculosis isolates was used to illustrate common genotypic patterns (122). (A) IS6110-based RFLP images. (B) Spoligotype patterns (black dots show spacers present in the chromosomal DR region of strains, and open triangles indicate deleted spacers). The strain spoligofamily definition corresponds to the SpolDB4 (43). Cluster I includes M. tuberculosis complex strains and TbD1/H11001 ancestral isolates. Cluster II is represented by the W-Beijing strain family, including strain 210. Cluster II.A comprises the CAS spoligotype isolates. Clusters I and II belong to PGG1, while II.A comprises both PGG1 and PGG2. The coclustering of isolates from PGG1 and PGG2 in cluster II.A is also shared by some spoligotypes (panel B). PGG is further delineated into clusters III, IV, and V.A. PGG6 and PGG7, while PGG8 and PGG9, the dendrograms of which are not shown, contain some clusters. Cluster VI is represented by the W-Beijing strain family, including strain 210. Cluster VI.A comprises the CAS spoligotype isolates. Cluster VII includes isolates with single IS6110 insertions, and Cluster VIII, which is further delineated into subclusters VII.A (including CDC1551), and VII.B, contains isolates with multiple IS6110 insertions. Similar/identical spoligotypes may be found in unrelated strain clusters (e.g., “Beijing” spoligotypes in clusters II and III). (Adapted from reference 122 with permission. © 2005 by the Infectious Diseases Society of America. All rights reserved.)
is primarily because it targets a single locus that accounts for less than 0.1% of the *M. tuberculosis* genome (Fig. 1), unlike IS6110-based RFLP analysis, which examines the distribution of IS6110 throughout the entire genome.

**VNTR and MIRU analysis.** Frothingham and Meeker-O’Connell performed a systematic analysis of VNTR loci in *M. tuberculosis* complex strains and found 11 loci comprising five major polymorphic tandem repeats (MPTR) (A to E) and six exact tandem repeats (ETR) (A to F) ranging in size from 53 to 79 bp (104). Since then, additional VNTR loci have been reported (119, 128, 146, 159, 189, 223, 229). Supply et al. identified 41 VNTR of mycobacterial interspersed repetitive units (MIRU) (tandem repeats of 40 to 100 bp) located in mammalian-like minisatellite regions scattered around the chromosome of H37Rv, CDC1551, and AF2122/97 (169, 247), including loci 4 (VNTR0580) and 31 (VNTR3192), which correspond to ETDR and E, respectively (104). Twelve of the 41 MIRU loci were selected for genotyping of *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates and were reported in a 12-digit format corresponding to the number of repeats at each chromosomal locus (169, 247). The digitized data generated by MIRU-VNTR profiling is highly amenable to inter- and intralaboratory comparisons. As additional *M. tuberculosis* VNTR loci have been included, the various nomenclature from one laboratory to another has created some confusion. As such, Smittipat et al. have proposed a standardization of the VNTR nomenclature based on the four digits of the locus position on the H37Rv genome (for an equivalence table, see reference 228).

The discriminatory power of MIRU-VNTR analysis is typically proportional to the number of loci evaluated; in general, when only 12 loci are used, it is less discriminating relative to IS6110 RFLP genotyping for isolates with high-copy-number IS6110 insertions but more discriminating than IS6110 RFLP genotyping for isolates with low-copy-number IS6110. When more than 12 loci are used, or MIRU analysis is combined with spoligotyping, the discriminatory power approximates that of IS6110 RFLP genotyping for isolates with high-copy-number IS6110. When more than 12 loci are used, or MIRU analysis is combined with spoligotyping, the discriminatory power approximates that of IS6110 RFLP genotyping. Recently, a comparative study of genotyping methods aimed at evaluating novel PCR-based typing techniques found VNTR analysis to have the greatest discriminatory power among amplification-based approaches (147). MIRU-VNTR genotyping has been used in a number of molecular epidemiologic studies, as well as to elucidate the phylogenetic relationships of clinical isolates (148, 231, 246, 248, 280). VNTR analysis has also been used to evaluate *M. bovis* transmission (214). A high-resolution MIRU-VNTR genotyping system using an automated sequencer and PCR primers tagged with one of four fluorescent dyes (FAM, NED, VIC, and HEX) has been developed, allowing amplification of four different loci simultaneously by multiplex PCR.

VNTR loci have a variable range of alleles; for example, within the 12 MIRUs, MIRU loci 2 (VNTR0154) and 24 (VNTR2687) have mostly 1 or 2 copies, while VNTR3820 can have from 3 to 32 copies (66, 228, 231, 246). Likewise, the discriminating capacity of a given locus, the molecular clock, or variability in alleles also varies extensively among the loci. For example, MIRU10 (VNTR0960) has been found to be the most polymorphic, having mostly 1 to 7 copies or up to 12 alleles in the *M. tuberculosis* collections analyzed (66, 231, 246; also, unpublished data). Variability at specific MIRU loci often depends on the sample collection (e.g., nationwide, population based, or convenience sampling), geographic origin, and inherent genetic diversity of the strains. For example VNTR2059 has been found to be polymorphic in some studies but not in others (66, 228). An alternative selection of VNTRs should consider the intrinsic differences and variability within different genetic groups and the endemicity or predominance of clones in specific geographic and demographic populations. The use of different sets of VNTR from one collection to another would hamper the ease of interlaboratory analysis, one of the advantages of VNTR analysis. On the other hand, broadly increasing the overall number of loci for genotyping would increase the cost and labor required for analysis and complicate analysis and interpretation, not to mention reducing enthusiasm for routine epidemiological investigations. Presently, there is a concerted effort to select a better combination of VNTR for genotyping (248a). Fifteen of 29 MIRU-VNTR were selected, and >800 clinical isolates of diverse origin were analyzed for discriminatory power relative to IS6110 genotyping. Although promising, this new selection of MIRU-VNTR has yet to be evaluated in different settings.

**SNP.** As extensive comparative genomic analysis of *M. tuberculosis* has revealed remarkable DNA conservation between chromosomes, noted genetic polymorphisms at the nucleotide level have provided researchers with markers to differentiate clinical isolates as well as to study the phylogenetic relatedness of clinical strains. Both nonsynonymous single-nucleotide polymorphisms (nsSNP) and synonymous SNP (sSNP) provide useful genetic information that can be applied to differentiate *M. tuberculosis* strains; however, they address different biologic questions.

In general, nonsynonymous polymorphisms create an amino acid change that might be subject to internal or external selection pressure. As such, nonsynonymous changes in drug resistance-determining genetic loci can result in phenotypic drug resistance. Accordingly, *M. tuberculosis* resistance to antituberculosis agents nearly always correlates with genetic alterations (nonsynonymous point mutations, small duplications, or deletions) in resistance-conferring chromosomal regions (Table 2) (168, 206, 208, 295). nsSNP in genes that confer drug resistance can aid in understanding the nature and spread of resistance between and within populations (see “Molecular studies on drug resistance,” below).

In contrast, synonymous changes, which are considered functionally neutral, do not alter the amino acid profile. These neutral alterations, when in structural or housekeeping genes, can provide the basis to study genetic drift and evolutionary relationships among mycobacterial strains. Sreevatsan et al. exploited two functionally neutral sSNP in codon 463 (Leu463Arg) of the catalase-peroxidase-encoding gene katG and codon 95 (Thr95Ser) of the A subunit of DNA gyrase gene gyrA to divide the modern *M. tuberculosis* complex into three principle genetic groups (PGGs), designated PGG1 (katG463 CTC [Leu] gyrA45 ACC [Thr]), PGG2 (katG463 CGG [Arg] gyrA45 ACC [Thr]), and PGG3 (katG463 CGG [Arg] gyrA45 AGC [Ser]) (235). A more robust analysis by Gutacker et al. further divided the three PGGs into nine major clusters (1 to VIII and II.A) (122, 123). Other investigators have similarly used sSNP analysis to infer the phylogenetic structure of *M. tuberculosis* populations and have largely reported consistent
resistance genes do not add up to 100% for a compound when compared to phenotypic resistance. This may be due to other, unidentified mechanisms. NA, not

VOL. 19, 2006 MOLECULAR EPIDEMIOLOGY OF TUBERCULOSIS 669

For instance, deletion of TbD1 (for “M. tuberculosis specific deletion 1,” a 2,153-bp fragment) was identified in all modern M. tuberculosis strains; in contrast, ancestral strains tested have this locus present (246). Studies of genomic LSP have indicated that deletions are not always randomly distributed in the chromosome but tend to be aggregated (141, 255). Some loci are “hot spots” for DNA deletions and can occur independently in unrelated strains or lineages. Some chromosomal deletions are associated with IS transposition; this is particularly true of loci which are hot spots for IS6110 insertions, such as in the RvD5 and DR regions (41, 218). For other deletions (such as TbD1), the correlation with IS elements has not been determined. Deleted sequences can include putative open reading frames as well as intergenic regions and housekeeping genes (41, 141). Using deleted fragments as genetic markers, this analysis can be performed by a simple PCR-based method or by automated GeneChip techniques (255).

Both ancestral and frequent deletions can correlate with clonal lineages and be used to examine strain relatedness (141, 254, 255). However, careful selection of the deletions should be made when undertaking such studies. For example, deletions within the above-mentioned hot spots for IS insertion can occur independently in different strains, hence a form of convergent evolution. Nonetheless, analysis of chromosomal deletions has proven to be a powerful tool in investigating the global evolution and phylogeny of the M. tuberculosis complex (41, 182, 197). The resolution of deletion analysis can be greatly improved when the exact flanking sequence of lost DNA is determined, especially when analyzing deletions in hot-spot loci. The use of deletion analysis (or deligotyping) for epidemiological investigations is still nascent. This approach has proven very efficient when the presence of a specific deletion associated with a single strain has been predetermined. Under such circumstances, a single PCR may suffice to track down the spread of a single strain (99, 254). However, in studies in which no particular clone or strain is targeted, simultaneous analysis of multiple deletions is required. Recently, a high-throughput method for detecting large polymorphic deletions was developed (117). Here, 43 genomic regions for large-scale deligotyping analysis were selected, and amplicons generated from these 43 deligosites were hybridized to a membrane containing the target sequences of the 43 loci. This

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antituberculosis agent</th>
<th>Gene</th>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Mutation frequency among drug-resistant clinical isolates (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Streptomycin</td>
<td>rpsL</td>
<td>Ribosomal protein S12</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rifampin</td>
<td>rs</td>
<td>16S rRNA</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoniazid</td>
<td>rpoB</td>
<td>β subunit of RNA polymerase</td>
<td>&gt;95</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethambutol</td>
<td>emcAB</td>
<td>Arabinosyltransferases</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyrazinamide</td>
<td>pncA</td>
<td>Amidase</td>
<td>70–100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethionamide</td>
<td>inhA</td>
<td>Enoyl-ACP reductase</td>
<td>&lt;10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kanamycin</td>
<td>rs</td>
<td>16S rRNA</td>
<td>~65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Fluoroquinolone</td>
<td>gyrA</td>
<td>DNA gyrase α subunit</td>
<td>&gt;90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Capreomycin</td>
<td>tlyA</td>
<td>rRNA methyltransferase</td>
<td>NA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Para-aminosalicylic acid</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* For comprehensive reviews, see references 205 and 294.
  + Mutation frequencies were determined by DNA sequencing and PCR/single-strand conformational polymorphism. Note that the various frequencies of drug resistance genes do not add up to 100% for a compound when compared to phenotypic resistance. This may be due to other, unidentified mechanisms. NA, not available.

  a See Maus et al. (168).
  b See Rengarajan et al. (208).
approach proved to be highly sensitive and efficient for the rapid screening of clinical isolates. As is the case for other techniques, high-throughput deligotyping needs to be evaluated against different panels of clinical strains and in different epidemiologic and geographic settings.

**Identification of strain-specific markers for rapid diagnosis.** Rapid identification of TB transmission is greatly facilitated when strain-specific properties are targeted, as in the case of MDR-TB outbreaks. Genetic markers can comprise any “unique” characteristic that can distinguish target isolates, including unique fragment sequences, duplications, deletions, neutral SNP or polymorphisms associated with IS6110, or a drug resistance phenotype. For example, insertion site mapping (ISM) is a method that can be applied using IS6110 junctions for such purposes. Kurepina et al. used the unique IS6110 insertion site (A1) in the intergenic region in the origin of chromosome replication (oriC) as a marker to identify and classify members of the W-Beijing strain family (Fig. 1, strain 210) (151, 152). Likewise, Plikaytis and coworkers used multiplex PCR to determine two IS6110 insertions within an NTF locus to identify the W-MDR outbreak isolates from New York, N.Y. (201). In addition, an investigation of a strain with a single IS6110 insertion with others possessing two and three insertions was made possible through ISM. This approach (with spoligotyping) allowed the detection of an otherwise unsuspected M. tuberculosis strain cluster (167). In another application, van Rie et al. described a PCR-based method identifying mixed infections from primary samples (262). Other variations on this technique, such as insertion site typing (“Insite”), which uses PCR amplification of IS6110-flanking sequences followed by hybridization against known IS6110-flanking regions, have been reported, allowing for large-scale screening of clinical isolates (241). Therefore, the use of specific markers is highly amenable to studying transmission, aiding in public health activities, and providing valuable evolutionary information.

**MOLECULAR EPIDEMIOLOGY AND PUBLIC HEALTH**

The field of molecular epidemiology generally aims to investigate whether naturally occurring strains differ in epidemiology. For instance, do specific clinical strains differ in their infectiousness, severity of disease, or susceptibility to antituberculosis agents? In general, the increased resolution afforded by molecular techniques has enabled both short-term (local epidemiological), such as in suspected outbreaks or laboratory error (26, 89, 193), and long-term (global epidemiological) investigations, such as understanding spatiotemporal transmission and evolutionary dynamics (41, 123, 124, 182, 232, 235).

In addition, molecular epidemiology can serve to better inform routine TB control activities. Successful molecular epidemiological investigations have sought to estimate the fraction of cases attributable to recent transmission or reactivation (12, 35, 224), confirm laboratory-based errors (39, 193), distinguish between endogenous reactivation and exogenous reinfec-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Application</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study of M. tuberculosis transmission dynamics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Confirmation of suspected outbreak/transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of unsuspected transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tracing of chains of transmission</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of transmission in specific populations/groups</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of transmission in a given setting</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of risk factors and groups at risk of M. tuberculosis infection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Discriminating recurrent TB due to exogenous reinfection and reactivation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of laboratory error/cross-contamination</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determination of geographic spread of strains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Monitoring of transmission of drug-resistant strains</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Determinations of frequency of drug resistance in different settings</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigation of the evolution of drug-resistant TB within and between patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Detection of mixed infections among TB patients</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sampling of strain types for further studies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Evaluation of TB control programs (level of clustering); e.g., DOTS (direct observed therapy—short course)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of strain-specific transmission/infection rates</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of predominant strain types (clonal strains) in study populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Identification of hypervirulent strains in populations</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Investigations of the evolution of M. tuberculosis</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

classical approaches, the direct utility of molecular epidemiologic investigations for TB control activities are best illustrated when using both molecular and epidemiologic data sources. In addition to use in the study of transmission patterns within populations, molecular markers can be used to evaluate host and strain-specific risk factors and possible genotypic-specific differences in phenotypes such as virulence, organ tropism, and transmissibility (108, 207, 209, 235, 256). Below we highlight some instances in which the utility of molecular epidemiologic methods has been realized. Table 3 summarizes some of applications of molecular techniques in the study of TB epidemiology.

**Transmission dynamics.** The difficulty in studying the transmission dynamics of M. tuberculosis within a given population stems partly from the natural history of the pathogen itself. Since most successful infections are followed by a variable latency period, the timing of transmission events often remains elusive. Indeed, most immunocompetent individuals (approximately 90%) infected with M. tuberculosis remain disease-free during the course of their lives. Therefore, the long-term persistence of this organism, juxtaposed with the generally high reproductive number (i.e., the average number of new infections that one case causes annually), makes charting transmission pathways within and between communities extremely difficult. Most TB control programs (especially in more developed countries) rely on contact tracing, whereby individuals named by the index case are screened (using purified protein derivative [PPD]-based tuberculin skin testing or chest X rays) and, if indicated, recommended for treatment of latent TB infection (4). While these prevention activities in low-incidence communities have been useful (101), they are often imprecise and tend to underestimate the level of transmission (25, 29, 167, 224).

The imprecision of contact-tracing investigations has been...
highlighted by several reports that have indicated that limited or casual contact is sufficient for *M. tuberculosis* transmission. Large population-based molecular epidemiologic studies conducted in San Francisco, Calif., and Baltimore, Md., uncovered, through extensive contact investigations, approximately 10% to 25% epidemiologic links between patients in designated molecular clusters (29, 224). Similarly, Mathema et al., reporting on a molecular cluster of closely related strains identified from a population-based study in New Jersey, was able to uncover only 30% case links within the prescribed cluster with clinical, demographic, and contact-tracing information (167). The Baltimore study reported that within molecular clusters, patients with no known epidemiologic links shared similar risk and demographic profiles. Furthermore, Valway et al., investigating an outbreak of strain CDC1551 in a small, rural community with low TB incidence, documented extensive transmission associated with casual contact (256). Yaganehdoost and colleagues reported on complex transmission patterns among “bar-hopping” patrons in a specific neighborhood in Houston, Tex., as part of a population-based molecular epidemiology study (286). These studies suggest that the imprecision of contact tracing may in part be due to complex transmission patterns in which casual contact may account for a considerable proportion of molecularly clustered yet epidemiologically unlinked cases, highlighting one of the advantages of performing population-based molecular epidemiologic studies: identifying high-risk groups or areas where transmission is ongoing.

Levels of molecular clustering, much like epidemiologic definitions, are subject to the stringency imposed (i.e., identical, related, or unrelated) by the investigators as well as by the specific molecular method used. The criteria of strain relatedness should be assessed with the appropriate study question and population in mind. In regions where the genetic diversity of the bacillary population is limited, for instance, in East Asia, and population in mind. In regions where the genetic diversity of the bacillary population is limited, for instance, in East Asia, where *W*-Beijing strains are predominant, clustering based on the W-Beijing family is limited, for instance, in East Asia, where *W*-Beijing strains are predominant, clustering based on identical spoliotyping may lead to gross overestimations of true clustering rates since the *W*-Beijing strains have mostly identical spoligotype patterns. Similarly, clustering based on identical *IS6110*-based RFLP patterns may yield numerous hybridization profiles with various degrees of similarity and provide an underestimate of true clustering rates, since some strains that have similar *IS6110* profiles may be related by a recent common progenitor. For instance, a population-based study conducted in New Jersey identified 68 strains belonging to the *W*-Beijing family (25). Upon closer inspection, using subtle motifs in the *IS6110* banding patterns and secondary molecular methods (VNTR and PGRS), the investigators divided the 68 strains into two groups, A and B. Unlike group B, group A consisted of five closely related *IS6110* profiles that shared epidemiologic and demographic characteristics. Here the authors suggested that while groups A and B are phylogenetically related (i.e., both are members of the W-Beijing lineage, PGG-1, and SSN cluster II [123, 151]), group A represents a clone that has recently spread and evolved in specific U.S.-born communities (i.e., clonal expansion) whereas group B was recovered from mainly non-U.S.-born patients of East Asian origin. Unlike group A, the diverse patterns and contrasting demographic profiles (i.e., mainly HIV seronegative, older age, no known risk factors for TB) noted among group B patients suggest that this group represents mainly reactive cases. Similarly, another study conducted with the same New Jersey population uncovered a large cluster based on a distinct spoligotype that displayed three distinct *IS6110* profiles (167). Here, use of ISM and sequencing of “naked” *IS6110* flanking regions revealed a stepwise acquisition of *IS6110* elements from one to two to three copies. The clonality of the three strains was confirmed by multiple molecular methods. Patients harboring these three *IS6110* patterns and distinct spoligotype were similar with respect to geographic and epidemiologic characteristics and differed from patients with unrelated strains, further supporting the molecular method-based clustering. These studies indicate those strains with similar yet nonidentical *IS6110* fingerprint patterns may share a recent progenitor and therefore be closely related and part of or an extension of an ongoing series of transmission events.

As highlighted in the New Jersey and Houston studies, population-based studies, when used in conjunction with traditional TB control activities, may facilitate the identification of previously unrecognized transmission events or even outbreaks in populations where there is a high background of reported cases (25, 160, 167, 173, 286). Until recently, it was thought that in low-incidence countries, such as the United States, the majority of TB cases were due to endogenous reactivation. Population-based molecular epidemiologic studies from San Francisco, New York, The Netherlands, and Denmark noted that molecular clustering ranged on average between 35% and 45%, indicating a substantial proportion most probably due to recent transmission (2, 17, 224, 265). This is in contrast to high-incidence populations where clustering is substantially higher, with proportions approaching 70% in some situations (113, 116, 271). It is important to note that molecular clustering is not synonymous with levels of recent transmission. Although molecular clustering may approximate epidemiologic clustering or recent transmission in low-incidence populations, the approximations tend to be more divergent in high-incidence populations due to high rates of infection and multiple transmission pathways. The precise proportion of disease due to recent transmission or endogenous reactivation is variable and heavily dependent on a number of factors, including the annual rate of TB infection, the molecular method employed, effective TB control programs, the size of the infected pool of individuals, age cohort effects (275), immigration history (109, 155), population susceptibility (e.g., genetic susceptibility, HIV prevalence, BCG vaccination), and the sampling strategies employed to derive estimates (114, 185, 186). Thus, when studies account for these independent factors and are used in conjunction with conventional epidemiologic methods, greater resolution and insight into transmission dynamics can be gleaned for the specific communities or populations studied. As such, universal genotyping has been implemented in some cities and countries (15, 56, 65, 136, 265).

**Molecular studies on drug resistance.** Over the past decade, much has been learned of the drug targets and mechanisms of resistance to first-line and several second-line antituberculosis agents (Table 2) (168, 206, 208). As mentioned above, *M. tuberculosis* generally acquires drug resistance via de novo msSNP, small deletions, or insertions in specific chromosomal loci, unlike most other pathogenic bacteria, which often acquire drug resistance via horizontal transfer. This attribute of *M. tuberculosis* drug resistance, coupled with fast and efficient
DNA sequencing methods, makes studying drug resistance highly amenable for molecular epidemiologic investigations. Molecular epidemiologic studies on drug resistance have generally sought to examine the nature (e.g., genotype-specific mutations, association of specific mutations with phenotypic resistance) and extent (e.g., prevalence of specific mutations in a population) of drug resistance and patient risk factors (e.g., HIV) for acquiring resistance. Some studies have queried the contribution of primary (infection by an already-resistant organism) versus acquired (acquisition of drug resistance within a patient, de novo) drug resistance in specific populations (158, 285), while others have aimed to describe the evolutionary dynamics of drug resistance during clonal expansion or dissemination between and within patients (22, 26, 202). Of note, the terms primary and acquired resistance are not used in the current WHO guidelines, since they cannot be distinguished by most public health programs (unlike molecular epidemiologic studies); rather, they are divided by treatment history. Patients never treated or for treated <1 month and harboring drug-resistant TB are considered primary, and those previously treated or treated for >1 month are labeled acquired (283).

The report by Bifani et al. provides an example of a study of the nature and evolution of drug resistance during a clonal MDR-TB outbreak. Here the investigators describe the genotypic drug resistance profile of strain W and its variants during the outbreak in the early 1990s in New York, N.Y. (26, 101). Of the 357 patient isolates that were invariably resistant to INH, RIF, ethambutol (EMB), streptomycin (STR), and pyrazinamide (PZA) and often resistant to kanamycin (KAN), 253 isolates displayed 18 identical hybridizing bands, strongly indicating clonality and an outbreak. Analysis of five drug resistance chromosomal targets among 50 randomly chosen isolates revealed an identical array of polymorphisms, including a rare dinucleotide substitution in katG315 further supporting clonality and suggesting that the spread of this clone was primary in nature (i.e., acquired resistance prior to dissemination). Since then, at least 11 MDR W variants with subtle variations in IS6110 RFLP profiles have been recovered from New York patients. DNA sequence analyses of drug resistance targets confirm these variants as descendants of the original outbreak strain (i.e., mutations identical to those of strain W); however, in some variants additional resistance to fluoroquinolone and capreomycin was noted (26, 183, 245). Sequence analysis of the fluoroquinolone-resistant strains revealed five different gyrA polymorphisms, indicating that strain W had acquired resistance to first-line agents prior to dissemination and subsequently acquired resistance to fluoroquinolone de novo (285). Here, documentation of primary spread was critical in devising appropriate TB prevention and control measures (1, 100).

Molecular epidemiologic studies of drug resistance have also focused on describing the nature of resistance within patients. For instance, Post et al. sought to better understand the dynamics of drug-resistant subpopulations resident within a patient by characterizing serial isolates recovered from 13 chronic HIV negative MDR-TB patients (202). Serial isolates were characterized by IS6110-based RFLP analysis, spoligotyping, and sequencing of a number of drug resistance-determining regions. It was found that while all cases were infected by a single strain of M. tuberculosis, sputum-derived isolates of 4 of the 13 patients had acquired additional drug resistance mutations during the study. Heterogeneous populations of bacilli with different resistance mutations, as well as mixtures of drug-susceptible and drug-resistant genotypes to specific genetic targets, were noted. This observation was furthered by another study that noted bacilli with additional drug resistance mutations recovered from different human lung lesions (138). Taken together, these studies suggest that a single founder strain of M. tuberculosis may undergo genetic changes during treatment, leading to the accumulation of additional drug resistance independently in discrete physical locales. In addition, it is possible that in patients with mixed infections (more than one infecting strain), the drug resistance profile may be composed of strains with different susceptibilities (e.g., simultaneous infection with mono-INH- and mono-RIF-resistant strains), leading to incorrect MDR resistance profiles (211, 262). Therefore, genetic heterogeneity may require therapeutic targeting of both drug-resistant and drug-susceptible phenotypes, especially with first-line agents.

As shown in the examples above, examinations of target mutations have enabled investigators to determine whether the occurrence of drug resistance among clinical samples is due to primary infection or to de novo acquisition (acquired); the former would implicate active transmission of already-resistant strains in the community studied, and the latter would suggest suboptimal case management or other patient factors, such as poor therapeutic compliance, drug malabsorption, or low drug bioavailability (90, 133, 199, 200). Additionally, drug resistance genetic markers have been used to demonstrate clonality and transmission and to elucidate microevolutionary pathways (22, 26, 183, 272). Other mechanisms or characteristics, not discussed in this review, such as increased expression of specific genes or drug tolerance, which are specific to the infecting strain or restricted to specific phylogenetic lineages may also contribute to reduced susceptibility to antituberculosis agents (36, 79, 198, 276).

Recurrent TB. Recurrent TB is the reoccurrence of disease after a previous episode has been considered clinically cured or resolved. In general, active TB may develop due to either recent infection or endogenous reactivation of historic infection. However, for the past few decades the role of exogenous reinfection, i.e., caused by a new strain of M. tuberculosis, in TB patients who had previous disease has been heavily debated (48, 236, 243, 274). Stead and others in the 1960s reported that TB always begin with primary infection, and subsequent episodes (of disease) are due to reactivation of these dormant organisms (also known as the unitary concept of pathogenesis) (236). Romeyn suggested that in environments of high TB infectivity, exogenous reinfection does have a role in active TB cases, unlike in communities or countries where TB incidence is low (213). Furthermore, Canetti used the epidemiologic concept of cohort effect to support the notion of exogenous reinfection (48). Between 1962 and 1970 in France, drug resistance to the available antituberculosis agents was 7.8% among patients over the age of 60 years. Since the prevalence of TB infection was as high as 90% 30 years prior (1935), a cohort member aged 60 would have been 30 years old in 1935 and would have invariably been infected with M. tuberculosis. As resistant forms of the bacilli did not appear until the middle to late 1940s, Canetti suggested that these patients harboring drug-resistant organisms acquired it exogenously.
Nevertheless, the long-standing belief was that the majority of recurrent TB is due to endogenous reactivation of the primary *M. tuberculosis* infection. Although an unsettled issue, clinical cure or successful completion of an appropriate chemotherapy regimen may not result in mycobacterial sterilization (161, 236). Guinea pig studies of *M. tuberculosis* infection do not support the notion that secondary or tertiary exposure to the bacilli leads to an adverse effect on the host response to the primary infecting strain (296). As such, there have been limited case reports documenting exogenous reinfection occurring among immunocompromised individuals (97, 226); however, host-pathogen factors influencing this seemingly uncommon event have yet to be elucidated (142).

Distinguishing recurrent TB (due to exogenous reinfection or endogenous reactivation) raises several epidemiologic questions regarding the level of active transmission, the infectious burden, and environmental and specific host susceptibility factors in a given population. Clinical, epidemiological, and/or microbiological data cannot conclusively differentiate recurrent TB caused by reactivation or reinfection. Molecular techniques can, when primary (or historical) and secondary samples are available, help distinguish endogenous from exogenous infection. van Rie et al. reported the first comprehensive study of recurrent TB attributable to exogenous reinfection in an ongoing population-based study in Cape Town, South Africa (263). Here, roughly 700 patient isolates were genotyped by IS6110-based RFLP analysis over a 6-year period from a high-TB-incidence region (225 cases/100,000). *M. tuberculosis* isolates recovered from primary and secondary episodes were available and genotyped for 16 of the 48 recurrent patients with previous TB treatment and documented cure. All but one of the 16 patients was HIV-seronegative. IS6110 analysis indicated that in this group, 75% (12 of 16) of the recurrences were due to an exogenous insult, i.e., a new strain of *M. tuberculosis*. Concern over the interpretation of data has been noted, as the study included patients with a single positive culture as recurrent cases (76, 95; W. W. Weid and J. H. Bates, Letter, N. Engl. J. Med. 342:1050, 2000; A. van Rie et al., Author’s Reply, N. Engl. J. Med. 342:1051, 2000). Nevertheless, Vynnycky and Fine suggested, as did Romeyn, that the contribution of exogenous reinfection increases proportionally to the regional incidence of TB, thus supporting the findings of van Rie et al. (213, 274).

Studies conducted in countries with different rates of TB incidence have demonstrated various levels of recurrent disease attributable to exogenous reinfection (46, 135, 233). Sonnenberg et al. reported on the incidence of recurrence among a cohort of HIV-1-infected and uninfected South African mine workers (233). Of the 65 patients with recurrent disease, 39 were available for IS6110 fingerprinting. Of these, 14 patients (36%) were considered exogenously reinfected. The authors found the recurrence rate to be about 2.4 times higher among the HIV-1-infected subgroup than among the uninfected group, suggesting that in regions with a high incidence of TB infection, HIV enhances the rate of recurrence due to high risk of exogenous reinfection. Recently, Verver et al. reported that the age-adjusted incidence rate of TB due to reinfection among patients with successful prior treatment was four times higher than the rate of new TB in Cape Town, South Africa (270). At least in this high-incidence community (313 cases/100,000), individuals with previous TB are strongly associated with an increased risk of developing disease when reinfected. Although potential confounding by HIV status or socioeconomic background may have biased the estimates, this study raises the possibility that there may exist a subgroup of individuals with a predisposition to TB infection and/or that TB disease itself increases the susceptibility to recurrence (19, 49, 292).

Molecular tools have not only facilitated direct evidence for the occurrence of exogenous reinfection among both immunocompetent and immunocompromised individuals but have also provided a platform for studies aimed at assessing the current rates of active transmission in the community, the rate at which this phenomenon occurs in various epidemiologic scenarios (e.g., low TB incidence) among individuals with different risk factors and comorbidities or different race and/or ethnic groups. Although limited, there is evidence for racial variation in the susceptibility or level of innate immunity to *M. tuberculosis* infection (68, 239); more studies on host susceptibility to reinfection/infection that utilize molecular epidemiologic approaches need to be performed. As such, the finding that *M. tuberculosis* infection or disease does not afford sufficient acquired immunity against further insults will have profound implications for TB control activities, such as enhanced case finding and preventive therapy among at-risk population groups in areas of high disease prevalence, and for vaccine development. In addition, a study from a high-incidence region reported that multiple infections with different strains are common, suggesting significant reinfection rates and the absence of effective protective immunity conferred by the initial episode, further reinforcing the role of exogenous reinfection in the epidemiology and control of TB (279). Comprehensive reviews of this topic have been published elsewhere (55, 153).

**Laboratory error/cross-contamination.** Laboratory error resulting in false-positive cultures of *M. tuberculosis* can cause erroneous administration of medications, disruption of daily life, and expenditure of resources required for isolation and contact investigations. Mechanisms of laboratory error generally occur when clinical samples are mislabeled or medical devices are contaminated and during the handling or processing of primary patient samples subjected to mycobacterial analyses (laboratory cross-contamination) (39, 45). As this error is often random in nature, the rate of occurrence may be quite variable from one clinical laboratory to another.

Investigating false-positive cultures typically begins by first determining whether the patient has only a single positive acid-fast stain (out of three smears taken on consecutive days) that results in a single positive culture and if the laboratory had processed any other *M. tuberculosis* isolates during the same time period. When multiple *M. tuberculosis* patient isolates have been processed in the laboratory during the same time frame, genotyping may be used to determine the possibility of cross-contamination. A study by Small et al. suggested that two identical fingerprints cultured from separate patients within 7 days should be investigated (225). The use of DNA fingerprinting has markedly improved the timeliness and ability to confirm or refute false-positive cultures (16, 56, 96, 192, 225).

Several studies have shown, by molecular techniques, that laboratory error occurs more frequently than previously thought (21, 39, 45). Molecular confirmation of false-positive
cultures is often based on sufficient strain diversity in the TB population and distinction between clinical and laboratory strains. That is, when there is sufficient heterogeneity in *M. tuberculosis* genotypes in a population, the chance of processing two patient isolates with identical fingerprints (within a short time frame) is low and warrants further investigation. This is especially the case in communities with relatively low TB incidence. It is important to note that genotypic heterogeneity and the ability to discriminate genotypes may be dependent on the molecular method. For instance, while most members of the W-Beijing family have identical spoligotypes, they exhibit similar yet distinct IS6110-based RFLP patterns. Therefore, in regions where the W-Beijing family of strains is predominant, such as in East Asia, use of spoligotyping alone to confirm or refute laboratory cross-contamination may lead to unwarranted investigations. This limitation is applicable to most, if not all, molecular methods currently available. To rule out patient isolate-isolate contamination, most clinical laboratories use a laboratory strain (such as H37Rv) for identification and drug susceptibility testing. Here, differentiating between patient isolates and the laboratory control strain is critical to rule out contamination (23). In general, contamination is especially suspected when there are inconsistencies between microbiologic results and clinical findings (179). While this issue does not directly relate to the epidemiology of TB, it serves as a major application of molecular techniques in TB control activities. Nevertheless, laboratory error is an important topic in TB case management and laboratory quality control and has been reviewed specifically elsewhere (45). In one study, false-positive cultures were reported in 13 of 14 molecular epidemiology studies that examined more than 100 patients. Here, the investigators found a median false-positive rate of 3.1% reported in these studies (45). In another report, a quality assessment study to evaluate the replicability of IS6110-based RFLP analysis and spoligotyping by eight laboratories in the National Tuberculosis Genotyping and Surveillance Network showed variable rates of reproducibility (37). It is important to note that samples may contain heterogeneous populations of *M. tuberculosis* strains (i.e., mixed infections) and that this does not necessarily point to laboratory cross-contamination. Reports from high-incidence areas suggest that this phenomenon may not be rare (211, 279). Here, RFLP-based methods are generally better suited to identifying patient samples containing more than one strain than are PCR-based methods (Table 1). The impact of mixed infections on the interpretation data from high-incidence settings that primarily use PCR-based methods is unclear and warrants further evaluation.

**PHYLOGENY AND STRAIN FAMILIES OF *M. TUBERCULOSIS***

The remarkable level of DNA conservation of *M. tuberculosis* housekeeping genes, the paucity of synonymous mutations, and data from genomic deletion analysis has led to the hypothesis that the modern *M. tuberculosis* strains, which account for the majority of TB worldwide, underwent an evolutionary bottleneck at the time of subspeciation some 15,000 to 20,000 years ago (41, 139, 235). Recently, Gutierrez et al. shed further light into the evolutionary age of *M. tuberculosis* (124). Here, the investigators classically sequenced portions of six housekeeping genes (*katG, gyrB, gyrA, rpoB, hsp65*, and *sodA*) and the complete 16S RNA gene of nine *M. tuberculosis* complex and seven smooth (morphologically) tubercle bacilli. The latter group was isolated from patients in Djibouti, East Africa, and based on phylogenetic analysis is believed to have predated the modern *M. tuberculosis* complex members. The authors estimate that the tubercle bacilli or its ancient predecessor could be 3 million years old and speculate that the smooth bacilli spread/coexisted with early hominids reported to have existed in the same region. The coevolutionary scenario proposed may indeed better explain in part the extent of genetic diversity of clinical strains observed in various regions of the world. Furthermore, such studies may provide insight into the evolution of biomedically significant traits and into the relationships between *M. tuberculosis*, host populations, and the environment, thus being relevant to the field of molecular epidemiology.

A basic assumption of molecular epidemiology is that lineages of microbial pathogens are for the most part genetically stable over time and geographic distance (154). It follows that genetic polymorphisms that may differentially contribute to a distinct clinical or epidemiologic phenotype are nonrandomly distributed along clonal lines (187). Molecular epidemiologic analyses of clinical strains of some bacterial pathogens have revealed the presence of specific genotypes associated with distinct phenotypes (30, 131, 154, 187). As such, specific *M. tuberculosis* clones have been reported to show an unusual degree of outbreak or epidemic potential or marked tissue tropism (26, 102, 234, 256).

A number of studies have sought to examine the phylogenetic structure and relationships within the *M. tuberculosis* species (3, 9, 93, 123). As mentioned above, Gutacker et al., using over 230 sSNP found in the four *M. tuberculosis* complex genomes (*M. tuberculosis* strains H37Rv, CDC1551, and 210 and *M. bovis* AF2122/97), examined the phylogenetic relationship of *M. tuberculosis* isolates recovered from geographically distinct populations and found eight distinct *M. tuberculosis* lineages (123). More recently, analysis of 5,069 *M. tuberculosis* clinical isolates retrieved from four population-based studies, using the 36 most informative sSNP (from the 230 sSNP previously reported), as well as selected nsSNP and intergenic SNP, found a similar phylogenetic framework of eight clusters (I to VIII), plus an additional cluster (II.A) (122). From these studies, it appears that strains with IS6110 copy numbers ranging from 1 to 6 were restricted to clusters I, II.A, IV, and V (PGG1 and PGG2) (Fig. 2A). Related strains with high numbers of IS6110 insertions (10 to 26) generally belonged to cluster II (PGG1) and represent the W-Beijing strain family, including strain 210. Annotated strain CDC1551 grouped to cluster V (PGG2), while laboratory strain H37Rv was found in cluster VIII (PGG3).

Another study examined 37 neutral mutations in seven drug resistance-determining genes to group *M. tuberculosis* isolates (9). Those authors identified four major *M. tuberculosis* lineages in addition to the *M. bovis* group (related *M. bovis* strains). Combinations of genetic markers such as PGG, spoligotypes, and the presence of TbD1 (a marker for ancestral *M. tuberculosis* strains) seem to be restricted to specific lineages. The correlation between sSNP lineages (Baker et al.) and sSNP clusters (Gutacker et al.) is apparent. That is, lineage IV
corresponds to cluster I (PGG1, TbD1\(^+\)); lineage I corresponds to cluster II (PGG1, TbD1\(^-\)); lineage II combines clusters III, IV, V, and VI (PGG2, TbD1\(^-\)); and lineage III compiles strains from subcluster II.A (PGG1, TbD1\(^-\)). It is not clear what lineage corresponds to clusters 7 and 8 (both PGG3). It is important to note that there are inconsistencies between the two reports. For example, Baker et al. suggest that strains CDC1551 and H37Rv are closely related and belong to synonymous sequence type 2 (lineage II), which is contrary to a number of previous reports (3, 98, 123). Figure 1 demonstrates that these two strains do not have any common IS\(6110\) insertions. In another study, Alland et al. performed an analysis using 77 SNP that are polymorphic for H37Rv and CDC1551 and showed that clinical \textit{M. tuberculosis} and \textit{M. bovis} isolates could be differentiated into 2 primary branches and 14 secondary branches, with reference strains H37Rv and CDC1551 at the distal ends (3). Recently, Filliol et al. reported on the global phylogeny of \textit{M. tuberculosis} strains by using 212 SNP markers that showed six deeply branching SNP cluster groups. Overall, the results of this study are consistent with those reported by Gutacker et al. (94, 122). While there are subtle differences between these studies, they nevertheless strongly confirm that \textit{M. tuberculosis} is highly clonal where distinct lineages can be readily identified and that horizontal gene transfer is nearly absent.

In general, the shape and complexity of phylogenetic trees based on sSNP analysis are heavily dependent on the selected reference strains, the number of polymorphisms analyzed, and the extent of sampling. Indeed, the use of selected and informative sSNP for genotyping affords rapid delineation of relationships among closely related strains of \textit{M. tuberculosis} and provides a sound framework for examining the differential distribution of biomedically relevant traits, such as virulence and transmissibility (123, 187). Furthermore, phylogenetic studies have provided a basis to examine molecular epidemiologic characteristics and relationships within and between designated lineages.

Grouping of isolates into families based on any commonly used genotyping system is largely reliant on the threshold of similarity imposed by the investigators. Generally, a strain family can be described as a group of isolates that share specific biomarkers or properties indicative of a common recent ancestor. For instance, reports based on spoligotyping have described strains families bearing specific spoligotype patterns (Fig. 2B), such as EAI (deletion of DVRs 29 to 32 and 34), Beijing (deletion of DVRs 1 to 34), CAS (deletion of DVRs 4 to 7 and 23 to 34), Haarlem (deletion of DVRs 8 and 32 to 35), LAM (deletion of DVRs 21 to 24 and 32 to 35), and other smaller groups (92, 93). Here, strains combined into one group or family, based on common spoligotype patterns, often exhibit different yet related IS\(6110\) RFLP profiles (Fig. 2A), with \(<\)60\% similarity, and probably would not have been associated if RFLP analysis had been used alone. For example, the relatedness of strains in the Beijing family was strongly confirmed by genetic markers other than IS\(6110\) and spoligotyping (151), such as sSNP analysis (122, 123). All W-Beijing strains are found in cluster II (PGG1), and their geographical distribution supports previous reports (Fig. 3) (115, 130). In support, deligotyping analysis noted that members of this group carry large chromosomal deletions, including 207 (7,399-bp deletion in the DR region, leading to the absence DVR 1 to 34), 105 (3,467 bp, Rv0071 to Rv0074 deleted), 149 (9,248 bp, Rv1572 to Rv1586 deleted), and 152 (11,985 bp, 12 genes totally deleted), and most have 181 deleted (711 bp, Rv2262 and Rv2263 deleted) (254).

Unlike the W-Beijing family of strains, which have been extensively studied, little is known of the molecular characteristics that define the other \textit{M. tuberculosis} strain families that
are globally prevalent. For instance, the Haarlem family, which includes the model virulent Erdman strain, consists of strains isolated mostly from European and South American countries (92). According to ssSNP analysis, these strains belong to cluster III (PGG2) and have similar RFLP profiles (Fig. 2A) (123). Other strain families, such as LAM and Delhi (CAS), were grouped to ssSNP clusters VI (PGG2) and IIA (PGG1), respectively. LAM family strains exhibit similar IS6110 RFLP patterns, while Delhi strains, often isolated from patients born in the Indian subcontinent (20), represents one of most variable IS6110 groups (122). Another strain family, EAI, represents ancestral strains belonging to cluster I (PGG1), where the TbD1 region is intact (TbD1⁺) (246). Also, the Manila strain family was identified among isolates from the Philippines that belong to cluster I based on PGG1 and IS6110-based RFLP analysis (81). Much like IS6110-based RFLP analysis and spoligotyping, MIRU-VNTR profiles (based on 12 loci) when superimposed on the SNP-derived M. tuberculosis phylogenetic tree, do indeed display some level of cluster-specific patterns. For instance, strains belonging to the ancestral EAI family (TbD1⁺, PGG1, cluster I), in addition to their spoligopattern, bear a distinct high copy number at the MIRU23 (≥6), MIRU4 (≥3), and MIRU24 (>1) loci, supporting the hypothesis that these strains are related by descent (246). Furthermore, characteristic 12-loci MIRU-VNTR profiles for the W-Beijing family and the Haarlem family (PGG2, cluster III) are 2 2 1-3 1-3 1-2 5 1 2 3 7 3 5-6 3 2 4 3 2 6 12 3 2 1-2 5-6 4 1 1 3 2-3 1 3-2 3, respectively, as well as having a high copy number (6 to 13) at MIRU10 for strains belonging to cluster II.A. Reports have also suggested that strain families and SNP clusters show certain levels of geographic specificity that may in part explain the characteristic genetic markers observed within groups (clonal expansion) and to a lesser degree between groups (115, 122, 255). For example, the cluster I and II strains have been noted to be prevalent among patients originating in Asia (including Russia) while minimally present among patients of South American origin (Fig. 3).

The degree of concordance between SNP-derived clusters or lineages and molecular epidemiologically based (i.e., IS6110-based RFLP, spoligotype, and MIRU) family designations are for the most part consistent (122). Furthermore, it appears that profiles generated by IS6110-based RFLP analysis, spoligotyping, and MIRU-VNTR analysis are not shared between PGG1 and PGG2/PGG3 strains, suggesting early divergence from a common ancestor during M. tuberculosis evolution. However, there are instances in which members of certain strain families are found in multiple PGGs or clusters. For example, the majority of clinical isolates with a single copy of IS6110 are found in cluster I (PGG1); however, they are also noted in cluster IIA (PGG1/2) and cluster IV (PGG2). Also, as shown in Fig. 2B, spoligotype-designated family T1 appears in clusters III to VI (PGG2) as well as in clusters VII and VIII (PGG3). Similarly, strains missing DVRs 1 to 36 and 40 have been noted in clusters II and VI (Fig. 2B); however, these clusters have been deemed unrelated, based on multiple genetic markers (i.e., W-Beijing and LAM families), an observation most likely due to independent deletion events in the DR locus. Such inconsistencies have also been noted elsewhere (122). There may be several explanations for these findings, such as locus-specific evolutionary convergence, especially when only one biomarker is used (e.g., spoligotype, specific deletion), or this may indicate strains undergoing locus-specific transition. However, consistency can be improved when multiple biomarkers, e.g., IS6110 RFLP analysis and spoligotyping or MIRU, are employed. While phylogenetic analysis is used to infer evolutionary processes and molecular epidemiologic approaches are used for examining genetic diversity as it pertains to TB epidemiology, both methods complement one another. That is, molecular approaches can add further resolution to phylogenetically assigned lineages or clusters to indicate microevolutionary processes; in turn, phylogenetic analysis can ground molecular epidemiologic-derived genotypes into broad groups that share informative traits and further our understanding of genotype-phenotype relationships.

**STRAIN-SPECIFIC VARIATIONS IN IMMUNITY AND PATHOGENESIS**

Recent reports have indicated that different clinical M. tuberculosis strains can induce differential host immune responses, leading to variable differences in pathogenesis and virulence in animal models (80, 157, 162, 164, 165, 256). For instance, Valway et al. reported an outbreak of strain CDC1551 with an unusually high rate of transmission. Based on the large skin test response to PPD in infected individuals, this strain was considered to be extremely virulent (256). However, mice infected with CDC1551 showed an early and vigorous cytokine response compared to infections with other strains, which was associated with longer survival (164). In contrast, mice infected with clinical isolate HN878 showed reduced cytokine induction, higher bacillary load in the lungs, and significantly shorter survival times. Further studies indicated that HN878 preferentially induced the less-protective TH2-associated cytokines (163–165). Genotypic analyses, including IS6110 and spoligotyping, have indicated that HN878 is a member of the globally prevalent W-Beijing phylogenetic lineage (PGG1, cluster II) (24, 163). An earlier study by Zhang and colleagues showed that a W-Beijing strain (strain 210) was able to replicate in human macrophages at a four- to eightfold-higher rate than other unrelated strains (294). Strains 210 and HN878, which are indistinguishable by IS6110-based RFLP analysis, were reported to cause significant disease and were responsible for a series of multistate outbreaks (287).

Recently Reed et al. described a polyketide synthase (pks1-15)-dependent phenolic glycolipid (PGL-tb), which is produced by a subset of clinical M. tuberculosis strains that show “hyperlethality” in the murine infection model (HN878 and not H37Rv or CDC1551) (207). Disruption of pks1-15 in HN878 (HN878pks1-15::tnpg) resulted in a PGL-tb-deficient strain showing no hypervirulence. Tsenova et al. reported that HN878 and W4 resulted in higher bacillary loads in the cerebrospinal fluid and brain and more-severe pathology, in comparison with the hyperimmunogenic strain CDC1551 in a rabbit model of TB meningitis (253). Initial studies indicate that the presence of an intact pks1-15 gene, and thus the ability to produce PGL-tb, seems to be restricted to members of the W-Beijing strain family (123, 163). It has been hypothesized that poor control of bacillary growth, due to a suboptimal immune response, may amplify the number of stochastic events leading to mutations in target genes, some of which confer antituberculosis resistance.
Some studies suggest that W-Beijing family strains may be associated with drug resistance, although these results are not consistent with all reports (83, 84, 115, 196, 242).

A study by Lopez et al. found that genetically distinct \textit{M. tuberculosis} strains (representing the four major genotype families found globally) resulted in an array of immunopathologies in an murine intratracheal infection model (157). The W-Beijing strain tested, similar to HN878, elicited an inefficient immune response and was the most virulent. Macrophage infections with various \textit{M. tuberculosis} strain types induced a differential pattern of cytokines in vitro (51). Dormans et al. found in a murine model that 19 different \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex strains from 11 major genotype families produced responses that varied widely with respect to virulence, pathology, bacterial load, and delayed-type hypersensitivity (80). Thus, considerable evidence indicates, both in vitro and in vivo, that diverse clinical strains of \textit{M. tuberculosis} can elicit various immune responses and subsequent pathological manifestations. If such differences are reflected in clinical or epidemiologic features (e.g., disease progression, severity, and transmissibility, etc.) then the relevance to molecular epidemiologic studies is apparent. For example, van Crevel et al. reported that TB patients harboring W-Beijing strains, compared to other genotypes, had transient febrile responses shortly after commencing treatment (257). As the disease severity, drug toxicity, and drug resistance were similar between the two groups (W-Beijing versus others), the authors suggested that these differences may be due to the strains’ (W-Beijing) ability to induce a different host response, consistent with the studies described above (51, 157, 163, 165, 207). Further studies with human populations are needed.

\section*{VACCINES}

Globally, BCG remains one of the most widely used vaccines against TB. However, reports on its efficacy to prevent pulmonary TB have been variable and in some instances conflicting (59). New and improved vaccines against TB are critically needed. Over the past decade, with new knowledge about \textit{M. tuberculosis}- and BCG-specific immune responses and comparative analysis of mycobacterial genomes, novel vaccine design strategies and candidates have been described (120, 143, 194). Strain-specific epidemiologic differences in prevalence, transmissibility, and disease severity (24, 83, 207, 209, 256) and the idea that some \textit{M. tuberculosis} strains elicit distinct host immune responses have complicated rational vaccine design (157). Dormans et al. found in a murine model that 19 different \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex strains from 11 major genotype families produced responses that varied widely with respect to virulence, pathology, bacterial load, and delayed-type hypersensitivity (80). Thus, considerable evidence indicates, both in vitro and in vivo, that diverse clinical strains of \textit{M. tuberculosis} can elicit various immune responses and subsequent pathological manifestations. If such differences are reflected in clinical or epidemiologic features (e.g., disease progression, severity, and transmissibility, etc.) then the relevance to molecular epidemiologic studies is apparent. For example, van Crevel et al. reported that TB patients harboring W-Beijing strains, compared to other genotypes, had transient febrile responses shortly after commencing treatment (257). As the disease severity, drug toxicity, and drug resistance were similar between the two groups (W-Beijing versus others), the authors suggested that these differences may be due to the strains’ (W-Beijing) ability to induce a different host response, consistent with the studies described above (51, 157, 163, 165, 207). Further studies with human populations are needed.

\section*{STRAIN FITNESS}

For pathogenic microorganisms, fitness generally refers to heritable variation among members of a given species or phylogenetic lineage (5, 6). Here, fitness may be variably described as the microorganism’s capability to survive, replicate, and disseminate. These may include the organism’s specific growth properties within its natural host (e.g., bacillary growth within human macrophages), resilience to environmental stress (e.g., nutrient starvation, hypoxia), and transmissibility (249, 251, 256, 294). Some of these characteristics can be assayed in laboratory settings with in vitro and in vivo models, though their direct contributions to molecular epidemiologic processes have yet to be realized. Fitness as it pertains to transmissibility has more direct implications for molecular epidemiology. That is, if strains of \textit{M. tuberculosis} that bear specific properties enhance their intrinsic transmissibility, the identification of such strains in populations may warrant additional control measures. Some studies have investigated fitness in terms of estimating the average number of secondary infections or secondary cases as the transmissibility of a particular strain (or genotype) in a susceptible population (44, 185). In estimating epidemic potentials, parameter estimates are heavily dependent on empirical epidemiologic data from specific human populations, such as molecular and/or epidemiologic cluster investigations and model-based estimates of average fitness (33, 34, 57). To date, most molecular epidemiologic studies have examined fitness in relation to drug resistance (33, 57, 58).

Despite the impact of drug-resistant \textit{M. tuberculosis} on transmission dynamics, the consequences for fitness remain poorly understood. Following the outbreaks of MDR-TB in the early 1990s in the United States, it was widely feared that MDR isolates would continually spread and become endemic. Fifteen years later, while outbreaks associated with a given MDR genotype tended to “wax and wane,” in general they have remained restricted to specific populations (87). This observation may be due to appropriate public health activities or to the cyclical nature of TB outbreaks; however, it is also possible that the acquisition of drug resistance in \textit{M. tuberculosis} has a biological cost. As noted for other pathogens, the loss of fitness resulting from acquired resistance can translate into reduced growth rate or transmissibility or loss of virulence and hence the organism can be outcompeted by drug-susceptible counterparts in the absence of selection (6). Alternatively, studies with \textit{M. smegmatis} have indicated that resistant isolates may acquire compensatory mutations that restore the organism’s reproductive potential or fitness (140). Several studies have noted that the relative in vitro fitness of RIF-resistant strains decreased in some spontaneous \textit{rpoB} mutants, while other frequently observed \textit{rpoB} mutations found in clinical isolates were similar to those of wild-type (i.e., RIF-susceptible) parental strains (28, 106). Other studies, using RIF resistance as
a model, have noted a decrease in bacillary growth rates in macrophages (166). A study in the mid-1950s similarly noted a loss of fitness associated with INH resistance (176). However, Pym et al. noted that the impact of\textit{katG} mutations on fitness may be codon specific (204), as a common mutation such as S315T in the \textit{katG} locus does not appear to alter the organism’s virulence or transmissibility (57, 266). Nonetheless, a population-based study conducted in San Francisco, Calif., has shown that INH-resistant isolates are less likely to result in secondary cases (44). A recent study by Gagneux et al. showed that the fitness cost of specific mutations associated with INH resistance may in part be influenced by strain genetic diversity (105). The heterogeneity of mutations, coupled with multiple single-locus mutations conferring different antibiotic resistance profiles in different genetic backgrounds (i.e., genotypes), has made evaluating fitness gain or loss complex and difficult to interpret (58), although some mathematical models suggest that even small populations of fit MDR strains have the potential to outcompete drug-susceptible or less-fit MDR strains (57).

If the biological traits that confer a fitness advantage are nonrandomly distributed along clonal lines, there may be certain \textit{M. tuberculosis} clonal families that are more fit than others. Some strain families, such as the W-Beijing family, that have been noted to be globally prevalent or have been associated with outbreaks, extensive transmission, and/or dominant phenotypes may indeed bear some fitness advantage (11, 24, 115). Although the success of the W-Beijing family has been extensively documented, there are other genotypes, such as Haarlem, EAI, and LAM, which also display success in terms of prevalence in worldwide or specific populations. However, the reasons for specific strain family predominance or association with certain epidemiologic characteristics, such as outbreaks or severity of disease, have not been well elucidated. As mentioned above, factors that may enhance fitness or “success” in a given population may include a strain’s ability to better withstand and replicate within the hostile environment of the host, modulate the host response, and up- or down-regulate expression of specific genes so as to alter the antigenic profile and virulence or even to resist the effects of antimycobacterial agents. However, due to the myriad confounding and effect-modifying factors, such as HIV prevalence, current TB control activities, and genetic susceptibility of the host, the evaluation of fitness based on either epidemiologic parameters or laboratory assays alone is difficult. Clearly, more work is needed to better define and subsequently measure the relative fitness of the pathogen as it relates to infection, transmission, and disease potential within human populations.

**CONCLUSION**

\textit{M. tuberculosis} is an obligate pathogen that does not naturally replicate outside of its host environment. As such, \textit{M. tuberculosis} complex members are believed to have coevolved with hominids for millions of years. Consequently, it is very possible that, unlike other opportunistic pathogens, viable tubercle bacilli encode the minimum ensemble of virulence genes required for successful infection, replication, and dissemination. Thus, the relative success of one clonal \textit{M. tuberculosis} family over another might rely on the interplay between levels of gene expression and environmental factors (e.g., host). The differential gene expression may also be influenced by variations resulting from chromosomal rearrangements, such as recombinations/deletions, transposition of IS elements, or SNP, aspects which may be studied through the multidisciplinary nature of molecular epidemiological studies. Such genomic events may lead to adaptive advantages and hence determine a successful clonal lineage, as indicated by some studies.

Much of our current knowledge of TB epidemiology has stemmed from numerous observational and anecdotal studies done in the early part of the 1900s; molecular epidemiology as a field has had a similar initiation. Nevertheless, molecular epidemiologic studies over the past 10 years have added significantly to our understanding of TB epidemiology and biology. The use of molecular methods, coupled with classical epidemiologic approaches, has afforded greater resolution and accuracy in describing both the local and global epidemiology of TB, including the detection of unsuspected transmission events and direct evidence for exogenous reinfection in recurrent disease. Molecular epidemiologic methods have added further to population genetic approaches which have suggested biomedically and epidemiologically relevant characteristics specific to phylogenetic lineages or strain families. These include strains that exhibit specific virulence properties, epidemic potential, and various replication rates. Furthermore, immunologic studies have shed insight on the differential pathogenesis elicited by distinct clinical strains. There is a great need for the development of more methodological approaches (e.g., novel study design, mathematical models) to analyze molecular epidemiologic data that account for confounding, effect modification, and statistical power. Still, the continual integration of information generated by the current advances in genomic, transcriptomic, and proteomic analyses in designing molecular epidemiologic studies, as well as an understanding of host-pathogen interactions and identification of host susceptibility genes, will invariably enhance our understanding of \textit{M. tuberculosis} biology and epidemiology and perhaps even aid in demystifying this elusive pathogen.
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