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INTRODUCTION

Although drugs capable of inhibiting virus replication were described in the scientific literature as early as the 1950s (9, 59), only recently has the development of new antiviral agents with activity against virus-specific functions made rapid progress. To date, 20 different antiviral chemotherapeutic agents have been approved for use in the treatment of individuals infected with a variety of different viruses. Although the majority of these agents are used primarily for the treatment of herpesvirus and human immunodeficiency virus (HIV) infections, respiratory syncytial virus and influenza A virus infections can also be treated (148a). Since the discovery that viruses contain nucleic acid genomes, which undergo replication as part of the virus life cycle, the early antiviral drug design efforts paralleled those in the research and development of antiproliferative agents for the treatment of cancer. Accordingly, the majority of the approved antiviral agents are nucleoside analogs which act by inhibiting viral DNA synthesis (herpesvirus) or viral reverse transcription.

Despite these advances, the use of most of these antiviral chemotherapeutic agents was characterized by limited clinical efficacy, adverse side effects, and suboptimal pharmacokinetics. Of equal concern was the emergence of drug-resistant viral strains in individuals who required chronic therapy for effective clinical management of their infection, since the development of drug-resistant variants can severely affect and limit subsequent treatment options. Due to these concerns, it was clear that the development of new antiviral agents with activity against new virus-specific targets was warranted. Recent technological advances have facilitated greater understanding of the molecular biology and biochemistry of the viral enzymes which are involved in the viral life cycle. In particular, viral enzymes that are essential for the production of infectious virus represent potential therapeutic targets. Research and development of inhibitors directed to these antiviral targets has been aided by other advances such as high-throughput screening of compound libraries and rationally based drug approaches based on X-ray crystallography.

During the last decade, preclinical research efforts have centered on virus-encoded proteases as potential targets for antiviral intervention (40, 78, 86). These studies have indicated that viral proteases are an absolute requirement in the life cycle of many viruses, either by effecting the cleavage of high-molecular-weight precursor viral proteins to yield functional products or by catalyzing the processing of the structural proteins necessary for assembly and morphogenesis of viral particles. Furthermore, the clinical efficacy of antiviral agents designed to target proteases has been demonstrated in HIV-infected individuals whose therapeutic regimens contain one of four recently approved HIV specific protease inhibitors.

This review will summarize some of the important general features of virus-encoded proteases, specifically highlighting new advances and the specific challenges associated with the design, discovery, and subsequent development of viral protease inhibitors. Although viral proteases play critical roles in the life cycle of many different virus families, this review focuses on the proteases encoded by the herpesvirus, retrovirus, hepatitis C virus (HCV), and human rhinovirus (HRV) families. Detailed information describing the structure and function of viral proteases has been extensively reviewed by other authors (40, 78, 86) and is not covered here.
HUMAN IMMUNODEFICIENCY VIRUS PROTEASE

Since the identification of HIV as the causative agent of AIDS, significant efforts were directed toward the research and development of a variety of antiviral chemotherapeutic agents. The first agents that were entered into clinical trials and received approval were nucleoside analogs which target reverse transcriptase (RT), an enzyme that functions early in the HIV life cycle. In general, reverse transcriptase inhibitors (RTIs) appear to have only moderate clinical efficacy when administered in a monotherapy regimen (57, 74, 145). Their use is further limited by adverse side effects. A second class of RTIs is the nonnucleoside RTIs. Although members of this group of structurally unrelated compounds were shown to have potent activity in vivo, the rapid emergence of highly resistant drug variants limited their clinical efficacy (131, 135). An alternative viral target for intervention is the HIV protease. The use of HIV protease as a potential target was validated in experiments which showed that mutations in the protease resulted in the production of defective, noninfectious virus (77, 84, 124). The demonstration of inhibitors with potent antiviral activity in cell culture-based assays (13, 115, 117, 122, 154) provided further evidence for HIV protease as a promising target for antiviral drug therapy. Data from subsequent clinical trials have demonstrated the safety and efficacy of HIV protease inhibitors and have, to date, led to the approval of four protease inhibitors: saquinavir (Invirase, Ro 31-8959), indinavir (Crixivan, MK-639), ritonavir (Norvir, ABT-538), and, most recently, nelfinavir (VIRACEPT, AG1343) (Fig. 1). Several other compounds, e.g., amprenavir (141W94 VX-478), DP3450, PNU-140690, ABT-378, and PD178390, are currently undergoing preclinical or clinical evaluation (15, 34–36, 68, 88, 112, 127).

Structure and Function

As with all retroviruses, the HIV genome is composed of three major genes, referred to as gag, pol, and env (Fig. 2). Transcription and translation of the gag and pol regions of the virus genome result in the production of two large precursor polyproteins, p55 (gag) and a ribosomal frameshift product p160 (gag-pol) (5, 124, 157). Following a poorly understood autocatalytic event, the protease is released and subsequently cleaves p55 to yield gag structural proteins p17 (matrix), p24 (capsid), p9 (nucleocapsid), and p7 (a protein of unknown function). The pol gene product is likewise cleaved to yield three enzyme products, protease, reverse transcriptase/RNase H, and integrase. In all, HIV protease-mediated processing of the p55 and p160 polyproteins occurs at nine different cleavage sites. The unique nature of some of the scissile bonds, e.g., Phe-Pro, Phe-Leu, and Phe-Thr, can be used in the design of inhibitors that demonstrate a high degree of selectivity toward HIV protease.

The HIV-1 protease is a member of the aspartic acid protease family and thus is structurally related to host aspartic acid proteases, which include renin, cathepsin D, gastrin, and pepsin (5, 124, 157). Structurally, HIV-1 protease is a homodimer, composed of two noncovalently associated, structurally identical polyprotein chains, each consisting of 99 amino acids. The active site of the enzyme is formed at the dimer interface and contains two catalytic aspartic acid residues, each of which is contributed by the subunits to form two Asp-Thr-Gly motifs (residues 25 to 27). The enzyme active site contains well-defined subsites in which inhibitor or substrate side chains participate in tight binding interactions. Two identical flexible flap regions project over the active site and also participate in the binding of inhibitors and substrates.

Protease Inhibitors In Vivo

Many HIV-1 protease inhibitors described to date have resulted from the screening of compound libraries or from rational drug design efforts based on solved three-dimensional (3-D) X-ray crystal structures of HIV-1 protease (5, 13, 89, 102, 163, 164). The earliest HIV protease inhibitors originated from inhibitors of renin. These were peptidic in nature, where the scissile P1-P1’ amide bond was replaced with nonhydrolyzable transition state isosteres. The utility of these compounds was limited, however, by poor oral bioavailability and metabolic instability. Improvements in the design of inhibitors led to molecules with lower molecular weight that were peptidic. To date, many HIV protease inhibitors have been synthesized; the design and structure of these compounds have been reviewed (5, 102, 163, 164).

As a representative HIV protease inhibitor, nelfinavir was discovered by using a rational drug design approach aided by 3-D X-ray crystal structure determination (76). In cell-based assays, nelfinavir demonstrated potent activity against the replication of several laboratory and clinical HIV-1 or HIV-2 isolates including pyridinone- and zidovudine (ZDV)-resistant strains (122). In drug combination experiments, nelfinavir produced additive to synergistic interactions when combined with other antiretroviral agents (118). As with other protease inhibitors, nelfinavir also inhibited virus replication in HIV-1 chronically infected cells. In contrast, RTIs which target an early preintegration stage in the viral life cycle, are not effective against chronically infected cells (117). The latter observation suggests that added benefit may be obtained when compounds which inhibit different viral targets are used in combination in drug therapeutic regimens.

Compounds that inhibit HIV-1 proteolytic processing result in the formation of defective particles which contain precursor gag (p55) and little or no processed p24. Interestingly, purification of defective HIV-1 particles from cells that have been incubated with the protease inhibitors indinavir or ritonavir and then incubated in drug-free medium has been shown to result in the resumption of proteolytic processing and restoration of viral infectivity (113, 154). However, in similar experiments with nelfinavir or saquinavir, proteolytic processing and virus infectivity were only partially restored 36 h after drug removal (113, 123). This suggests that HIV protease-mediated proteolytic processing may be slow to recover from inhibition by specific protease inhibitors, which is potentially a beneficial feature if drug levels in plasma temporarily fall below the therapeutic range.

In general, protease inhibitors are highly protein bound. A consequence of protein binding is to reduce the amount of inhibitor that is available to interact with the viral target. Protein binding can be studied in vitro experiments by including serum proteins (albumin or plasma α1-acid glycoprotein) in antiviral activity assays and measuring subsequent reductions in antiviral activity. Although no precise correlation can be made between the degree of protein binding and subsequent clinical efficacy, the observed reduction in the in vitro antiviral activity of the protease inhibitor SC-52151 in the presence of α1-acid glycoprotein has been postulated to explain the lack of clinical efficacy demonstrated in vivo (92).
of treatment (18, 29, 35, 100, 104, 110, 111). However, since the ability of these agents to adequately suppress virus replication is often limited, thereby facilitating the selection of drug-resistant HIV variants, treatment regimens have been broadened to include combination therapy. Protease inhibitors in combination with RTIs were shown to result in more significant antiviral responses, which were sustained for a longer duration (19, 27, 28, 35, 58, 104, 110, 111, 134, 155, 156). An example of the potency of a combination therapeutic approach was seen in clinical studies assessing the combination of nelfinavir with lamuvidine (3TC) and ZDV. In patients receiving nelfinavir-3TC-ZDV therapy, a reduction in HIV-1 RNA levels in plasma to <400 and <50 copies/ml was observed in 75 and 61% of patients, respectively, out to 21 months (27, 134).

The results of these clinical trials have led to the development of a list of guidelines that serve to recommend both the appropriate time at which to initiate antiviral therapy and the preferred antiviral drug combinations that are used for highly active antiretroviral therapy (18). Although the current recommended three-drug regimen includes the initial use of a protease inhibitor (e.g., indinavir, nelfinavir, and ritonavir) in combination with RTIs, the long-term benefit and efficacy of additional antiviral drug combinations are still undergoing evaluation. Although the results of clinical trials that evaluated
the efficacy of indinavir, saquinavir, nelfinavir, and ritonavir are extensively detailed in other reviews (18, 19, 35, 104, 110, 111, 156), the key findings are briefly described here.

Saquinavir was the first protease inhibitor to receive approval for use in combination with nucleoside analogs. In a clinical efficacy trial, the two-drug regimen of saquinavir with zalcitabine (ddC) resulted in a reduced risk of disease progression in patients compared with patients on saquinavir or ddC monotherapy regimens (19, 33, 104, 110, 111, 156). The original hard-tablet formulation of saquinavir exhibits only modest antiviral activity due primarily to its low oral bioavailability (4%) and extensive first-pass hepatic metabolism by the cytochrome P-450 3A4 (CYP 3A4) system. An enhanced oral formulation of saquinavir was shown to have increased bioavailability (12%) and is currently undergoing clinical evaluation. Saquinavir is generally well tolerated, with the majority of patients reporting mild gastrointestinal disturbances and nausea as the most common adverse effects.

Clinical evaluation of ritonavir demonstrates that high drug levels in plasma are achieved, resulting in significant reductions in HIV-1 RNA levels in plasma and increases in CD4 cell counts (19, 35, 100, 110, 111, 156). In a clinical efficacy trial in patients with advanced HIV disease, ritonavir significantly reduced the risk of disease progression or death when combined with RTI-containing antiretroviral regimens compared to the use of RTI-containing regimens alone. Ritonavir is a potent inhibitor of CYP 3A4. The concurrent use of ritonavir with agents which are metabolized by CYP 3A4, e.g., various analgesics, antiarrhythmic agents, antibiotics, anticoagulants, anticonvulsants, anesthetics, and antifungal agents, may result in increased levels of these drugs in plasma. Due to the potential for adverse effects, the use of ritonavir with several of these medications is contraindicated. More recently, it was shown that ritonavir significantly enhanced the level of saquinavir in plasma (80, 107). A ritonavir-saquinavir combination regimen is currently undergoing clinical evaluation (17). The most common side effects associated with ritonavir therapy include nausea, vomiting, circumoral paresthesia, and an elevation of triglyceride and cholesterol levels.

Indinavir therapy was also shown to significantly lower HIV-1 RNA levels in plasma and to increase CD4 cell counts (19, 35, 58, 104, 110, 111, 156). Indinavir is rapidly absorbed after oral administration and must be taken in the fasted state since its bioavailability is reduced by food intake. The major side effects associated with indinavir therapy include increased hyperbilirubinemia and nephrolithiasis.

The fourth protease inhibitor approved for treatment of HIV-1 infection, nelfinavir has demonstrated safety and efficacy as monotherapy as well as in combination with stavudine (d4T) alone or ZDV plus 3TC (19, 27, 29, 35, 51, 104, 110, 111, 134, 142, 156). More recently, twice-daily dosing of nelfinavir with two RTIs has been shown to significantly reduce HIV-1 RNA levels (142). The major side effect associated with nelfinavir therapy is mild to moderate diarrhea. In addition, an increased incidence in hyperglycemia, diabetes, or fat redistribution has been reported for a small percentage of patients receiving therapy that includes either saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, or nelfinavir (39, 81, 96, 132). The mechanism behind the association of these side effects and the use of protease inhibitor therapy is at present unknown and warrants further investigation.

Drug resistance. Overall, clinical trial results have confirmed the utility of HIV protease inhibitors as integral components of potent antiviral therapies. It is now understood that the goal of antiretroviral therapy should be to maximally suppress viral replication. Loss of suppression of viral replication will most probably lead to the emergence of drug-resistant viral variants which have the potential to exhibit reduced susceptibility to all classes of drugs including the currently available protease inhibitors (31, 34, 71, 72, 109, 138, 141, 155). In many cases, these protease-resistant viral variants were shown to exhibit cross-resistance to other protease inhibitors (30, 31, 34). Thus, an understanding of HIV genotypic and phenotypic changes which occur during the course of antiviral therapy is essential when considering treatment with sequential protease inhibitor-containing regimens.

Viral resistance to protease inhibitors has been examined in vitro serial-passage studies of HIV-1 in the presence of increasing concentrations of a given protease inhibitor (67, 73, 99, 117, 122, 123, 144, 149). The reconstruction of observed changes within the protease gene in HIV proviral clones and subsequent in vitro susceptibility testing were used to identify amino acid substitutions associated with drug resistance. These studies showed that the majority of phenotypic resistance can be attributed to a few specific amino acid substitutions which
occur within conserved regions of protease. The vast majority of these substitutions occur at residues that interact directly (e.g., residues 30, 48, 50, 82, and 84) with substrate or inhibitor binding, whereas a few occur at residues that interact indirectly (e.g., residue 90) (Fig. 3). In addition, the concurrent or sequential emergence of amino acid substitutions which do not themselves confer resistance (e.g., residues 10, 20, 35, 36, 46, and 71) frequently arises during in vitro selection. These changes may play a compensatory role by indirectly affecting viral viability.

The genotypic changes observed in the in vitro serial-passage studies have been somewhat predictive of the amino acid changes which confer resistance to protease inhibitors in vivo (72, 99, 109, 138, 141). Genotypic analysis of HIV isolates obtained from patients receiving indinavir treatment revealed that the protease gene may contain up to 11 different amino acid substitutions and that the majority of isolates contain substitutions at residues 82 and 46 (30, 31). Similarly, HIV isolates obtained from patients receiving ritonavir treatment contain frequent amino acid substitutions at residues 10, 54, 71, and 82 (109, 141). In patients treated with saquinavir, G48V and L90M are the predominant substitutions observed; substitutions at residues 82 and 84 were also detected in vivo but at reduced incidence (33, 71, 72, 90, 138). The genotypic changes observed in HIV isolates obtained from patients receiving nelfinavir therapy have identified a novel aspartic-acid-to-asparagine substitution at residue 30 (D30N) (98, 118, 122). In isolates from these patients, amino acid substitutions at residues 48, 82, and 84 have not been observed, although L90M has appeared in a minority of the isolates.

Since the trend in antiviral therapy is quickly extending to encompass the use of sequential and combination protease inhibitor therapeutic regimens, an understanding of the sensitivity of in vivo protease inhibitor-resistant variants to other protease inhibitors is essential. In vitro susceptibility testing on HIV-1 isolates obtained from patients treated with protease inhibitors demonstrated broad phenotypic cross-resistance among isolates (30, 31, 34, 62, 109, 141). An examination of 19 indinavir-resistant clinical isolates demonstrated that all the isolates were cross-resistant to ritonavir and between 60 and 80% were cross-resistant to both saquinavir and amprenavir (30). Similarly, in two separate studies on ritonavir-resistant clinical isolates, most of the isolates exhibited cross-resistance to indinavir and reduced susceptibility to saquinavir (109, 141).

In contrast, only minimal or no cross-resistance was observed for isolates obtained from patients treated with saquinavir-containing drug regimens, respectively (34, 63, 120, 121). This latter observation may allow patients who experience clinical failure with some protease inhibitor-containing regimens to derive benefit from therapeutic regimens containing other protease inhibitors. Promising data in support of such salvage regimens were seen in a preliminary study with patients who failed on nelfinavir-containing regimens and whose HIV-1 isolates contained predominantly a substitution at residue 30. These patients demonstrated a significant reduction in HIV-1 RNA levels when switched to a ritonavir- and saquinavir-containing regimen (61, 148). While these data are encouraging, the predictive powers of the viral genotype and/or phenotype on clinical response await further substantiation from larger clinical trials.

An explanation of the ability of specific amino acid substitutions to confer resistance has been recently aided by the analysis and molecular modeling of protease and inhibitor crystal structures (5, 24, 44, 76). In the case of nelfinavir resistance, crystallographic analyses reveal that the D30N substitution most probably confers resistance by weakening the hydrogen bond between protease and one of the side groups of nelfinavir (5, 76). The destabilization resulting from the D30N substitution appears to disrupt an interaction that is important only for nelfinavir binding to the protease. This specificity of nelfinavir may explain the lack of cross-resistance of D30N-containing viruses to other clinically approved protease inhibitors. In a similar manner, both indinavir and ritonavir have been optimized to form strong hydrophobic interactions with protease at residue 82 and are adversely affected by substitutions at this residue. Accumulation of two or more amino acid substitutions at residues located at or near the active site (e.g., 82 and 90, 84 and 90, 48 and 90, and 82 and 84 and 90) was also reported in isolates obtained from patients treated with these inhibitors (30, 31, 116, 120). In these cases, binding to many inhibitors is significantly perturbed, resulting in broad cross-resistance among the class of protease inhibitors tested. Isolates containing these multiple changes were demonstrated to be broadly cross-resistant to all protease inhibitors.

**Future Considerations**

HIV protease inhibitors have emerged as potent antiretroviral chemotherapeutic agents that, in combination with RTIs, have resulted in prolonged suppression of viral replication. Although long-term efficacy data (>2 years) are not yet available, it is generally acknowledged that such factors as the high

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Inhibitor</th>
<th>Substitutions</th>
<th>Resistance</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>saquinavir</td>
<td>48* 63 71 82 84 90*</td>
<td>Broad cross-resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ritonavir</td>
<td>10 20 36 46 54 63 71 82 84 90*</td>
<td>Broad cross-resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>indinavir</td>
<td>10 20 24 36 46 54 63 64 71 82 84 90*</td>
<td>Broad cross-resistance</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>nelfinavir</td>
<td>30* 35 36 46 71 77 88 90</td>
<td>Reduced susceptibility</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amprenavir</td>
<td>10 46 47 50* 82 84 90</td>
<td>Reduced susceptibility</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

FIG. 3. Amino acid substitutions within protease identified in HIV-1 isolates from patients treated with saquinavir, ritonavir, indinavir, nelfinavir, and amprenavir. Amino acid residues that interact with substrate or inhibitors during binding are identified in bold; substitutions at those residues that appear at a high incidence are indicated by asterisks.
replication rate of HIV and the long half-lives of latently infected cell populations will continue to pose a challenge for the eventual successful eradication of HIV (47, 66, 125, 160, 165). An additional challenge is the emergence of drug-resistant variants in patients receiving prolonged antiviral therapy, since in many instances, resistance to one protease inhibitor confers cross-resistance to other protease inhibitors. An understanding of the viral resistance profiles which arise in patients receiving protease inhibitor-containing regimens will aid in the design of the most appropriate therapeutic strategies for patients infected with HIV.

PICORNAVIRUS PROTEASE

Picornaviruses comprise one of the largest families of medically important human pathogens. Enteroviruses, a group within the picornaviruses, are associated with a variety of different clinical syndromes including upper respiratory tract illness; aseptic meningitis; encephalitis; hand, foot, and mouth disease; and myocarditis (32, 106, 133). Another medically important group of picornaviruses is the HRV group; which is composed of more than 100 different serotypes. Together, HRV infections represent the single major cause of the common cold and as such are associated with a significant acute morbidity. Although HRV-induced upper respiratory tract illness is often mild and self-limiting, HRV infection may lead to serious medical complications. Rhinovirus colds can ultimately result in sinusitis, otitis media, and lower respiratory tract illnesses including exacerbations of asthma, cystic fibrosis, and bronchitis (in individuals with underlying respiratory disorders) (6). Although no effective antiviral therapies are currently available for either the prevention or the treatment of diseases caused by HRV infection, considerable progress has recently been made in the discovery and development of new antirhinovirus drugs. To date, numerous compounds with potent in vitro activity against HRV have been described (20, 37, 105). The majority of these compounds bind to the virus capsid and inhibit either viral attachment or subsequent uncoating.

Although many of these compounds have been evaluated in clinical trials, in only a few instances have reductions in disease severity, illness frequency, and/or viremia been achieved (6, 37). Efforts to develop antirhinovirus drugs have been unsuccessful due to lack of efficacy, problems in achieving adequate drug levels in nasal epithelium, and/or problems with toxicity. However, recent clinical trials evaluating the intranasal administration of a soluble form of the HRV receptor intercellular cell adhesion molecule (ICAM-1) have demonstrated reductions in disease severity and in levels of infectious virus (152). However the costs associated with the development of a recombinant protein may preclude the development of this chemotherapeutic agent. Another potential antirhinovirus drug is Pleconaril (VP-63843), an inhibitor of the viral uncoating process, which has demonstrated efficacy in reducing the symptoms associated with upper respiratory tract disease caused by coxsackievirus A21 (49, 140). Recently, clinical trials designed to evaluate the efficacy of Pleconaril against human HRV have been initiated. In addition to agents that inhibit an early stage in the viral life cycle, recent attention has focused on the virus-encoded 2A and 3C proteases, which are required for HRV replication (79, 91). Antiviral agents designed to inhibit 3C protease have shown considerable potential and therefore are the focus of this review.

Structure and Function

The picornaviruses genome is a positive-sense single strand of RNA of about 7,500 nucleotides, which encodes a single large polyprotein precursor (32, 40, 78, 86, 106, 133). The polyprotein precursor first undergoes an autocatalytic cleavage by the 2A protease to release P1, the precursor to the viral capsid proteins (Fig. 4). The 2A protease also mediates an alternative cleavage to generate the 3C' and 3D' products. All
other cleavages of the polyprotein, with the exception of the late autocatalytic cleavage of VP0 during final viral assembly, are catalyzed by the virus-encoded 3C protease. These reactions occur most often between Gln-Gly pairs and are mediated by either 3C protease itself or 3C fused to the viral RNA-dependent RNA polymerase 3D (3CD). Early studies comparing sequence homologies and predicted secondary structures suggested that 3C was a member of the trypsin family of serine proteases (40, 53, 86). However, studies with cysteine protease inhibitors, together with results of oligonucleotide-mediated site-directed mutagenesis experiments, demonstrated an absolute requirement for the highly conserved cysteine residue for viral replication (40, 78, 79, 91). Resolution of the 3-D structure by X-ray crystallography subsequently revealed that 3C protease has the polypeptide backbone of a serine protease but that the active-site serine nucleophile is instead a cysteine sulfhydryl (3, 103).

Protease Inhibitors In Vitro

Several different anti-picornavirus compounds which target 3C protease have been designed based on the known sequence of the preferred peptide substrate, Leu-Phe-Gln (41, 42, 60, 75, 159). These compounds were further modified, using the solved 3-D structure of 3C protease (103), to produce molecules that have potent enzymatic and antiviral activity. In general, these compounds are composed of various glutamine isosteres in the P1 subsite and contain a chemical moiety capable of reacting with the active-site cysteine residue. Recently, a novel series of 2,3-dioxindoles (isatins), which contain a reactive α-keto-amide group, were found to exhibit potent activity against the enzyme, but no antiviral activity was detected in cell-based assays (158). A second class of compounds, which demonstrated potent enzyme inhibitory activity but only modest antiviral activity in cell-based assays, included the reversible tetra- or tripeptidyl aldehydes (60, 75, 159). More recently, a third class of irreversible tripeptidic inhibitors, which contain various Michael acceptor moieties, was described (41, 42). Representative compounds from this class not only have potent activity against the enzyme but also have demonstrated potent antiviral activity.

Although the metabolic instability associated with peptidic aldehydes may preclude their development as antiviral drugs, the results of studies with such a compound, AG6084 (Fig. 5), have identified some possibly unique attributes of 3C protease inhibitors (48, 119, 159, 168). A significant degree of homology within the 3C coding region, including strict conservation of the active-site residues, has been demonstrated across the 100 or more different HRV serotypes and even among several related picornviruses (53). Accordingly, AG6084 effectively inhibited the replication of both diverse HRV serotypes and the related picornviruses coxsackieviruses A21 and B3, enterovirus 70, and echovirus 11 (48). Furthermore, in a single-cycle, time-of-addition assay, AG6084 could be added late in the HRV life cycle and still reduce the levels of infectious virus. In contrast, compounds targeting viral attachment or uncoating were ineffective when added only 1 h after infection. Although the pathogenesis of the common cold is not completely understood, it is apparent that the cascade of host inflammatory mediators released as a result of the viral infection play an important role. In this regard, it is encouraging that AG6084 decreases interleukin-6 and interleukin-8 production in viral infected BEAS-2B cells, a transformed human bronchial cell line (168). Direct evidence of the inhibition of 3C proteolytic activity in infected cells treated with AG6084 was provided by sodium dodecyl sulfate-polyacrylamide gel electrophoresis analysis of radiolabeled proteins, which showed a dose-dependent accumulation of viral precursor polyproteins and a reduction in the amounts of processed protein products.

Future Considerations

Although the discovery and development of antiviral agents capable of providing clinical benefit for the treatment of picornavirus infections have been the focus of considerable research efforts, no antiviral chemotherapeutic agents for this indication are yet available. Ideally, antiviral agents should be effective against a majority of virus serotypes when administered either prophylactically or therapeutically. The finding of clinical efficacy following the administration of siCAM-1 and the initiation of rhinovirus clinical trials with Pleconaril, a capsid-binding molecule, should encourage new enthusiasm. Recent descriptions of 3C protease inhibitors as having a broad spectrum of antiviral activity against diverse rhinovirus serotypes and antiviral efficacy when added throughout the viral life cycle highlight the advantages of this target and make these compounds promising clinical candidates.

HERPESVIRUS PROTEASE

Human cytomegalovirus (CMV), herpes simplex virus type 1 (HSV-1), and herpes simplex virus type 2 (HSV-2) are important human pathogens which are responsible for a wide range of clinical manifestations in infected individuals. Over the last decade, the incidence and severity of HSV and CMV infections caused by the reactivation of latent virus have increased due to the rise in the number of immunocompromised patients following chemotherapy, transplantation, or infection with HIV. Currently, all the antiviral agents that are approved for the treatment of HSV and CMV infection act by interfering with viral DNA synthesis (21). For the treatment of HSV infection, the most commonly used chemotherapeutic agents are acyclovir, valaciclovir, and famciclovir, whereas for the treatment of CMV infection, ganciclovir, foscarnet, and cidofovir are used. With the exception of acyclovir, these chemotherapeutic agents have only moderate clinical efficacy and are associated with serious side effects, which often necessitate the coadministration of additional drugs to counter toxicity. In addition, as with all antiviral agents, the emergence of drug-resistant virus strains may be a critical problem during the course of prolonged therapy, since drug resistance can often confer cross-resistance to other approved antiviral agents, thus limiting treatment options. Clearly, an antiviral agent which inhibits HSV and/or CMV replication by a different mecha-
The kinetic activity and substrate specificity of assemblin have been extensively studied in vitro (10, 16, 69, 97, 126). The well-defined substrate specificity of assemblin has made possible the design of peptidomimetic inhibitors as evidenced by the in vitro inhibitory activity of a peptidyl inhibitor based on the maturation site cleavage junction (69). The knowledge gained from substrate specificity studies, together with an understanding of the active-site topology of assemblin from crystal structure analyses, should further facilitate the rational design of inhibitors. Discoveries such as the recent cocrystalization of assemblin with a tetrapeptide aldehyde inhibitor (23) will also aid rational-design efforts by providing a better understanding of enzyme-inhibitor binding.

A strategy that has been used in both rational-design and screening efforts is the design or selection of inhibitors that form a covalent enzyme-inhibitor complex via the catalytic serine residue of assemblin. Recent reports that describe the in vitro inhibitory activity and the antiviral activity of a subclass of benzoazinone compounds (2) and several inhibitors in the class of monocyclic β-lactams (87) validate this approach. However, definitive proof that the antiviral effect observed with these compounds in a cell-based assay results directly from the inhibition of assemblin activity remains to be shown. Yet another approach to assemblin inhibition is to target the conserved cysteine residues. As demonstrated with CL13933 (12) and flavin (11), these compounds inhibit in vitro assemblin activity through the formation of specific intramolecular disulfide bonds between cysteine residues located near the catalytic serine residue. The disruption of assemblin dimerization is another potential approach in which the use of rational drug design methods could target the deep binding pocket of the dimer interface (23, 143).

Future Considerations

While a variety of compounds which target DNA synthesis are currently available for the treatment of HSV and CMV infections, dose-limiting toxicities and drug resistance often complicate their therapeutic usage. Therefore, the recent advances in the research into inhibitors which target the virus capsid protease represent a potential therapeutic alternative.
Hepatitis C Virus Protease

HCV is one of the major causes of parenterally transmitted non-A, non-B hepatitis worldwide. HCV infection is characterized by the high rate (>70%) at which acute infection progresses to chronic infection (4). Chronic HCV infection may lead to progressive liver injury, cirrhosis, and, in some cases, hepatocellular carcinoma. Currently, there are no specific antiviral agents available for the treatment of HCV infection. Although alpha interferon therapy is often used in the treatment of HCV-induced moderate or severe liver disease, only a minority of patients exhibit a sustained response (137). Additionally, a vaccine to prevent HCV infection is not yet available, and it remains uncertain whether vaccine development will be complicated by the existence of multiple HCV genotypes as well as viral variation within infected individuals (101, 161). The presence of viral heterogeneity may increase the likelihood that drug-resistant virus will emerge in infected individuals unless antiviral therapy effectively suppresses virus replication. Most recently, several of the HCV-encoded enzymes, specifically the NS3 protease and NS5B RNA polymerase, have been the focus of intensive research, in vitro screening, and/or rational drug design efforts.

Structure and Function

HCV has been classified in the flavivirus family in a genus separate from that of the flaviviruses and the pestiviruses (130). Although the study of HCV replication is limited by the lack of an efficient cell-based replication system, an understanding of replicative events has been inferred from analogies made to the flaviviruses, pestiviruses, and other positive-strand RNA viruses. Figure 7 shows a schematic representation of the HCV genome. As in the pestiviruses, translation of the large open reading frame occurs by a cap-independent mechanism and results in the production of a polyprotein of 3,010 to 3,030 amino acids. Proteolytic processing of the structural proteins (the nucleocapsid protein or core [C]) (136) and two envelope glycoproteins, E1 and E2 (129), is accomplished by the action of host cell signal peptides. Cleavage of the nonstructural proteins (NS4A, NS4B, NS5A, and NS5B) is mediated by an NS3 protease (55, 65) or the NS3 protease (8, 43, 55, 150). NS4A is a cofactor for NS3 (8, 45, 93), and NS5B is an RNA-dependent RNA polymerase (14). Functions for the NS4B and NS5A proteins have yet to be defined.

The NS2/3 protease has been shown to mediate cleavage at the 2/3 junction site (Fig. 7) (54, 64). In contrast, the NS3 protease is required for multiple cleavages within the nonstructural segment of the polyprotein, specifically the 3/4A, 4A/4B, 4B/5A, and 5A/5B junction sites (7, 43, 55, 150). Although NS3 protease is presumed to be essential for HCV viability, definitive proof of its necessity has been hampered by the lack of an infectious molecular clone that can be used in cell-based experiments. However, two independent HCV infectious molecular clones have recently been developed and have been shown to replicate in chimpanzees (85, 167). The requirement for NS3 in the HCV life cycle may be validated in these clones by using oligonucleotide-mediated site-directed mutagenesis to inactivate the NS3 catalytic serine residue and then determining whether infectious virus is produced in chimpanzees. Until these experiments are performed, the necessity for NS3 is inferred from cell-based experiments using the related yellow fever virus (YFV) and bovine viral diarrhea virus (BVDV). Mutagenesis of the YFV (22) and BVDV (166) NS3 protease homologs has shown that NS3 protease activity is essential for YFV and BVDV replication.

Protease Inhibitors In Vitro

The determination of the 3-D X-ray crystal structure of the NS3 protease (82, 95) was recently reported. Analysis of the NS3 protease crystal structure revealed a monomeric enzyme with two domains and a structural zinc site. The observed folding of the two domains and the relative order and spacing of the catalytic triad (His, Asp, Ser) place NS3 in the trypsin family of serine proteases. Compared to cellular serine proteases, the substrate binding groove of the NS3 protease is shallow. This extended shallow substrate binding groove represents a challenge to the rational design of inhibitors, since the number of potential inhibitor-enzyme contacts is diminished. However, in vitro studies probing the substrate specificity of NS3 demonstrate stereochemical requirements that differ from those of cellular serine proteases (83, 146, 169). These differences, together with the crystallographic information, should facilitate the design of inhibitors which have a high degree of selectivity to NS3.

Many of the in vitro assays used to characterize NS3 protease activity have been adapted to screen compound libraries or to evaluate rationally designed inhibitors. To date, several thiazolidine derivatives (147) and a phenanthrenequinone compound (26) have demonstrated in vitro NS3 inhibitory activity. Additionally, two in vitro affinity selection techniques have been used to isolate RNA aptamers (153) and a derivative of human pancreatic trypsin inhibitor (38), both of which have been shown to inhibit NS3 protease activity.

Cell-based assays. Since the evaluation of inhibitors obtained from rational drug design efforts or in vitro screens against HCV itself in a standard cell-based antiviral assay is not a practical option, several alternative approaches are being pursued. One approach involves the evaluation of inhibitors in reporter gene systems. In these cell-based systems, the transcription (65) or the detection (94) of a given reporter gene product has been engineered to be dependent upon NS3 protease activity. A second approach, which is perhaps more reflective of the context and localization of NS3 in HCV-infected cells, is the construction of virus chimeras. These virus chimeras have been designed to require one or more NS3-mediated cleavage events during the course of the viral life cycle before infectious virus can be produced. Recently, two NS3-containing Sindbis virus chimeras (25, 46) and an NS3-containing poliovirus chimera (56) have been described. An additional approach to the evaluation of potential NS3 inhibitors is the use of the HCV-related pestivirus BVDV as a surrogate for
virus infection. However, whether inhibitors which demonstrate activity against the related BVDV NS3 protease will also be effective against the HCV NS3 protease is unknown.

Future Considerations

The treatment of HCV infection is an unmet clinical need, since there are currently no approved antiviral agents available for the purpose. The NS3 protease is the most extensively studied target to date for the development of an HCV antiviral agent. Other potential antiviral targets include the HCV NS3 helicase domain and NS5B RNA polymerase; accordingly, research in these areas is ongoing. The development of an HCV antiviral agent presents some unique challenges that must be addressed. One of these challenges is the lack of an efficient cell-based assay system, but several alternative approaches are being used to overcome this obstacle. In addition, as a greater understanding of the clinical pathology and progression of HCV infection becomes available, the design and appropriate use of the therapeutic antiviral agents currently under development will follow.
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